

October 11, 1990

Docket No. 245

MEMORANDUM FOR: T. Murley B. Grimes E. Butcher
 F. Miraglia F. Congel W. Lanning
 W. Russell, ADT J. Roe P. McKee
 J. Partlow, ADPR R. Wessman EAB/Acting Chief
 D. Crutchfield G. Lainas T. Gody, Sr., LPEB
 S. Varga J. Zwolinski W. Bateman, EDO
 C. Rossi B. Boger Operations Center
 J. Richardson W. Travers T. Cox
 A. Thadani F. Gillespie

THRU: John F. Stolz, Director
 Project Directorate I-4
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

FROM: Michael L. Boyle, Senior Project Manager
 Project Directorate I-4
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

SUBJECT: DAILY HIGHLIGHT: FORTHCOMING MEETING WITH NORTHEAST
 UTILITIES (TAC 60207)

DATE & TIME: Tuesday, October 16, 1990 @ 10:00 am - 2:00 pm

LOCATION: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 One White Flint North
 11555 Rockville Pike, Room 10B13
 Rockville, Maryland 20852

PURPOSE: To discuss the Millstone 1 undervoltage protection design.
 See attached discussion points.

PARTICIPANTS*:	<u>NRC</u>		<u>UTILITY</u>
	J. Stolz	J. Knight	P. Miner, et al.
	M. Boyle	J. Lazevnick	
	F. Rosa		

original signed by

Michael L. Boyle, Senior Project Manager
 Project Directorate I-4
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

Attachment: As stated

cc w/Attachment
 See next page

PDI-4:LA SNorris 10/10/90 PDI-4:PM MBoyle 10/10/90 PDI-4:D JStolz 10/11/90

10/11/90

* Meetings between NRC technical staff and applicants or licensees are open for interested members of the public, petitioners, intervenors, or other parties to attend as observers pursuant to "Open Meeting Statement of NRC Staff Policy," 43 Federal Register 28058, 6/28/78.

9010310081 901011
 PDR ADOCK 05000245
 P PNU

JFol



UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

October 11, 1990

Docket No. 245

MEMORANDUM FOR: T. Murley B. Grimes E. Butcher
 F. Miraglia F. Congel W. Lanning
 W. Russell, ADT J. Roe P. McKee
 J. Partlow, ADPR R. Wessman EAB/Acting Chief
 D. Crutchfield G. Lainas T. Gody, Sr., LPEB
 S. Varga J. Zwolinski W. Bateman, EDO
 C. Rossi B. Boger Operations Center
 J. Richardson W. Travers T. Cox
 A. Thadani F. Gillespie

THRU: John F. Stolz, Director
 Project Directorate I-4
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

FROM: Michael L. Boyle, Senior Project Manager
 Project Directorate I-4
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

SUBJECT: DAILY HIGHLIGHT: FORTHCOMING MEETING WITH NORTHEAST
 UTILITIES (TAC 60207)

DATE & TIME: Tuesday, October 16, 1990 @ 10:00 am - 2:00 pm

LOCATION: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 One White Flint North
 11555 Rockville Pike, Room 10B13
 Rockville, Maryland 20852

PURPOSE: To discuss the Millstone 1 undervoltage protection design.
 See attached discussion points.

PARTICIPANTS*:	<u>NRC</u>	<u>UTILITY</u>
	J. Stolz	P. Miner, et al.
	M. Boyle	
	F. Rosa	
	J. Knight	
	J. Lazevnick	

Alan Wang for

Michael L. Boyle, Senior Project Manager
 Project Directorate I-4
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

Attachment: As stated

cc w/Attachment
 See next page

* Meetings between NRC technical staff and applicants or licensees are open for interested members of the public, petitioners, intervenors, or other parties to attend as observers pursuant to "Open Meeting Statement of NRC Staff Policy," 43 Federal Register 28058, 6/28/78.

