

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

ENCLOSURE

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

REQUEST FOR RELIEF FROM RADIOGRAPHIC EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE REACTOR RECIRCULATION SYSTEM WELD REPAIR

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (TVA)

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) UNIT 2

DOCKET NO. 50-260

1.0 INTRODUCTION

During modification to the reactor recirculation piping, a surface indication was detected during the liquid penetrant (PT) examination of the weld removal area. The indication was located in an area where a pipe support attachment was removed. Six pipe lug attachments were removed in this area as part of a support modification required by Engineering Design. The modification required that more attachments be welded at other locations around the circumference of the pipe at the same azimuth. The indication was described as an approximately 1/2-inch star crater crack. As the indication was removed by grinding and subsequent PT inspections performed to verify removal, the indication evolved into a linear intermittent-type indication.

Although indications were observed where other attachments were removed at this support location, they were weld indications within the attachment weld. These indications required no base metal grinding for removal except the expected "clean-up" depth. There were no other repairs to the base material as a result of indications in weld removal areas at this support location. TVA concluded that the indication was induced by previous welding operations because that type of indication is indicative of welding (i.e., star crater crack and porosity) and also it was in the area of a previous weld. No other indications propagating into the base material have been discovered in this support location. Therefore, this indication was determined to be an isolated case. The indication was removed by grinding. The base material excavation was approximately 2 inches long by 2 inches wide and 0.280 inch deep. The excavation was repaired by welding in order to restore the original pipe material thickness. The weld repair was examined using two separate ultrasonic examination techniques which confirmed that the weld repair was acceptable.

2.0 EVALUATION

TVA's Evaluation

ASME Code Section XI, 1980 Edition, through the Winter 1981 Addenda, IWA-4120, requires that repairs be performed in accordance with the Owner's Design

9012110082 901206 PDR ADOCK 05000260 PDC PDC

continued drywell work is projected to be approximately six (6) REM.

2) Work associated with the drain down of the Unit 2 vessel would divert resources from other ongoing Unit 2 restart scheduled activities.

activities in the drywell are accruing approximately ten REM per week. The increase in accumulated exposure realized would depend on the duration of the drain down but, assuming a drain down period of two weeks would result in an approximate four (4) REM increase in exposure. The total exposure increase resulting from drain down and

The resources required to drain the vessel, process the water through the radwaste system, delay scheduled work, combined with an adverse ALARA impact make draining the vessel impractical when an equivalent method of non-destructive examination exists to evaluate the repair area.

The weld repair was ultrasonically examined. Ultrasonic examinations were performed on the base metal repair area at weld identification area R-2-004-023-R1. A straight beam technique was employed. Also, additional ultrasonic examinations were performed using the TVA-approved procedure NUT 28 Revision 2, with TCR 90-41, "Ultrasonic Examination of Overlay Piping".