Robert McKeon Plant Manager

Detroit

Edison

Fermi 2 6400 North Dade Highway Newport, Michigan 48166 (313) 586-5249

10CFR50.73

Nuclear Operations

November 5, 1990 NRC-90-0163

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Reference: Fermi 2 NRC Docket No. 50-341 NRC License No. NPF-43

Subject: Licensee Event Report (LER) No. 90-011

Please find enclosed LER No. 90-011, dated November 5, 1990, for a reportable event that occurred on October 6, 1990. A copy of this LER is also being sent 'o the Regional Administrator, USNRC Region III.

If you have any questions, please contact Joseph Pendergast at (313) 586-1682.

Sincerely,

Robert McKeon

Enclosure: NRC Forms 366, 366A

cc: A. B. Davis

J. R. Eckert

- R. W. DeFayette
- W. G. Rogers
- J. F. Stang

Wayne County Emergency Management Division

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						(LER)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3156-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88									
FACILITY NAME (1)	Frank							DOCKET NUMBER (2) PAGE (3)								
TITLE (4)	rermi (0 15 10 10	101314	1	1 05	017				
	Reactor	Scram Du	e to Rea	ctor Wat	er Leve	1 Dis	crepancy									
EVENT DATE	(6)	LER NUMBER	(6)	REPORT D	ATE (7)		OTHER	HER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)								
MONTH DAY	YEAR YEAR	SEDUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NA	MES	DOCKET NU	MBER	(2)					
									0 15 10	10	101	L				
1006	010010	- 0 h 11	1-010	111 0	5 9 1 0				0 1510	10	. 0 .					
OPERATING	THIS RI	PORT IS SUBMITTI	D PURSUANT	TO THE REQUIR	EMENTE OF 1	CFR & (0	Check one or more	of the following)	11)	1	<u>L</u>					
MODE (6)	2 20	402(6)		20.405(c)		X	50.73(a)(2)(ie)		73,710	b)						
POWER	20	405(#)(1)(i)		60.36(e)(1)		-	60.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)						
(10)	< 20 20	405(+)(1)(0)	-	50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)	A1	below 366A	and in	Taxt, NRC	Form				
	20	406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)			60.73(a)(2)(viii)(8)								
	30	405 (a) (1) (v)		60.73(a)(2)(iii)			50,73(a)(2)(x)									
			L	ICENSEE CONTA	CT FOR THIS	LER (12)										
IN A ME								AREA CODE	TELEPHONE	NUME	ER					
	Josep	h Pendera	ast. Com	pliance	Enginee	r		31113	51816	1 -	116	1812				
		COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPON	INT FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	AT (13)	1 -1 - 19	- -						
CAUSE SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	STEM COMPONENT MANU		REPORTAL TO NPRE	BLE						
)												
	1.1.1				E SWA			1								
e		SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)	l	<u> </u>		+ + - + - +	Inc	ONTH	DAY	YEAR				
an bende ter de lander an de land En verde de lander an						****		- EXPEC SUBMIS	SION							
YES IIf yes, co	mplata EXPECTED	SUBMISSION DATI	f)	X NO					101	1	1	1				
	On Octor	per 6, 199	0, Fermi vestigat	2 was i	n stari											

SEE EVENT REPOR	I (LER)		N APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0174 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS									
TEXT CONTINUATION				ION COLLECT REGARDING HTS MANAGE RY COMMISSI WORK REDU EMENT AND B	ION REQUEST BURDEN ESTIR MENT BRANCH ION, WASHING CTION PROJEC UDGET, WASHI	50.0 HI MATE TO (P-530) TON, DC 1 OT (3150- INGTON, 1	RS. FOR THE RE U.S. NU 20655. 0 0104). 0 DC 2050	WARD CORDS CLEAR ND TO OFFICE				
	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	The second	LE	R NUMBER (6	1	T	AGE ())				
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL REVISION			TT					
2	0 15 10 10 10 13 1 4 1	9 10		0111		0 12	OF	017				
	2. PC Form 3864 (s.) (17)	2 0 5 0 0 3 4 1	2. 0 5 0 0 3 4 1 9 0	2. 0 15 0 0 0 3 4 1 9 0	2. 0 15 0 0 0 3 4 1 9 0 - 0 1 1	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) 2 0 5 0 0 3 4 1 9 0 0 1 1 0 0	PEGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150- OF MAN/GEMENT A-10 BUDGET, WASHINGTON, 10 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL MEMORE R 2 0 [5 0 0 0 3] 4] 1 9 [0 - 0] 1 1 - 0 [0 0 2 0 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) FAGE (3) 2 0 5 0 0 3 4 1 9 0 - 0 1 1 - 0 0 0 2 OF				