Mr. Edward J. Mrocza
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

Millstone Nuclear Power Station
Unit No. 1

cc:

Gerald Garfield, Esquire
Day, Berry and Howard
Counselors at Law
City Place
Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3499

R. M. Kacich, Manager
Generation Facilities Licensing
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

W. D. Romberg, Vice President
Nuclear Operations
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

D. O. Nordquist
Director of Quality Services
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Kevin McCarthy, Director
Radiation Control Unit
Department of Environmental Protection
State Office Building
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Regional Administrator
Region I
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Bradford S. Chase, Under Secretary
Energy Division
Office of Policy and Management
80 Washington Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

First Selectmen
Town of Waterford
Hall of Records
200 Boston Post Road
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

S. E. Scace, Nuclear Station Director
Millstone Nuclear Power Station
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

W. J. Raymond, Resident Inspector
Millstone Nuclear Power Station
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Post Office Box 811
Niantic, Connecticut 06357

J. P. Stetz, Nuclear Unit Director
Millstone Unit No. 1
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

MILLSTONE UNIT 1 UNDERVOLTAGE PROTECTION SPLIT-LOGIC DESIGN
DISCUSSION POINTS

In its July 20, 1990 letter and other past correspondence, Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (NNECO) spoke of problems with its proposed undervoltage protection logic at Millstone Unit 1 which it attributed to the "split-logic design" (design would transfer a single division to emergency power upon a loss of normal power [LNP] to only that division). They stated that:

"The revised design resulted in operator confusion due to the significantly different unit response to a loss of offsite power. NNECO was concerned with the operators' ability to assess the developing scenario in time to prevent events such as serious level transients, since the reactor would not be directly scrammed by the new scheme, but rather would scram sometime later due to a process variable exceeding its setpoint."

"In the final analysis, NNECO concluded that these concerns were significant enough that the new scheme should not be placed into service without, at a minimum, the addition of a turbine generator or reactor trip initiated directly as a result of low voltage."

As a result, NNECO's final design proposal for the undervoltage protection at Millstone 1 did incorporate a reactor trip initiated directly as a result of a low voltage (less than 40%) on buses 14A, 14B, 14C, or 14D. The new design however, also eliminated the split-logic concept resulting in a design that would no longer automatically transfer a safety division to its standby power source if a LNP occurred only on that one division. No specifics were given with regard to problems with the split-logic design separate from the direct scram issue (which seems to have been resolved by the direct scram on loss of voltage to bus 14A, 14B, 14C, or 14D) other than to attribute it to the asymmetrical bus arrangement at Millstone 1 and a statement that, "NNECO's probabilistic safety evaluation and testing of operator response on the plant

specific simulator showed that such a design would potentially have adverse impacts on plant safety." NNECO also stated that they were "concerned that the use of a split-bus operational capability could well lead to iterative design changes with repeated "bandaid" type fixes as specific problems were identified in partial LNP scenarios."

In order for the staff to properly assess NNECO's concerns with the split-logic design, they should be prepared to discuss:

1. The specific reactor system, electrical system, or other system responses to a partial LNP that Millstone Unit 1 operators are having a problem dealing with. In their discussion they should be prepared to identify the specific equipment involved and should assume that the reactor will be automatically scrammed when voltage is less than 40% on buses 14A,B,C, or D.
2. Why the system responses or the operators ability to deal with them are worse for a LNP to one division with subsequent automatic reenergization from its standby power source, than it is when the LNP occurs on the one division with no automatic reenergization from the standby power source. It should be assumed that the reactor will be automatically scrammed when voltage is less than 40% on buses 14A,B,C, or D.
3. Why the system responses or the operators ability to deal with them are worse for a LNP to one division with subsequent automatic reenergization from its standby power source, than it is when the LNP occurs on both divisions with subsequent automatic reenergization of both divisions from their standby power sources. It should be assumed that the reactor will be automatically scrammed when voltage is less than 40% on buses 14A,B,C, or D.

4. Why proper training of the operators and a comprehensive analysis of the split-logic design wouldn't alleviate NNECO's concerns with the split-logic concept, since the heart of the issue seems to rest with the differences between this approach and the plant's original design and NNECO's unfamiliarity with the new approach.

DISTRIBUTION FOR MEETING NOTICE DATED: October 11, 1990

Facility: *

Docket or Central File

NRC & Local PDRs

PDI-4 Reading

T. Murley/F. Miraglia (12G18)

J. Partlow (12G18)

S. Varga (14E4)

B. Boger

S. Norris

M. Boyle

Acting Chief, EAB

OGC

E. Jordan (MNBB-3302)

Receptionist (One White Flint North)

ACRS (10)

GPA/PA

L. Thomas (12E4)

V. Wilson (12H5)

J. Caldwell (Region I, Mail Stop 17G21)

F. Rosa

J. Knight

J. Lazevnick

cc: Licensee/Applicant & Service List