

Delymeno to a c

JOHN E HARWARD Vice President Claims

September 13, 1982

Mr. Jerome Saltzman
Assistant Director
State and Licensee Relations
Office of State Programs
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Abstract #95

General Atomic Company

Re: Marjorie Elaine Williams, et al.

D/O: 1958 to 10/13/80

Dear Mr. Saltzman:

We have just been informed of a suit in the San Diego, California Superior Court by the wife and surviving children of decedent, Billy F. Williams.

A copy of the complaint, and amended complaint, filed in this case is enclosed for your records. The complaint provides very little information other than allegations that the decedent, Billy F. Williams, was a former employee of General Atomic Corporation, whose death allegedly resulted from radiation exposures during his employment at the General Atomic, Torry Pines, nuclear facility between the period from 1958 to October 13, 1980.

We are also informed that General Atomic Corporation is presently dealing with a Workers Compensation fatal claim involving the death of this same employee. General Atomic has elected to have their own attorneys handle the liability suit, which would not be covered under our nuclear liability policy because of the employer-employee relationship between General Atomic Corporation and decedent Billy F. Williams.

MOIZ

Mr. Jerome Saltzman Page 2 September 13, 1982 This is all the information currently available in this case as we have just commenced our investigation. If you require additional information later on, please let me know and I will see that it is provided. Very truly yours J. E. Harward Vice President, Claims JEH/pbj Enclosure

NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY TELEPHI			
JOHN M. U.QUHART, A.P.C. (714) 1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 Plaintiffs Insert name of court, judicial district or branch court, if any, and Post Office and	236-9933	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN	DIEGO		
MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, LESLI PRISCELLA D. WILLIAMS MALCOLM,			
GENERAL DYNAMICS, ACF INDUSTRIE ATOMIC COMPANY, PUBLIC SERVICE DOES I through C, Inclusive			
	AGES & FIRST AME	NDMENT TO COMPLAINT	
SUMMONS*	Service State of the Service S	476824	
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.	decidir contra Ud. sl sponda dentro de 30 d	¡AVISO! Usted ha sido demandado. El tribunal puede decidir contra Ud. sin audiencia a menos que Ud. responda dentro de 30 días. Lea la información que sigue.	
If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be filed on time.	este asunto, debería	Si Usted desea solicitar el consejo de un abogado en este asunto, debería hacerlo inmediatamente, de esta manera, su respuesta escrita, si hay alguna, puede ser registrada a tiempo.	
1. TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint has been filed	by the plaintiff against you		
you must, within 30 days after this summons is served to Unless you do so, your default will be entered on appagainst you for the relief demanded in the complaint, or property or other relief requested in the complaint.	on you, file with this court a dication of the plaintiff, an which could result in garni	written response to the complaint. d this court may enter a judgment shment of wages, taking of money TO ZUMWALT, Clerk	
you must, within 30 days after this summons is served unless you do so, your default will be entered on appagainst you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	on you, file with this court a plication of the plaintiff, an which could result in garni ROBERT	d this court may enter a judgment shment of wages, taking of money	

