GGC-94-066 April 14, 1994

Director, Office of Enforcement U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention:

Document Control Desk

Subject:

Quad Cities Power Station Units 1 and 2;

NRC Docket Number 50-254 and 50-265;

NRC Inspection Report Numbers 50-254(265)/93025

Reference:

Edward G. Greenman letter to M. J. Wallace dated October 26, 1993, transmitting Notice of Violation. Inspection Report 50-254/93025; 50-265/93025

Attached is Commonwealth Edison's response to the Notice of Violation (NOV) regarding a missed 50.72 report when a plant condition was found outside of the design basis, transmitted with the referenced letter. Based on discussions with Region III personnel, the station has accepted the violation. The following commitment is made with this letter:

1) The station will implement the forthcoming revision to NURGG 1022 upon issue and will revise the station's Reportability Manual as required.

The other three violations which were transmitted with the referenced letter were answered in a letter from Mr. D. L. Farrar on November 29, 1993.

If there are any questions or comments concerning this letter, please refer them to Marcia Jackson, Regulatory Performance Administrator at (708) 663-7287.

Respectfully,

Guy G. Campbell Station Manager

Quad Cities Station

Ey & Caplul

Attachment

cc:

J. Martin, Regional Administrator, RIII

C. Patel, Project Manager, NRR

C. Miller, Senior Resident Inspector, Quad Cities

9404200246 940414 PDR ADDCK 05000254 PDR IEO!

VIOLATION: 254(265)/93025-03

10 CFR 50.72 (b)(ii)(B) requires that a notification be made for any event or condition that results in the nuclear power plant being in a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.

Contrary to the above, on August 21, 1993, a notification was not made to the NRC when the emergency diesel generator exciter panel was found outside of the design basis. The panel was not seismically mounted to the floor.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1).

RESPONSE:

CECo accepts this violation. The reason for the event was that the station did not believe that the event met the 1 hour reportability to the NRC, due to the event being a historical event notification (4 hour call) and not required for 1 hour notification under 10 CFR 50-72 reporting requirements.

Corrective Steps Taken and Results Achieved:

- 1) The station entered a Technical Specification LCO until the problem was corrected.
- 2) LER 265/93-021 was submitted on September 24, 1993, for the historical operation of a condition prohibited by the plants Technical Specifications.

Corrective Steps Taken To Avoid Further Violation:

Upon issue of the revision to NUREG 1022, the station will implement the NUREG revision into station procedures. The station will revise the station's Reportability Manual to reflect any reporting changes due to the NUREG revision.

Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved:

Full compliance will be achieved with the implementation of the referenced NUREG revision and changes to the Reportability Manual.