Initial Plant Conditions:

Operational Condition: 2 Startup Reactor Power: <1 Percent Reactor Fressure: 52 psig Reactor Temperature: 288 degrees Fahrenheit

Description of the Event:

On October 6, 1990, Fermi 2 was in startup and holding while plant personnel were investigating Reactor Water Level indication (PDT) discrepancies as a result of a failed instrument channel check prior to heat-up. The instrument channel check indicated a 7 inch level difference for Reactor Water Level. The channel check acceptance criteria for this instrumentation is 5 inches. The Reactor Protection System (JC) (RPS) Reactor Water Level narrow range instrumentation is supplied from two reference legs. The RPS instruments supplied from the "A" reference leg are Division 1 RPS logic "A" and Division 2 RPS logic "B". The RPS instruments supplied from the "B" reference leg are Division 1 RPS logic "C" and Division 2 RPS logic "D" (see attached figure).

Immediately prior to the scram Instrumentation & Controls (I&C) Technicians troubleshooting the level difference noticed at the remote indication that the "B" reference leg indicated approximately 20 inches lower than the RPS instrumentation associated with "A" reference leg. The I&C technicians were in the process of notifying the Control Room when at 1754 hours, a reactor scram on Low Reactor Water Level occurred. The Low Reactor Water Level scram setpoint is 173.4 inches. Plant systems operated as designed and all of the control rods (JD) fully inserted.

Initial post scram troubleshooting was performed as close to pre-scram conditions as possible and, based on the results, plant management made the decision to proceed to cold shutdown so that further investigation could be completed to determine the cause for the Reactor Water Level discrepancy. Investigation by plant engineering personnel determined that the most probable cause was an air void in the "A" reference leg (PSX).

(6-89)	LICENSEE EVENT	APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION DOLLECTION REQUEST 500 MRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20055. AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20050.								
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)			-	U)			PAGE	(3)
			VEAR	-	NUMBER		NUMBER			
	Fermi 2	0 15 10 10 10 13 14 11	90	-	0111		010	0	3 0	017
TEXT IN more space is reg	uired, use edilitions/ NRC Form 305A's/ (17	n						-		

I&C Technicians weighed (i.e., the reference legs had the same pressure due to vertical height of water above the instruments) and backfilled each reference leg. The Drywell (NH) was deinerted to allow inspection of the instrument lines. The reference legs and instrument racks were walked down prior to startup. No instrument line leaks, slope problems or insulation displacements were identified during the walkdown.

The plant was re-started on October 8, 1990. During the second startup, water level indication differences of a smaller magnitude were observed between the Reactor Water Level narrow range indications. This water level discrepancy cleared at a pressure of about 70 psig.

Cause of the Event:

The cause of this event was attributed to air voids in the reference leg collapsing at a faster rate than the reference leg fill from condensing pot "A". This caused level instruments associated with the "A" reference leg to read a higher level than the instruments associated with the "B" reference leg, with the "B" level closer to the actual Reactor Water Level.

Control of reactor water level during the startup was being maintained by manual discharge through the Reactor Water Cleanup System to the condenser using level indication associated with the "A" reference leg (the higher, incorrect level) as the control parameter. This parameter was selected based upon the fact that there were multiple high water level indications on available Control Room instruments. Two of the three feedwater control Reactor Water Level indicator. indicated higher water level.

Lower indicatic existed on all instruments associated with the "B" reference leg, including the RPS instruments. When this level difference was combined with level decreases that occurred during steam line heat-up, the low Reactor Water Level scram setpoint was actuated.

NRC FORM 366A (6-80)	LICENSEE EVENT REI TEXT CONTINUA	US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION PORT (LER) TION	APPROLID OME NO. 3150-0106 EXPIRES 4/30/82 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F500). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)							
			YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION								
	Fermi 2	0 15 10 10 10 13 14 11	90-0111-00	01400017							
TEXT IN more space is requi	red, use additional NRC Form 3064's) (17)	an a									

There were several contributing factors to this scram, including the following: (1) the relatively short duration of the indication difference; (2) backup instrumentation was either off-scale high or remotely located; (3) the variations in Reactor Water Level that typically occurs during initial steaming; and (4) multiple high level indications from the same reference leg on the control panel used for water level monitoring.