A written response must be in the form prescribed by the California Rules of Court. It must be filed in this court with the proper filing fee and proof of service of a copy on each plaintiff's attorney and on each plaintiff not represented by an attorney. The time when a summons is deemed served on a party may vary depending on the method of service. For example, see CCP 413.10 through 415.50. The word: complaint: includes cross-complaint. Includes cross-complaint includes cross-complaint includes cross-complaint.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
NAME OF BRANCH COURT, IF ANY	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
ITLE OF CASE (ABBREVIATED)		
MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, et al.		
Vs.		
GENERAL DYNAMICS, et al.		
JOHN M. URQUHART, A.P.C.		
1010 Second Avenue, Suite 1800		
San Diego, California 92101	CASE NUMBER	
Telephone: (714) 236-9933	467824	
NOT	TICE.	
TO PRESIDENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPAN		
Code of Civil Procedure. Your failure to complete this form party on whose behalf you are being served) to liability for on you in any other manner permitted by law. If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, unit	the payment of any expenses incurred in serving a summon incorporated association (including a partnership), or other	
entity, this form must be signed by you in the name of sur- process on behalf of such entity. In all other cases, this form by you to acknowledge receipt of summons. Section 415.3 deemed served on the date you sign the Acknowledgment	must be signed by you personally or by a person authorized provides that this summons and other document(s) are	
	Dis. 5 100	
Dated July 12, 1982	PATRICIA EDDLEMAN, Secretary	
	ENT OF RECEIPT	
This acknowledges receipt of: (To be completed by sender 1. A copy of the summons and of the complaint, 2. A copy of the summons and of the Petition (Marria Blank Confidential Counseling Statement (Marria Order to Show Cause (Marriage) Blank Responsive Declaration Blank Financial Declaration Other: (Specify)	and First Amendment to Comples.	
(To be completed by recipient)		
Date of receipt:	(Signature of person acknowledging receipt, with title if acknowledgment is made on behalf of another person)	
Date this form is signed:	(Type or print your name and name of entity, if any	
	on whose behalf this form is signed)	

Form Approved by the Judicial Council of California Revised Effective March 4 1972

LAW OFFICES OF 1 JOHN M. URQUHART A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 0 0 0 0 2 1010 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1800 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 BUSINESS DIVISION 3 **TELEPHONE 236-9933** OCT 13 1981 AREA CODE 714 4 5 Attorney for Plaintiffs ROBERT D. ZUMWALT CLERK, SAN DIEGO COUNTY 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 9 10 CASE NO .: 476924 11 MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, LESLIE D. WILLIAMS MITCHELL, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 12 PRISCELLA D. WILLIAMS MALCOLM, and CHARLES C. WILLIAMS, (Negligence, Strict 13 Liability, Breach of Plaintiffs, Warranty, and Wrongful 14 Death) VS. 15 CONVAIR CORPORATION, AMERICAN 16 CAR AND FREIGHT CORPORATION, GENERAL ATOMIC CORPORATION, PUBLIC 17 SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO CORPORA-TION, and DOES I through D, Inclu-18 sive, 19 Defendants. 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

W (Negligence)

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs, MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, LESLIE D. WILLIAMS MITCHELL, PRISCELLA D. WILLIAMS MALCOLM, and CHARLES C. WILLIAMS, and for causes of action against Defendants, and each of them, allege:

1. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, of defendants DOES I through

D, Inclusive, are unknown to plaintiffs, who therefore sue said 1 defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and 2 believe, and thereon allege that each of the defendants herein 3 4 designated as a DOE is responsible in some actionable manner 5 for the events and happenings herein referred to, and caused 6 injuries and damages proximately thereby as herein alleged. 7 2. Plaintiff MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, is the widow of decedent, BILLY F. WILLIAMS. LESLIE D. WILLIAMS MITCHELL, 8 PRISCELLA D. WILLIAMS MALCOLM, and CHARLES C. WILLIAMS, are 9 children of the decedent, BILLY F. WILLIAMS. Said plaintiffs 10 are the sole surviving heirs at law of the decedent. 11 3. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege 12 that at all times herein mentioned, defendants, CONVAIR CORPORA-13 TION, AMERICAN CAR AND FREIGHT CORPORATION, PUBLIC SERVICE 14 COMPANY OF COLORADO CORPORATION, and DOES I through L, Inclusive, 15 were corporations authorized to do, and were doing business in 16 the County of San Diego, State of California, and had their 17 principal place of business in the County of San Diego, State of 18 19 California. 4. At all times relevant hereto, plaintiffs, MARJORIE 20 ELAINE WILLIAMS, LESLIE D. WILLIAMS MITCHELL, PRISCELLA D. 21 WILLIAMS MALCOLM and CHARLES C. WILLIAMS, are residents of the 22 23 County of San Diego, State of California. 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, 24 that at all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was 25 26 the agent, servant, employee, subsidiary, consultant, joint venture, and independent contractor of each remaining defendant. 27 28 At all times herein mentioned each defendant was acting within

- 2-

the course and scope of said agency, employment, joint venture, contract, or other relationship.

- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that at all times herein mentioned, defendants, CONVAIR CORPORATION, AMERICAN CAR AND FREIGHT CORPORATION, PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO CORPORATION, and DOES I through D, Inclusive, were engaged in manufacture, sale, distribution, lease, supply, use, measurement, and monitoring of radioactive material.
- 7. At all times relevant hereto, including, but not limited to 1958 through October 13, 1980, decedent BILLY F. WILLIAMS, worked for, consulted with and was employed by defendants, and each of them.
- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that ground allege that between 1958 and October 13, 1980, defendants, and each of them, negligently, carelessly, and recklessly, manufactured, sold, distributed, leased, supplied, used, measured, monitored, and exposed BILLY F. WILLIAMS to an excessive dangerous and toxic amount of radiation. As a proximate result of said negligence, carelessness, and recklessness, BILLY F. WILLIAMS, died, causing all of the plaintiffs' damages as hereinafter alleged.
- 9. As a direct and proximate result of said negligence, carelessness and recklessness of defendants, and each of them, and the death of said decedent, plaintiffs have been deprived of the comfort, protection, and support of said decedent and have thereby sustained pecuniary loss and damages in an amount which exceeds the minimum jurisdiction of this court, and certain funeral expenses in an amount to be determined.

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

28

(Warranty)

- 10. Plaintiffs replead and incorporate by reference, each and every allegation contained in the First and Second Causes of Action.
- 11. From 1958 through October 13, 1980, defendants, and each of them, expressly and impliedly warranted that their products, and the component parts thereof, were of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for their safe use by their employees, such as decedent herein; defendants, and each of them, knew or reasonably should have known that said products would be used by their employees, such as decedent, in a manner similar to the way in which each product was being used from 1958 through October 13, 1980; it was foreseeable that their employees, such as decedent herein, would be injured and damaged as a result of said products' failure to be of merchantable quality and reasonably fit for their intended purpose as hereinabove alleged.
- 12. Defendants, and each of them, breached said expressed and implied warranty of fitness and merchantability in that said products were not reasonably fit for their intended purpose of merchantable quality, and by reason of the premises, plaintiffs were proximately caused all of the injuries and damages herein alleged.
- 13. Plaintiffs have provided notice to defendants, and each of them, of said breach. Defendants, and each of them, have refused to acknowledge said breach, or any responsibility therefore.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Strict Liability)

14. Plaintiffs replead and incorporate by reference, each and every allegation contained in the First and Second Causes of Action.

- 15. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that at all times herein mentioned, defendants, and each of them, were engaged in the design, manfacture, engineering, testing, assembly, distribution, repair, modification, sale, and lease of certain products, containing radioactive material for use by members of the general public, including decedent herein.
- of them, knew, or reasonably should have known, that said products would be used without inspection for certain defects, including those which proximately caused the plaintiffs' damages as hereinafter alleged; plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that ground allege, that said products, containing radioactive materials and their component parts, were defective and that said defects were present in said products at all times herein mentioned, including the time during which said products were in the course and scope of being designed, manufactured, distributed, inspected, constructed, sold, reapired, delivered, assembled and used, and at all times subsequent thereto.
- 17. At all times relevant hereto, including the period between 1958 and October 13, 1980, and prior thereto and thereafter, decedent, was operating and using said products, during the regular course of his work, in San Diego, California. At said time and place, decedent BILLY F. WILLIAMS was operating and

-5-

using said products in the manner in which it was intended by their designers, manufacturers, distributors, inspectors, sellers, repairers, lessors, and vendors; as a proximate result of said defects in said products, they malfunctioned, shattered, and leaked radiation, and failed. As a proximate result, BILLY F. WILLIAMS died. As a proximate result, plaintiffs sustained all of the damages hereinabove alleged.

