

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 74 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-29

ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC.

GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-416

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 9, 1990, Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), requested an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-29 for the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station (GGNS), Unit 1.

The proposed amendment would change the Technical Specifications (TS) Section 6.0, "Administrative Controls" to reflect a position title change from Vice President, Nuclear Operations to Vice President, Operations GGNS.

2.0 EVALUATION

Amendment No. 65 to the Facility Operating License, issued December 14, 1989, authorized the transfer of operating responsibilities for Grand Gulf Nuclear Station. Unit 1 from System Energy Resources, Inc. to Entergy Operations, Inc. upon the official transfer of responsibilities. By letter dated June 6, 1990, the licensee advised that the transfer was implemented.

With this transfer of operating responsibilities, the position presently titled Vice President, Nuclear Operations has been renamed Vice President, Operations GGNS. Entergy Operations, Inc. also has operating responsibility for two other nuclear facilities owned by its parent company, Entergy Corporation. The purpose of the title change is to provide a unique title for GGNS which is consistent with the titles for the other two facilities.

The amendment would change the position title in TS Sections 6.1, 6.2, 6.5, 6.6, and 6.7. The responsibilities and qualifications for the Vice President, Operations GGNS would be the same as those for the present position of Vice President, Nuclear Operations. Because there would be no change to the requirements in the TS, the change is acceptable.

- 2 -3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION This amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment. 4.0 CONCLUSION The Commission made a proposed determination that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, which was published in the Federal Register on September 5, 1990 (55 FR 35342), and consulted with the State of Mississippi. No public comments or requests for hearing were received, and the State of Mississppi did not have any comments. The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above. that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and the security, or to the health and safety of the public. Date: December 4, 1990 Principal Contributor: L.L. Kintner