

Commonwealth Edison Zion Generating Station Shiloh Blvd. & Lake Michigan Zion, Illinois 60099 Telephone 708 / 746-2084

December 10, 1990

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

The enclosed Licensee Event Report number 90-024-00, Docket No. 50-295/DPR-39 from Zion Generating Station is being transmitted to you in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i), which requires a 30 day written report when a condition has occurred that is prohibited by the plant's Technica' Specifications.

Very truly yours,

In T. P. Joyce Station Manager Zion Generating Station

TPJ/dmg

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report

cc: NRC Region III Administrator NRC Resident Inspector INPO Record Center CECo Distribution List

1116D 000204 9012140005 901210 PDR ADOCK 05000295 S PDC IE22

			LICENSE	E EVENT	REPORT	(LER)			Form Rev 2.0
Facility Name (1)					Docket Nu	mber (2			
Zion Unit 1					0 5 0 0 0 2 9 5 1 of 0				
Title (4)									
Missed Pressurizer	Boron S	ample due to P	ersonnel Error						
						Facilities Involved (8)			
Month Day Year	Year	/// Sequential /// Number	/// Revision /// Number	Month	Day	Year	Facility	Names	Docket Number(s)
							N/A		
1 1 1 1 0 9 10	9 10	0 2 4	010	1 2	1 10	9 10			
OPERATING	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF IOCFR 3 (Check one or more of the following) (11)								
MODE (9) POWER LEVEL (10)		20.402(b) 20.405(a)(20.405(a)(1)(i) 20 1)(i) 50 1)(ii) 50 1)(iii) 50 1)(iv) 50).405(c)).36(c)(1).36(c)(2)))(i))(ii)	50 50 50 50 50	0.73(a)(2)(i 0.73(a)(2)(v 0.73(a)(2)(v 0.73(a)(2)(v 0.73(a)(2)(v 0.73(a)(2)(v 0.73(a)(2)(x) ii) iii)(A) iii)(B)	
Name Duane Hemmerle, Ch	amietry	Department	LICENSEE		FOR TH	IS LER	AREA	CODE	LEPHONE NUMBER
Source requireries of		ETE ONE LINE FO			URE DE	SCRIBE			7 4 6 - 2 0 8 4
CAUSE SYSTEM CO	MPONENT	MANUFAC- R	EPORTABLE ////			YSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUF	AC- REPORTABLE
			N (11)			-			
 Yes (If yes, co ABSTRACT (Limit to	mplete E		S1. N DATE)		the second second second second			Submis Date	(15)

On November 10, 1990 Unit 1 was in Mode 3, with a reactor coolant system (RCS) heatup in progress. During this condition, Technical Specification 4.2.1.B requires that the RCS loop and pressurizer be sampled and analyzed for b ron concentration, every four (4) hours, to verify shutdown margin. On November 10, 1990 a RCS loop sample and a pressurizer sample were obtained at 1200 and 1210 respectively.

The next RCS loop sample was obtained at 1610, however the pressurizer sample was not obtained. The pressurizer sample was obtained at 1720, five hours and 10 minutes after the previous sample. Therefore sampling of the pressurizer did not meet the four hour surveillance requirement.

The root cause of the event was a cognitive personnel error by a Chemistry Technician. A contributing cause was informality of the turnover process.

The immediate corrective action was to take the required sample. Additional actions include formalizing the turnover process, training for Chemistry Department personnel, and a complete review of the conditional surveillance program. The safety significance was minimal, as boron samples before and after the event verified that adequate shutdown margin existed during the event.

	LICENSEE EN	/ENT REPORT (LER) TE	CT CONTI	NUATI	ON	(D)	Form Rev 2
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET I	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		UMBER	Page (3)		
			Year	1/1/1	Sequential /	// Revision // Number	
Zion Unit 1	0 5	0 0 0 2 9 5	9 0	-	0 2 4	- 0 10	0 12 OF 0 13
TEXT Energy Ind	ustry Identification S		and the second second second		the second s		

A. CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT

MODE <u>3</u> - Hot Shutdown RX Power <u>0%</u> RCS [AB] Temperature/ Pressure <u>495 °F/ 2235</u> psig

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On November 10, 1990 Unit 1 was in Mode 3, hot shutdown. A reactor coolant system (RCS) heatup was in progress. During this condition, Technical Specification 4.2.1.B requires that the RCS loop and the pressurizer be sampled and analyzed for boron concentration, every four (4) hours, to verify shutdown margin. On November 10, 1990 a RCS loop sample and a pressurizer sample were obtained at 1200 and 1210 respectively.

