Carolina Power & Light Company MANAGEMENT THE VETSON A FUNDAMENT OF DRIVEN BEING A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O Brunswick Nuclear Project P. O. Box 10429 Southport, N.C. 28461-0429

November 21, 1990

FILE: B09-13510C SERIAL: PSEP/90-0780

10CFR50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT UNIT 2 DOCKET NO. 50-324 LICENSE NO. DPR-62 SUPPLEMENTAL LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2-90-014

#### Centlemen:

In accordance with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the enclosed Lizensee Event Report is submitted. The original report fulfilled the requirement for a written report within thirty (30) days of a reportable occurrence and was submitted in accordance with the format set forth in NUREG-1022. September 1983.

Very truly yours,

JY L. Harness, General Manager Brunswick Nuclear Project

TMJ/

Enclosure

cc:

Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. N. B. Le BSEP NRC Resident Office

(11952

NRO FORM 566

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 2055S, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

EXPECTED

SUBMISSION DATE (15) MONTH

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Unit 2

05000324

PAGE (3)

01 OF 03

YEAR

DAY

RWCU ESF Actuation - RWCU Isolation when Fuse Blew in Power Supply to Differential Flow Instrument

MONTH   DAY	EVENT DATE (5)				LER NUMBER (6)					REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)					
THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5: (Check one or more of the following) (11)  POWER  LEVEL (10)  O95  20.405(a) (1)(ii)  50.36(c)(2)  50.73(a)(2)(v)  73.71(b)  73.71(c)  73.71(c)  73.71(c)  73.71(c)  73.71(c)  73.71(c)  73.71(c)  50.73(a)(2)(v)  50.73(a)(2)(v)  50.73(a)(2)(vii)  60.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)  20.405(a)(1)(iii)  50.73(a)(2)(iii)  50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)  20.405(a)(1)(v)  50.73(a)(2)(iii)  50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  20.405(a)(1)(v)  50.73(a)(2)(iii)  50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  73.71(c)  74.60  75.71(c)  75	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	R	580. NO.		REV. NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILI	TY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER				
OPERATING MODE (9) 1 20.402(b) 20.405(c) X 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(b)  POWER LEVEL (10) 095 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 50.36(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) OTHER (Specify in Abstract and 20.405(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(vii) (A)  20.405(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)  20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  20.405(a)(1)(vi) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  ILICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  TELEPHONE NUMBER  (919) 457-2039  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE	09	08	90	90		014		01	11	21	90							
MODE (9) 1 20.402(b) 20.405(c) X 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(b)  POWER 20.405(a)(1)(i) 50.36(c)(1) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 73.71(d)  LEVEL (10) 095 20.405(a)(1)(ii) 50.36(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2, (vii) OTHER (Specify in Abstract and 20.405(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)  20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  20.405(a)(1)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)  LIGENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  IAME THERESA M. JONES, REGULATORY COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST TELEPHONE NUMBER  (919) 457 - 2039  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE	OF	ERATING			THIS REPO	RT IS SUBMI	TTED PL	IRSUANT TO	THE REQUIR	EMENTS (	OF 10 CFR	§: (Check one or	more of the following:	(11)				
LEVEL (10)				1	20.402(b)			20.405(c)		X	50.73(	a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)					
20.405(a)(1)(iii)   50.73(a)(2)(i)   50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)     20.405(a)(1)(iv)   50.73(a)(2)(ii)   50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)     20.405(a)(1)(v)   50.73(a)(2)(ii)   50.73(a)(2)(x)     LIGENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)     IAME THERESA M. JONES, REGULATORY COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST   TELEPHONE NUMBER     (919) 457-2039     COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)     CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE   CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE			095		20.	405(a)(1)(l)		50.36(	0)(1)		50.73(	a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)	73.71(c)				
20.405(a)(1)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)(B)  20.405(a)(1)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(x)  LIGENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  IAME THERESA M. JONES, REGULATORY COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST  TELEPHONE NUMBER  (919) 457-2039  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE											50.73(	a)(? (vii)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract and Tr					
20.405(a)(1)(v) \$50.73(a)(2)(lil) \$50.73(a)(2)(x)  LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  IAME THERESA M. JONES, REGULATORY COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST  TELEPHONE NUMBER  (919) 457-2039  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE											50.73(	a)(2)(viii)(A)						
LIGENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)  IAME THERESA M. JONES, REGULATORY COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST  TELEPHONE NUMBER  (919) 457-2039  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE					20.	405(a)(1)(lv)		50.73(a	a)(2)(ii)		50.73(	a)(2)(viii)(B)						
TELEPHONE NUMBER  (919) 457-2039  COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE		w.*************		20.	20.405(a)(1)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(III)		50.73(	a)(2)(x)			·				
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE								LICENSEE CO	NTACT FOR	THIS LER	(12)							
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)  CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE	AME T	HERESA	M. JO	NES,	REGU	LATORY	COMP	LIANCE	SPECIA	LIST			TELEPHONE N	NUMBER				
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE													(919) 45	7-2039				
					COM	PLETE ONE L	INE FOR	REACH COMP	PONENT FAIL	URE DESC	RIBED IN	THIS REPORT (13)						
	AUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	MANU	FACTURER			CA	USE S	YSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER					

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e. approximately fifteen single space typewritten lines) (15)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

