



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 5, 1994

Docket No. 50-263

Mr. Roger O. Anderson, Director
Licensing and Management Issues
Northern States Power Company
414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Dear Mr. Anderson:

SUBJECT: GENERIC LETTER (GL) 92-01, REVISION 1, "REACTOR VESSEL STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY," - MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT (TAC NO. M83485)

By letters dated July 6, 1992, and August 27, 1993, Northern States Power Company (NSP) provided its response to GL 92-01, Revision 1. The NRC staff has completed its review of your responses. Based on its review, the staff has determined that NSP has provided the information requested in GL 92-01 for Monticello.

The GL is part of the staff's program to evaluate reactor vessel integrity for pressurized water reactors (PWRs) and boiling water reactors (BWRs). The information provided in response to GL 92-01, including previously docketed information, is being used to confirm that licensees satisfy the requirements and commitments necessary to ensure reactor vessel integrity for their facilities.

A substantial amount of information was provided in response to GL 92-01, Revision 1. These data have been entered into a computerized database designated Reactor Vessel Integrity Database (RVID). The RVID contains the following tables: A pressurized thermal shock (PTS) table for PWRs, a pressure-temperature limit table for BWRs and an upper-shelf energy (USE) table for PWRs and BWRs. Enclosure 1 provides the pressure-temperature table, Enclosure 2 provides the USE table for your facility, and Enclosure 3 provides a key for the nomenclature used in the tables. The tables include the data necessary to perform USE, pressure-temperature limit, and RT_{PTS} evaluations. These data were taken from your responses to GL 92-01 and previously docketed information. The information in the RVID for your facility will be considered accurate at this point in time and will be used in the staff's assessments related to vessel structural integrity. References to the specific source of the data are provided in the tables.

As a result of our GL 92-01 review, the NRC staff has identified one open issue for your plant. The initial RT_{NDT} values determined by General Electric's (GE) initial methodology have not been validated and the BWR Owners Group report, GE-NE-523-109-0893, entitled, "Basis for GE RT_{NDT} Estimation Method," did not resolve the issue. GE is in the process of validating its methodology for resolving the initial RT_{NDT} determination issue and will document the results in a topical report. The BWR Owners Group is obtaining approval from its members to provide the GE topical report to the NRC staff for its review and approval. We request that you submit within 30 days a

9404180162 940405
PDR ADOCK 05000263
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DFD1

April 5, 1994

commitment to the BWR Owners Group effort or a schedule for a plant-specific analysis to resolve this issue. Further, we request that you provide confirmation of the plant-specific applicability of the topical report, NEDO-32205, Revision 1, (as specified in Appendix B of that report) and submit a request for approval of the topical report as the basis for demonstrating compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, Paragraph IV.A.1 We further request that you verify that the information you have provided for your facility has been accurately entered in the data base. If no comments are made in your response to the last request, the staff will use the information in the tables for future NRC assessments of your reactor pressure vessel.

Once you have (1) confirmed the applicability of the topical report, NEDO-32205, Revision 1, to your plant, (2) submitted the request for approval, and (3) provided your commitment to the BWR Owners Group effort or a satisfactory schedule for providing a plant-specific analysis, the staff will consider your actions related to GL 92-01, Revision 1, to be complete. Plant-specific licensing action(s) will be initiated to resolve these issues.

The information requested by this letter is within the scope of the overall burden estimated in GL 92-01, Revision 1, "Reactor Vessel Structural Integrity, 10 CFR 50.54(f)." The estimated average number of burden hours is 200 person hours for each addressee's response. This estimate pertains only to the identified response-related matters and does not include the time required to implement actions required by the regulations. This action is covered by the Office of Management and Budget Clearance Number 3150-0011, which expires June 30, 1994.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Beth A. Wetzel, Acting Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Pressure-Temperature Limit Table
- 2. Upper-Shelf Energy Table
- 3. Nomenclature Key

