Docket No. 53-320

Dr. Robert L. Long
Director, Corporate Services/
Director, TMI-2
GPU Nuclear Corporation
Post Office Box 480
Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Dear Dr. Long:

SUBJECT: TMI-2 ADVISORY PANEL QUESTIONS

I have completed my review of the transcript from the October 18, 1990, TMI-2 Advisory Panel Meeting and have identified the following questions that the Panel would like written responses. The questions are listed in the enclosure with the appropriate transcript page and line citations. Responses should be forwarded to me no later than January 1, 1991 so that I may provide copies of your responses to the authors of the questions and the members of the Panel prior to the January 15, 1991 panel meeting.

The questions were taken from the October 18, 1990 transcript. An attempt, in some cases, was made to clarify the questions when transcribing them to the enclosure. However, there may still be some uncertainty as to the authors intent in specific questions. Clarification should be obtained from the author of the questions if uncertainty exists in the meaning or intent of specific questions.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Michael T. Masnik, Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors, Decommissioning and Environmental Projects Directorate Division of Advanced Reactors and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION
Docket file
NRC & Local PDRs
NRDE r/f
DCrutchfield
WTravers
EHylton

MMasnik OGC EJordan ACRS (10) NRDE Plant file Region I

[MMASNIK LTR2 RLong]

NRDE:LA EHylton 12/ /90

9012130158 901211 PDR ADOCK 05000320 PDR PDCK 05000320

NRDE:PM MMasnik:dmj 12/(//90 NRDE:D SWeiss 12/ | /90

DFOI



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

December 11, 1990

Docket No. 50-320

Dr. Robert L. Long Director, Corporate Services/ Director, TMI-2 GPU Nuclear Corporation Post Office Box 480 Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

Dear Dr. Long:

SUBJECT: TMI-2 ADVISORY PANEL QUESTIONS

I have completed my review of the transcript from the October 18, 1990, TMI-2 Advisory Panel Meeting and have identified the following questions that the Panel would like written responses. The questions are listed in the enclosure with the appropriate transcript page and line citations. Responses should be forwarded to me no later than January 1, 1991 so that I may provide copies of your responses to the authors of the questions and the members of the Panel prior to the January 15, 1991 panel meeting.

The questions were taken from the October 18, 1990 transcript. An attempt, in some cases, was made to clarify the questions when transcribing them to the enclosure. However, there may still be some uncertainty as to the authors intent in specific questions. Clarification should be obtained from the author of the questions if uncertainty exists in the meaning or intent of specific questions.

Sincerely.

Michael T. Masnik, Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors, Decommissioning and

Environmental Projects Directorate Division of Advanced Reactors

and Special Projects

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

Dr. R. L. Long GPU Nuclear Corporation Unit No. 2 Three Mile Island Nuclear Station

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region 1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dr. Judith H. Johnsrud Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Orlando Avenue State College, PA 16801

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Sally S. Klein, Chairperson Dauphin County Board of Commissioners Dauphin County Courthouse Front and Market Streets Harrisburg, PA 17120

Thomas M. Gerusky, Director Bureau of Radiation Protection Department of Environmental Resources P. O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ad Crable Lancaster New Era 8 West King Street Lancaster, PA 17601

U.S. Department of Energy 785 DOE Place Idaho Falls, ID 83401-1562

Francis I. Young Senior Resident Inspector (TMI-1) U.S.N.R.C. P. O. Box 311 Middletown, PA 17057 David J. McGoff
Office of LWR Safety and Technology
NE-23
U.S. Department of Energy
Washington, D.C. 20545

Frank Lynch, Editorial The Patriot 812 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17105

Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Division Suite 525 1700 Rockville Pike Pockville, MD. 20852

Marvin I. Lewis 7801 Roosevelt Blvd. #62 Philadelphia, PA 19152

Jane Lee 183 Valley Road Etters, PA 17319

Walter W. Cohen, Consumer Advocate Department of Justice Strawberry Square, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17127

U.S. Environmental Prot. Agency Region III Office ATTN: EIS Coordinator 841 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19107

QUESTIONS FOR GPUN ARISING FROM THE OCTOBER 18, 1990 TMI-2 ADVISORY PANEL MEETING

Number	Citation	Question
1.	pg. 19 lines 7-9	Provide the Calendar Year 91 Budget for TMI-2.
2.	pg. 73 lines 19-25	What happens if you use funds to decommission Saxon and Oyster Creek, but when TMI-2 is ready for decommissioning, sufficient funds are not available?
3.	pg. 73 lines 19-25	Are funds segregated in the trust account such that funds collected for decommissioning one facility cannot be used to decommission another?
4.	pg. 74 lines 22-25	You referenced in your decommissioning funding plan that property can be a method of payment into the trust account. Is this real property, and if so could the value of the property devalue and thereby devalue the trust?
5.	pg. 75 lines 22-25	Are monies that are placed in the trust account tax deductible?
6.	pg. 105 lines 23-25	What is the actual cost of radiological and nonradiological and nonradiological decommissioning of TMI-2? The number provided to the NRC was \$259 million and is different than the number presented at the October 18, 1990 meeting.

Number	Citation	Question
7.	pg. 106 lines 5-8	Are the figures current? If so, why is there a discrepancy between what GPU seeks to recover from the ratepayer and the actual decommissioning costs? Who will pay for the short-fall?
8.	pg. 106 lines 8-10	Is the \$195 million a down payment figure on the entire cost of radiological decommissioning?
9.	pg. 106 lines 12-14	Does GPU have a contingency plan in the event they will not be allowed to recover decommissioning costs from the ratepayer?
10.	pg. 121 lines 16-17	What was the date of the discovery of the leakage in the groundwater?
11.	pg. 121 lines 19-20	What was the maximum reading of the groundwater leak?
12.	pg. 121 lines 20-21	How many wells did you see the contamination in?
13.	pg. 121 lines 22-23	Provide a table summarizing tritium levels over time for each well for which elevated levels have been reported.
14.	pg. 121 lines 24-25	Were there any other con- tainments found in the water e.g. boron?
15.	pg. 122 lines 1-2	Could you tell from what point in time this stuff went into the ground and from where?
16.	pg. 122 lines 2-5	Why must the pipes under the evaporation be filled? What is the purpose of the pipes? Describe the process.

Number	Citation	Question
17.	pg. 122 lines 5-6	If they (the AGW or the pipes) go in there, does that mean they automically touch other parts of the system?
18.	pg. 122 lines 6-7	Could they be vented even in a surrogate system?