X

NO

On September 8, 1990, the Unit 2 reactor was at 95% power. The Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) were operable in standby readiness. The RWCU differential flow instrument had failed to channel check. Trouble shooting efforts involved readings which required the movement of RWCU square root converters in and out of their associated control room back panel. At 1711, the RWCU system inboard and outboard isolation valves automatically closed on a differential flow high, high signal coincident with the removal of square root converter 2-G31-K603 from its panel. I&C personnel investigated the cause of the isolation signal and found fuse 2-G31-ES-K600 blown. This fuse feeds 2-G31-FY-K603, RWCU differential flow summer. On September 9, 1990, technicians attempted to duplicate the test conditions. The event could not be recreated, the cause of the fuse failure was not determined. Further investigation did not reveal any circuit faults which caused the fuse to blow. The fuse was replaced. A review of past RWCU isolations revealed no other events caused by fuse failure, however, on September 20, 1990, while sliding square root converter 2-G31-FY-K605 out to take voltage readings a fuse in 2-G31-ES-K600 blew again. A second effort to duplicate the event was unsuccessful. As a preventative measure, the three remaining fuses in power supply 2-G31-ES-K600 and its associated ribbon cable were replaced. This event had minimal safety significance.

NRC FORM-366A

#### U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92

EXPLRES: 4/30/92
ESTIMATED BURDEN PF® RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST:SO.0 HRS. FORWARD

COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). DFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)			
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Unit 2	05000324	YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		90		014	01	02 of 03

TEXT (IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED, USE ADDITIONAL NRC FORM 366A'S) (17)

#### EVENT

Automatic closure of the Unit 2 inboard and outboard reactor water clean-up (RWCU) isolation valves on a differential flow high, high signal.

#### INITIAL CONDITIONS

On September 8, 1990, the Unit 2 reactor was at 95% power. The Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) were operable in standby readiness. Instrumentation and Control (I&C) personnel were assisting Operations trouble shoot the cause of the RWCU differential flow indication reading less than zero, which had been found on the previous nightshift.

#### EVENT DESCRIPTION/INVESTIGATION

During the nightshift on September 7, 1990, the RWCU differential flow instrument had failed to channel check. Work request/job order (WR/JO) 90-APUAl was initiated to investigate and repair the problem. Special Procedure (SP) 86-090, RWCU System Operability Determination Using a Millivoltmeter on the Differential Flow Instrumentation Loop Summer, was performed, with unsatisfactory results. The system was subsequently shutdown and isolated. I&C personnel calibrated the differential flow transmitter, but the differential flow indication was again downscale on the dayshift of September 8, 1990. I&C was notified and requested to continue trouble shooting the problem. To continue trouble shooting efforts, I&C personnel requested that the system be pressurized. Operations scheduled 1230 as the time to begin. At 1645, pressurization was near completion and I&C was requested to come to the control room for authorization to fill and vent the transmitters. authorization, an I&C technician began to take voltage readings on the differential flow square root converters, in accordance with the repair instructions on WR/JO 90-APUA2. Taking the readings required the movement of the square root converters in and out of their associated control room back panel. At 1711 on September 8, 1990, the RWCU system inboard and outboard isolation valves automatically closed on a differential flow high, high signal coincident with the removal of square root converter 2-G31-K603 from its panel. Prior to the event, the I&C technician had taken voltage readings from square root converter 2-G31-K602. The technician stated that he continued to square root converter 2-G31-K603 and read 0.00 millivolts. At that time, he glanced up and saw that the differential flow indicator was reading 95-100 gallons per minute differential flow. He learned that RWCU had isolated but he had not heard any relays pick up in the back panel area. I&C personnel investigated the cause of the isolation signal and found fuse 2-G31-ES-K600 blown. This fuse feeds 2-G31-FY-K603, RWCU differential flow summer, and was determined to be the cause of the false high, high differential flow signal.

NRC FORM 368A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST:50.0 HRS. FORWARD

COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PHOJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant Unit 2	05000324	YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER			
		90		014		01	03 of	03	

TEXT (IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED, USE ADDITIONAL NRC FORM 366A'S) (17)

TEXT CONTINUATION

On September 9, 1990, technicians attempted to duplicate the test conditions to determine if the test equipment had caused the fuse to blow. The event could not be recreated, the cause of the fuse failure was not determined. Further investigation did not reveal any circuit faults which could have caused the fuse to blow. The fuse was replaced. A review of past RWCU isolations revealed no other events caused by fuse failure, however, on September 20, 1990, while sliding square root converter 2-G31-FY-K605 out to take voltage readings a fuse in 2-G31-ES-K600 blew again. A second effort to duplicate the event was unsuccessful and the cause of the fuse failure has not been determined. As a preventative measure, the three remaining fuses in power supply 2-G31-ES-K600 and its associated ribbon cable were replaced.

#### ROOT CAUSE

The event was caused when a fuse blew in the RWCU power supply 2-G31-ES-K600. The cause of the fuse failure has not been determined.

## CORRECTIVE ACTIONS/EVENT ASSESSMENT

This event had minimal safety significance. The equipment involved functioned as designed on a loss of power and no actual high differential flow condition existed. The fuses and ribbon cable in power supply 2-G31-ES-K600 were replaced and the equipment is functioning properly.

## EIIS CODES

RWCU Power Supply Fuse

CE/FU