The next RCS loop sample was obtained at 1610, however the pressurizer sample was not obtained because it was thought that the sample valve was inoperable. At approximately 1700, while the CT was entering data on the day shift chemistry data form, he noticed that results for the Unit 1 pressurizer had been reported, and realized an error may have been made. The CT then called the Operating Department and asked if a sample should be taken. The response was yes. The pressurizer sample was obtained at 1720, five hours and 10 minutes after the previous sample. Therefore sampling of the pressurizer did not meet the four hour surveillance requirement.

C. APPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT

A formal HPES investigation was performed for this event. The root cause of the event was a personnel error by a Chemistry Technician. The error was a cognitive error in that the technician did not understand the sampling importance required by the Unit's status (i.e. heatup in progress). The CT was also not cognizant that the Unit 1 pressurizer sampling was a Technical Specification requirement and therefore must be obtained.

One contributing cause was the lack of established guidance for the turnover process. Shift turnover from the day shift to the afternoon shift occurred at 1500. The turnover from the day shift Chemistry Technician (CT) to the afternoon shift CT was essentially a verbal turnover, and lasted approximately five minutes. The day shift CT informed the afternoon shift CT of the following:

- 1. RCS loop and pressurizer samples were due every four hours on Unit 1.
- 2. The pressurizer sample valve on Unit 2 was inoperable, but sampling was not required.
- 3. Two lake discharge tank release forms requiring double sampling were to be completed
- 4. Various analyses from dayshift primary chemistry samples were to be completed.

The afternoon CT was reviewing the work to be performed while the verbal turnover took place. The afternoon CT exited the turnover with the misconception that it was the Unit 1 pressurizer sample valve that was inoperable, and a sample was not needed.

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TE	XT CONTI	NUATI	ON			Form Rev 2.0	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (5)					Page (3)	
		Year	144	Sequential Number	11/1	Revision Number		
Zion Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 2 9 5	910	-	0 2 4	-	0 1 0	0 13 OF 0 13	
TEXT Energy Industry Ider	tification System (EIIS) codes	are iden	tifie	d in the ter	kt as	[XX]		

C. AFPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT (con't)

A second contributing cause was the amount of analyses that were carried over from the day shift. The amount was atypical, and presented an abnormal work load for the afternoon CT.

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The purpose of the surveillance is to verify adequate shutdown margin is maintained during the process of increasing temperature of the RCS. During the course of the event, average RCS temperature ranged from 489 to 501 degrees Fahrenheit. Using the criteria:

- 1. Zion Unit 1 Cycle 12 curve for Required Shutdown Margin.
- Curve for 1% Shutdown margin for temperature less than 500 degrees Fahrenheit at beginning of life.
- 3. 3880 effective full power hours of burnup.

The required shutdown margin was approximately 1070 ppm boron. The RCS boron concentration measured before and after this event was approximately 1260 ppm. Therefore the shutdown margin was adequate during this event, and the safety significance was minimal.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The immediate corrective action was that a Unit 1 pressurizer sample was obtained and analyzed for boron.

Training for Chemistry Technicians on chemistry related Technical Specifications will be conducted during the 1991 Continuing Training session.

This event will be discussed with all Chemistry personnel in a Chemistry Department meeting.

The Chemistry Department turnover process is being reviewed, and improvements will be made. The turnover requirements will be established, and will be conducted in a more formal manner.

The conditional surveillance program is undergoing a thorough review. All facets of the process from the flow of paperwork to communications between departments is being examined to determine what improvements are necessary to eliminate the problems experienced in this area. These commitments will be tracked by NTS #295-180-90-15401 (series).

F. PREVIOUS EVENTS

Although no identical previous events could be found, missed samples for inoperable equipment in general are an identified problem. The station is taking action to resolve this problem.

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA

None.