

APPENDIX

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV

Inspection Report: 50-298/94-08

Operating Licenses: DPR-46

Licensee: Nebraska Public Power District
P.O. Box 499
Columbus, Nebraska 68602-0499

Facility Name: Cooper Nuclear Station

Inspection At: Brownville, Nebraska

Inspection Conducted: March 14-18, 1994

Inspector: T. W. Dexter, Senior Physical Security Specialist

Approved:

Blaine Murray
Blaine Murray, Chief, Facilities Inspection
Programs Branch

4/5/94
Date

Inspection Summary

Areas Inspected: Routine, announced inspection of the licensee's physical security program. The areas inspected within the physical security program included management effectiveness, audits, protected area detection and assessment aids, testing, maintenance and compensatory measures, records and reports, security training and qualification, security plans and procedures, and access control of personnel, packages and vehicles.

Results:

- Management of the plant security program was very good (Section 1.1).
- The annual audit of the physical security program was performance based and provided an in-depth review of the security program (Section 1.2).

- Protected area detection aids were generally performing as required. The licensee had implemented an aggressive testing program. One area in a zone failed a performance test. The licensee's response to the failure was both timely and effective (Section 1.3).
- The installed assessment aids provided effective coverage of the perimeter detection zones. The overall picture quality of the cameras and the monitors was excellent (Section 1.4).
- A noncited violation was identified involving the failure to implement corrective measures. The instrumentation and control technicians were repairing or replacing security equipment in a timely manner. Compensatory posting concerning system failures was minimum because of the excellent maintenance program (Section 1.5).
- Security management was very knowledgeable of reporting requirements. Negative trends were identified and sound resolutions developed to prevent recurrence (Section 1.6).
- The security drill training program was conducted in accordance with the security program plans, and the results were properly documented. Security Officers were knowledgeable and professional in performing their duties. A concern was identified regarding the lack of operations participation with security contingency drills (Section 1.7).
- Changes to the NRC-approved plans did not decrease the effectiveness of the respective plans. Plan changes were properly incorporated into procedures, and procedures were reviewed as required (Section 1.8).
- The licensee exercised positive control over personnel and vehicle access into the protected area. Package searches for firearms, explosives, incendiary devices, and other unauthorized materials conducted by security force members were very thorough (Section 1.9).

Attachment:

Attachment - Persons Contacted and Exit Meeting