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

- Docket File D.McDonald
- NRC & Local PDRs S.Sheng
- PD3-1 Rdg OGC
- J.Roe ACRS (10)
- J.Zwolinski W.Kropp, RIII
- L.Marsh C.Jamerson
- B.Wetzel

OFFICE	LA:PD31	PM:PD31	PD:PD31
NAME	CJamerson	BWetzel:cir	LBMarsh
DATE	04/4/94	04/4/94	04/5/94

Mr. Roger O. Anderson, Director
Northern States Power Company

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

cc:

J. E. Silberg, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington DC 20037

Lisa R. Tiegel
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
Suite 200
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector Office
2807 W. County Road 75
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Site General Manager
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Robert Nelson, President
Minnesota Environmental Control
Citizens Association (MECCA)
1051 South McKnight Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55119

Commissioner
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55119

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Commissioner of Health
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street, S. E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440

Darla Groshens, Auditor/Treasurer
Wright County Government Center
10 NW Second Street
Buffalo, Minnesota 55313

Kris Sanda, Commissioner
Department of Public Service
121 Seventh Place East
Suite 200
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2145

Summary File for Pressure-Temperature Limits

Plant Name	Beltline Ident.	Heat No. Ident.	ID Neut. Fluence at EOL/EFPY	IRT _{min}	Method of Determin. IRT _{min}	Chemistry Factor	Method of Determin. CF	%Cu	%Ni
Monticello EOL: 9/8/2010	Lower int. Shell I-14	C2220-1	5.15E18	0°F	Plant specific	125.3	Table	0.17	0.58
	Lower int. Shell I-15	C2220-2	5.15E18	14°F ¹	Plant specific	125.3	Table	0.17	0.58
	Lower Shell I-16	A0946-1	5.15E18	0°F ¹	Plant specific	98.2	Table	0.14	0.56
	Lower Shell I-17	C2193-1	5.15E18	6°F ¹	Plant specific	118.5	Table	0.17	0.50
	Welds	SMAW	5.15E18	-66°F	generic	134.9	Table	0.10	0.99

Reference for Monticello

Fluence, IRT, and chemical composition data are from July 6, 1992, letter from T. M. Parker (NSP) to USMRC Document Control Desk, subject: Response to Generic Letter 92-01, Reactor Vessel Structural Integrity

¹Additional information required to confirm value.

76

Summary File for Upper Shelf Energy

Plant Name	Beltline Ident.	Heat No.	Material Type	1/4T USE at EOL	1/4T Neutron Fluence at EOL	Unirrad. USE	Method of Determin. Unirrad. USE
Monticello EOL: 9/8/2010	Lower Int. Shell 1-14	C2220-1	A 533B-1	EMA ²	3.8E18	EMA ²	---
	Lower Int. Shell 1-15	C2220-2	A 533B-1	56	3.8E18	71	65%
	Lower Shell 1-16	A0946-1	A 533B-1	EMA ²	3.8E18	EMA ²	---
	Lower Shell 1-17	C2193-1	A 533B-1	EMA ²	3.8E18	EMA ²	---
	Welds	No data	SMAW	EMA ²	3.8E18	EMA ²	---
<p><u>Reference for Monticello</u></p> <p>Fluence, LUSE, and chemical composition data are from July 6, 1992, letter from T. M. Parker (NSP) to USNRC Document Control Desk, subject: Response to Generic Letter 92-01, Reactor Vessel Structural Integrity</p>							

²Licensee must confirm applicability of Topical Report NEDO-32205, Rev. 1

PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE LIMIT TABLES AND USE TABLES FOR ALL BWR PLANTSNOMENCLATURE KEY

Pressure-Temperature Limits Table

- Column 1: Plant name and date of expiration of license.
 Column 2: Beltline material location identification.
 Column 3: Beltline material heat number; for some welds that a single-wire or tandem-wire process has been reported, (S) indicates single wire was used in the SAW process, (T) indicates tandem wire was used in the SAW process.
 Column 4: End-of-life (EOL) neutron fluence at vessel inner wall; cited directly from inner diameter (ID) value or calculated by using Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.99, Revision 2 neutron fluence attenuation methodology from the quarter thickness (T/4) value reported in the latest submittal (GL 92-01, PTS, or P/T limits submittals).
 Column 5: Unirradiated reference temperature.
 Column 6: Method of determining unirradiated reference temperature (IRT).