In addition, General Electric Service Information Letter (SIL) 470, "Reactor Water Level Measurement", described this type of problem. At the time of the original evaluation of this SIL, the decision to not implement the backfilling recommendation was based on Fermi 2 operating experience and system design. Based on Detroit Edison's re-evaluation of this SIL, it has been concluded that backfilling of the reference legs should have been implemented.

Analysis of the Event:

The Reactor Water Level indication that was used as the control parameter read incorrectly high. The more correct level, "B", fell below the reactor scram set point as operations continued. A review of water level information from the Emergency Response Information System implies that the lowest Reactor Water Level was 170". Thus, this condition had no safety significance since (1) the fuel remained covered with water and (2) no Emergency Core Cooling System setpoints were challenged.

All narrow range instruments were below their calibrated conditions of 1000 psig, 135°F drywell temperature. The instruments associated with the "B" reference leg did cause a scram thus completing their safety function of maintaining an adequate water inventory for cooling the fuel. The content of stored energy in the fuel at the time of this event was below the nominal energy content assumed in the UFSAR Accident Analyses and as such posed no significant threat to the cladding barrier. This discrepancy has only been observed at low pressure/power conditions. Based on the above, the health and safety of the general public and plant safety was ensured at all times during this event.

NRC FORM 366A	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					APPROVED OME NO. 3150.0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST BOD HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATS TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565. AND TO								
FACILITY NAME (1)			DOCKET NUE	MBER (2)			THE OF M	LE	R NUMBER	(6)	REVISION	NGTON	PAGE (DEFFICE 13. ID
	Fermi	2	0 15 10	1010	131	4 1	90	_	0111	-	0,0	01	5 OF	017

Corrective Actions:

Initial corrective actions were focused on determining the most probable cause of the level indication difference. This cause was determined by (1) careful examination of indications and data taken during the scram and (2) results of walkdown inspections of the physical layout of equipment and checks for possible sources of indication differences, such as leaks and slope problems.

Additional corrective actions in the form of startup controls were applied by the On-Site Safety Review Organization (OSRO) prior to allowing restart. These controls consisted of:

- Lower heatup rate to allow additional time for monitoring level instrument response.
- ii) Data collection to check effectiveness of corrective action.
- iii) No steam draw until 125 psig to minimize impact (e.g., level/pressure transients) on recorded data.
- iv) Conservative level control (used lowest reading instrument).

Results of the data collection on the restart indicated that the magnitude of the level difference had decreased but it was still high enough to result in a failure of the channel check criteria. Subsected review of the reference leg line configuration for effectiveness of the reference leg filling method used prior to restart, indicated that not all the air could be removed by the volume or velocity of the water used. Based on this, air is still believed to be present in the "A" reference leg. The corrective action for this is to clarify the existing backfill/flush workplan and to proceduralize it to establish better methods of backfilling/flushing. Following extended outages a backfill and/or flush will be performed for the reference legs.

The General Operating Procedure will be revised to require that steam will not be drawn until Reactor Pressure is above 100 psig other than normal Main Steam Line warm-up and that Reactor Water Level is controlled using the most conservative indicator up to 100 psig. This procedure revision will be completed by the end of December, 1990.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION				APPROVED OME NO. 3165-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO DOMPLY W INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST BOD HRS. FC COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE R AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (PS30). U.S. N REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20055. THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (D160-0104) OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 200									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LE	R NUMBER I	1)			PAGE	(3)				
		YEAR	1	NUMBER	1	REVISION							
Fermi 2	0 6 0 0 3 4 1	9 10	-	01111	-	010	01	6 0	017				
TEXT (# more space is required, use additional NRC Form 386.4)	D (17)				and comment	*****			A				

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CO

Urgent Required Reading describing the chronological series of events, cause of the event and corrective actions was issued to the operating shifts.

A Deviation Event Report was written to re-evaluate General Electric SIL 470. Plant Engineering personnel are currently reviewing the SIL. C....

2

1

320 See

the second

Previous Similar Events:

There were no previous similar events due to reactor water level discrepancies during a startup.

د بېږ

NRC FORM 366A



Division noted in () TAF = Top of Active Fuel