

**Transamerica  
Delaval**



Transamerica Delaval Inc.  
Engine and Compressor Division  
550 85th Avenue  
P.O. Box 2161  
Oakland, California 94621  
(415) 577-7400

January 28, 1982

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region IV  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000  
Arlington, Texas 76011

Docket No: 99900334/81-02

Attention: Mr. Uldis Potapous, Chief

Gentlemen:

Thank you for your letter of January 14, 1982, in response to our letter of October 6, 1981.

We have reviewed your comments in paragraph 2 and 3. Again, we ask that you reconsider items C and D in light of our reply which follows, and rule items C and D are, in fact, in conformance with 10CFR50B.

Item C -

The motor nameplate and the motor test report are the records that the motors are environmentally qualified. The motors were also seismically qualified to the seismic conditions specified for the site. Motor test reports and the seismic report were submitted to Bechtel.

Item D -

We submitted, to Bechtel, the letters that document compliance of the motor manufacturer to TDI's P.O. on February 3, 1978. Bechtel responded February 16 and requested additional information.

In an effort to satisfy Bechtel, we attempted to get Allis-Chalmers to provide additional documentation. We tried to accommodate Bechtel, but were unsuccessful. This effort was done as a courtesy and not as a contractual requirement.

8210050290 821001  
PDR GA999 EMVTRANA  
99900334 PDR

**Transamerica  
Delaval**



Transamerica Delaval Inc.  
Engine and Compressor Division  
550 85th Avenue  
P.O. Box 2161  
Oakland, California 94621  
(415) 577-7400

January 28, 1982  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Mr. Uldis Potapous, Chief  
Page 2

In addition to the two letters mentioned above, we have a letter in our file, dated May 30, 1978, that further documents compliance of the manufacture of the motors to Transamerica Delaval's purchase order. This letter states, "In answer to your May 2, 1978, letter to me, at the time of manufacture, the subject motors were built under a Quality Assurance system that met the intent of 10CFR50, Appendix B. The motors supplied are physically, dimensionally, and performance wise no different than motors that would be built to meet Class 1E requirements of IEEE 323, 333, & 344, 1971 edition. During manufacture of motors, records were not maintained that will document these motors for Class 1E requirements of IEEE or ANSI."

If you require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely yours,

*RE Boyer*  
R. E. Boyer, Manager  
Quality Assurance

REB:cjb

bcc: C. S. Mathews  
R. J. Pabers  
E. H. Wilson  
L. Wong  
V. Dilworth