LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
	CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1	N C B E P 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 5 5 9 LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58
CON'T 0 1 7 8	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 - 0 3 2 5 0 0 9 2 4 8 2 8 1 0 1 8 8 2 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10 While performing a review of plant surveillance procedures to assure technical
0 3	adequacy, it was determined that the required once per six months functional test of
0 4	the primary containment air lock interlock mechanism on each unit was not addressed
0 5	in plant procedures. This event did not affect the health and safety of the public.
0 6	Technical Specifications 4.6.1.3c, 6.9.1.9c
0 7	
0 8	9 SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMP. VALVE
0 9 8	S D 11
1 0	This procedural deficiency occurred due to personnel oversight during the initial
11	review of the applicable technical specification for surveillance requirements.
1 2	PT-0.2.2.4, was then temporarily revised to allow performance of the subject sur-
1 3	veillance and this surveillance was satisfactorily completed on Unit No. 2 on 10-1-82,
	and on Unit No. 1 on 10-8-82. 9 FACILITY (30) METHOD OF
1 5	STATUS STATUS OF POWER OTHER STATUS OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) [G 28 0 0 0 29 NA C 31 Procedure Technical Review 80
	ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA LOCATION OF RELEASE 36 NA N
1 7	0 0 0 (37) Z (38) NA
1 8 7 8	PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
1 9	Z 42 B210260368 821018 B0 B0 B0 B0 B0 B0 B0 B
20	ISSUED DESCRIPTION (45) S PDR NA SECRIPTION (45) S PDR NA SECRIPTION (45) S PDR
	NAME DE REFRARER M. J. Pastva, Jr. PHONE 919-457-9521

LER ATTACHMENT - RO #1-82-103

Facility: BSEP Unit No. 1 Event Date: September 24, 1982

An On-site Nuclear Safety group technical review of plant surveillance procedures revealed the once per six month functional test of the primary containment air lock interlock mechanism on each unit, as addressed in Technical Specification 4.6.1.3c, was not reflected in plant surveillance procedures. Following this discovery, PT-02.2.4 was temporarily revised to reflect the subject surveillance, and the surveillance was then satisfactorily completed on both units.

Appropriate plant procedures approved and implemented to assure future compliance with this surveillance requirement will be in effect prior to its next required performance.