



Commonwealth Edison
One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois
Address Reply to: Post Office Box 767
Chicago, Illinois 60690

September 16, 1982

Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator
Directorate of Inspection and
Enforcement - Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
799 Roosevelt Road
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Subject: LaSalle County Station Unit 1
Response to Inspection Report
No. 50-373/82-37
NRC Docket No. 50-373

Reference (a): R. C. Knop letter to Cordell
Reed dated August 17, 1982.

Dear Mr. Keppler:

This letter is in response to the inspection conducted by Messrs. W. G. Guldmond, R. Walker, M. Parker, and S. Stacek on July 1-30, 1982, of activities at LaSalle County Station Unit 1. Reference (a) indicated that certain activities appeared to be in noncompliance with NRC requirements. The Commonwealth Edison Company response to the Notice of Violation is provided in the enclosure.

To the best of my knowledge and belief the statements contained herein and in the attachment are true and correct. In some respects, these statements are not based on my personal knowledge but upon information furnished by other Commonwealth Edison and contractor employees. Such information has been reviewed in accordance with Company practice and I believe it to be reliable.

If you have further questions on this matter, please direct them to this office.

Very truly yours,


L. O. DelGeorge

Director of Nuclear Licensing

lm

Attachment

cc: NRC Resident Inspector

5040N

8210060268 821004
PDR ADOCK 05000373
Q PDR

SEP 17 1982

ATTACHMENT

ITEM OF NONCOMPLIANCE

As a result of the inspection conducted on July 1-30, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified:

Technical Specification 6.2.A.7 requires that detailed written procedures shall be prepared, approved and adhered to for surveillance and testing requirements.

LAP-300-10 establishes that Procedures Q.P. 12-51 and 12-52 of the Commonwealth Edison Quality Assurance Manual will be used for control of calibration and reliability of test and measuring equipment.

Q.P. 12-51 requires that the Maintenance Assistant Superintendent or Master Instrument Mechanic place a Quality Assurance hold tag, as described in Q.P. 14-51, on equipment that has exceeded its scheduled calibration date to indicate that it is not to be used until calibrated.

Q.P. 12-52 requires that the Master Instrument Mechanic calibrate or have calibrated instruments utilizing certified test standards in accordance with calibration schedules.

LAP-300-9 requires that personnel using portable test and measuring equipment shall verify that the proper calibration tag is affixed and that the calibration is current.

Contrary to the above requirements, on July 8, 1982, the inspector discovered a multimeter in use in the control room with a calibration due date of January, 1982. Followup investigation revealed that the computerized calibration tracking system showed that the instrument had been returned to OAD for calibration.

CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN AND RESULTS ACHIEVED

The following actions were taken by LaSalle County Station:

- a. The meter was placed on Hold, Hold Tag #82-120.
- b. Discrepancy record 82-09 was written.
- c. The multimeter was tested against a fluke 5101A and tested satisfactorily.
- d. The multimeter was sent to S.O.A.D. for recertification.

CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TO AVOID FURTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

On July 9, 1982, training was conducted with the entire Instrument Maintenance Shop covering LAP 300-9 and LIP GM-02, and was documented on training records. In addition, the monthly S.O.A.D. printout will be reviewed by management and any discrepancies will be corrected.

DATE OF FULL COMPLIANCE

Full compliance will be achieved by November 11, 1982, when the multimeter is returned from S.O.A.D.