

Westinghouse Electric Corporation Water Reactor Divisions Electro Mechanical Division

Cheswick Avenue Cheswick Pennsylvania 15024 Cable WECHESWICK 412/963-5500

TWX 5104£ .60 TELEX 8665+/

September 10, 1982

Mr. Uldis Potapovs, Chief Vendor Inspection Branch United States Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76012

Dear Mr. Potapovs:

Reference: Letter to F. R. Bakos from Uldis Potapovs dated August 10, 1982,

Docket No. 99900033/82-01

Westinghouse Electro-Mechanical Division has reviewed Inspection Report No. 99900033/82-01 and has determined that it does not contain proprietary information.

Response to the Notice of Nonconformance section of the inspection report is provided in the attachment. To facilitate ease of reading, the requirement and the observed nonconformance are repeated, followed by the Westinghouse Electro-Mechanical Division corrective actions.

Sincerely,

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION

ELECTRO-MECHANICAL DIVISION

H. D. Ruppel General Manager

Attachment

RESPONSE to NOTICE of NONCONFORMANCE NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 99900033/82-01 INSPECTION CONDUCTED JUNE 7-11, 1982

NONCONFORMANCE A

Westinghouse Electro-Mechanical Division Product Assurance Instructions, PAI 410, Revision 1, requires nonconforming material to be identified with a Material Review Report or an Error Correction Tag (ECT) and necessary rework documented on such forms.

Contrary to the above, ECT 869076 was observed attached to an end closure, Part 5053D73, serial number 1855, one of a lot of three on Shop Order 1880402 and not to the applicable serial number 1868. It was additionally noted that serial number 1844 of this lot had been reworked for reroval of an impression stamping without documentation of this nonconforming condition on an ECT or Material Review Report.

RESPONSE

Contrary to the audit nonconformance report, Error Correction Tag, ECT 869076, was correctly written and correctly attached to end closure Fart 5053D73, serial number 1855. The drawing 5053D73 specifies this marking requirement by an arrow from the words to the upper surface in the plan view of the part. Around the arrowhead on the plan view is a broken line rectangular box indicating the area for marking. During the audit, this drawing specification was misinterpreted to mean that the marking was to be placed on the opposite or hidden side of the part. The marking operator had made the same error in interpreting the drawing and had marked end closure, serial number 1855 on the back side, thus causing the generation of the Error Correction Tag. To avoid any further confusion, drawing 5053D73 will be changed by September 30, 1982, to identify surface "F" and specify that the marking is to be placed on surface "F."

With respect to the lack of an ECT on serial number 1844 which had been reworked, it had been incorrectly assumed that the rework of the marking could be performed without ECT documentation. ECT 147571 was issued September 7, 1982, to document the nonconformance and rework. The operator involved has been reinstructed in conformance to the ECT procedure, and this condition will be reviewed with all operators at work place meetings scheduled for September.

RESPONSE to NOTICE of NONCONFORMANCE NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 99900033/82-01 INSPECTION CONDUCTED JUNE 7-11, 1982

NONCONFORMANCE B

Westinghouse Electro-Mechanical Division Interdepartmental Procedure Q-2, dated September 1, 1980, requires that a potential Substantial Safety Hazard be documented on form AEQA-1460 prior to submittal to the Water Reactors Division (WRD) Safety Review Committee.

Contrary to the above, the reactor coolant pump's diffuser adaptor cap screw failures at Carolina Power and Light were reported to the WRD Safety Review Committee but were not documented on form AEQA-1460.

RESPONSE

Failure of the reactor coolant pump diffuser adapter capscrews at Carolina Power and Light is being documented on Form AEQA-1460, as required by IDP Q-2. This action will be completed by September 15, 1982.

To prevent recurrence, this condition was discussed with the Manager of Commercial Nuclear Design Engineering during the audit.