:3

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Strict Liability)

- 18. Plaintiffs repleads and incorporates by reference, each and every allegation contained in all the preceding causes of action.
- 19. At all times relevant hereto, including, but not limited to, 1958 through October 13, 1980, defendants, and each of them, maintained on their premises and abnormally dangerous addition, to wit: radioactive material. Defendants, and each of them, engaged in an abnormally dangerous activity which created a high risk of harm to others, to wit: the manufacture, design, engineering, testing, assembly, distribution, repair, modification, sale, and lease of certain radioactive material.
- 20. As a proximate result of the maintenance of said abnormally dangerous condition and/or the creation of said abnormally high risk, BILLY F. WILLIAMS died, causing all of the plaintiffs' damages as hereinabove alleged.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs, and each of them, pray for judgment against the defendants, and each of them, as follows:

For the pecuniary loss, of the comfort, society, support,
 protection of the plaintiffs' decedent;

2. For the incident medical, funeral, and related expense incurred by and on behalf of plaintiffs' decedent'

3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and,

4. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: October 13, 1981 JOHN M. URQUHART, A.P.C.,

DHN M. UROUHART

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN M. URQUHART

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1010 BECOND AVENUE, BUITE 1800 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

> TELEPHONE 236-9933 AREA CODE 714

Attorney for Plaintiffs

ORIGINAL FILED BY D. COUSINS, DEPUTY JUL 7 CLERK, SAN DIEGO COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, LESLIE D. WILLIAMS MITCHELL, PRISCELLA D. WILLIAMS MALCOLM, and CHARLES C. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CONVAIR CORPORATION, AMERICAN CAR AND FREIGHT CORPORATION, GENERAL ATOMIC CORPORATION, PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO CORPORA-TION, and DOES I through D, Inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 476824

FIRST AMENDMENT TO COM-PLAINT FOR DAMAGES

(Negligence, Strict Liability, Breach of Warranty, and Wrongful Death)

Plaintiffs, MARJORIE ELAINE WILLIAMS, LESLIE D. WILLIAMS MITCHELL, PRICELLA D. WILLIAMS MALCOLM, and CHARLES C. WILLIAMS, for amendment to complaint on file herein allege:

That the caption of the complaint, p.1, lines 15-18, be amend ed to read as follows: "...General Dynamics, AFC Industries, Incorporated, General Atomic Company, Public Service Company of Colorado, and DOES I through C, Inclusive ... " rather than "Convair Corporation, American Car and Freight Corporation, General Atomic

Corporation, Public Service Company of Colorado Corporation, and DOES I through D, Inclusive ..."

Said amendment is necessitated due to mistake in indentifying the proper names of defendants, and, with regard to Doe defendants, a typographical error.

II

That paragraph I of the First Cause of Action be amended to read at line 1, page 2, as follows: "C Inclusive" ... rather than "D, Inclusive."

Said amendment is necessitated by a typographical error.

III

That paragraph III of the First Cause of Action be amended to read at lines 13-15, page 2 as follows: "...defendants, General Dynamics, ACF Industries, Incorporated, General Atomic Company, Public Service Company of Colorado, and DOES I thorugh C Inclusive ..." rather than "... defendants, Convair Corporation, American Car and Freight Corporation, Public Service Company of Colorado Corporation, and DOES I through L, Inclusive..."

Said amendment is necessitated by mistake in identifying the proper names of defendants, omission of the name of one of defendants, to wit, General Atomic Company, and typographical error with regard to Doe defendants.

IV

That paragraph VI of the First Cause of Action be amended to read at lines 4-6, page 3, as follows:

"... defendants, General Dynamics, ACF Industries, Incorporated, General Atomic Company, Public Service Company of Colorado, and DOES I through C, Inclusive..." rather than "... defendants,

Convair Corporation, American Car and Freight Corporation, Public Service Company of Colorado Corporation, and DOES I through D, Inclusive ..."

Said amendment is necessitated by mistake in identifying the proper names of defendants, omission of the name of one of defendants, to wit, General Atomic Company, and typographical error with regard to Doe defendants.

Except as herein specifically amended, said complaint is hereby referred to and incorporated herein for the purpose as though fully set forth.

DATED: 2/30/82

JOHN M. URQUHART, A.P.C.

MICHAEL D. WATERMAN Attorneys for Plaintiffs

...