



GPU Nuclear Corporation  
Post Office Box 368  
Route 9 South  
Forked River, New Jersey 08731-0388  
609 971-4000  
Writer's Direct Dial Number:

November 29, 1990  
C321-90-2026

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Att: Document Control Desk  
Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS)  
Docket No. 50-219  
Technical Specification Change Request No. 185, Supplement 1  
24-Month Cycle Surveillance Extensions

This letter provides replacement Technical Specification pages to be inserted into Technical Specification Change Request (TSCR) No. 185, previously submitted on March 2, 1990. The attached Technical Specification pages which were included in TSCR No. 185 have been amended in the interim via Amendment No. 141 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16. Accordingly, these pages should be substituted for the corresponding Technical Specification pages in TSCR No. 185.

Each revision is an editorial change to reinstate the "1/20" month designation for refueling outage surveillances not being extended, and to revise the "24 month" designation back to a "refueling outage" designation, since TSCR No. 185 proposes to redefine refueling outage intervals from a maximum of 20 months to "at least once per 24 months." The technical justification for redefining refueling outage interval is contained in TSCR No. 185. Amendment 141 has previously approved the extension to a 24 month interval for the surveillances identified on the attached substitute pages. Therefore, this is an editorial change in nomenclature to be consistent with the original intent of TSCR No. 185.


In addition, it is requested that the proposed revision to Technical Specification page 6-16 be removed from TSCR No. 185 since the surveillance extensions to 24 month intervals were fully approved in Amendment No. 141, referenced above.

9012110006 901129  
PDR ADCK 05000219  
P PIC

*Aool*  
*111*

These changes to our Technical Specification Change Request No. 185 are editorial in nature as defined in 51FR7744, Section I.C.2.e.(i), and therefore have no effect on the safety evaluation justifying the proposed amendment or on the corresponding no significant hazards consideration conclusions.

Very truly yours,



E. E. Fitzpatrick  
Vice President and Director  
Oyster Creek

EEF/DJD/plp  
Attachment

cc: Administrator, Region I  
NRC Resident Inspector  
Mr. Alexander Dromerick, Jr.