Plant-Specific

This indicates that the IRT was determined from tests on material removed from the same heat of the beltline material.

MTEB 5-2

This indicates that the unirradiated reference temperature was determined from following MTEB 5-2 guidelines for cases where the IRT was not determined using American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III, NB-2331, methodology.

Generic

This indicates that the unirradiated reference temperature was determined from the mean value of tests on material of similar types.

- Column 7: Chemistry factor for irradiated reference temperature evaluation.
 Column 8: Method of determining chemistry factor

Table

This indicates that the chemistry factor was determined from the chemistry factor tables in RG 1.99, Revision 2.

Calculated

This indicates that the chemistry factor was determined from surveillance data via procedures described in RG 1.99, Revision 2.

NOMENCLATURE KEY--continued

Column 9: Copper content; cited directly from licensee value except when more than one value was reported. (Staff used the average value in the latter case.)

No Data

This indicates that no copper data has been reported and the default value in RG 1.99, Revision 2, will be used by the staff.

Column 10: Nickel content; cited directly from licensee value except when more than one value was reported. (Staff used the average value in the latter case.)

No Data

This indicates that no nickel data has been reported and the default value in RG 1.99, Revision 2, will be used by the staff.

Upper Shelf Energy Table

Column 1: Plant name and date of expiration of license.

Column 2: Beltline material location identification.

Column 3: Beltline material heat number; for some welds that a single-wire or tandem-wire process has been reported, (S) indicates single wire was used in the SAW process. (T) indicates tandem wire was used in the SAW process.

Column 4: Material type; plate types include A 533B-1, A 302B, A 302B Mod., and forging A 508-2; weld types include SAW welds using Linde 80, 0091, 124, 1092, ARCOS-B5 flux, Rotterdam welds using Graw Lo, SMIT 89, LW 320, and SAF 89 flux, and SMAW welds using no flux.

Column 5: EOL upper-shelf energy (USE) at T/4; calculated by using the EOL fluence and either the copper value or the surveillance data. (Both methods are described in RG 1.99, Revision 2.)

EMA

This indicates that the USE issue may be covered by the approved equivalent margins analysis in the BWR Owners Group Topical Report: NEDO-32205, Revision 1.

Column 6: EOL neutron fluence at T/4 from vessel inner wall; cited directly from T/4 value or calculated by using RG 1.99, Revision 2 neutron fluence attenuation methodology from the ID value reported in the latest submittal (GL 92-01, PTS, or P/T limits submittals).

NOMENCLATURE KEY--continued

Column 7: Unirradiated USE.

EMA

This indicates that the USE issue may be covered by the approved equivalent margins analysis in the BWR Owners Group Topical Report: NEDO-32205, Revision 1.

Column 8: Method of determining unirradiated USE

Direct

For plates, this indicates that the unirradiated USE was from a transverse specimen. For welds, this indicates that the unirradiated USE was from test date.

65%

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was 65% of the USE from a longitudinal specimen.

Generic

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was reported by the licensee from other plants with similar materials to the beltline material.

NRC generic

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was derived by the staff from other plants with similar materials to the beltline material.

10, 30, 40, or 50 °F

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was derived from Charpy test conducted at 10, 30, 40, or 50 °F.

Surv. Weld

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was from the surveillance weld having the same weld wire heat number.

Equiv. to Surv. Weld

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was from the surveillance weld having different weld wire heat number.

Sister Plant

This indicates that the unirradiated USE was derived by using the reported value from other plants with the same weld wire heat number.

Blank

indicates that there is insufficient data to determine the unirradiated USE. These licensees will utilize Topical Report NEDO-32205, Revision 1 to demonstrate USE compliance to Appendix G, 10 CFR Part 50.