

ATTACHMENT G  
 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 1-1-82-209

LAP 1500-5  
 Rev 2  
 Date March 12, 1982

CONTROL BLOCK: \_\_\_\_\_ (1)  
 LICENSE CODE: L L L S I C 1 (2) 0 0 0 - 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 - 1 0 1 0 1 (3) 4 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 (4) \_\_\_\_\_ (5)  
 LICENSE NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ (6) \_\_\_\_\_ (7) \_\_\_\_\_ (8) \_\_\_\_\_ (9) \_\_\_\_\_ (10) \_\_\_\_\_ (11) \_\_\_\_\_ (12)

REPORT SOURCE: L (5) 0 1 5 1 0 1 0 1 3 1 7 1 3 (7) 0 1 8 1 2 1 6 1 8 1 2 (2) 0 1 9 1 1 1 5 1 8 1 2 (3)  
 DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_ (6) \_\_\_\_\_ (8) \_\_\_\_\_ (10) \_\_\_\_\_ (12) \_\_\_\_\_ (14) \_\_\_\_\_ (16) \_\_\_\_\_ (18) \_\_\_\_\_ (20)  
 EVENT DATE \_\_\_\_\_ (13) \_\_\_\_\_ (15) \_\_\_\_\_ (17) \_\_\_\_\_ (19) \_\_\_\_\_ (21) \_\_\_\_\_ (23) \_\_\_\_\_ (25) \_\_\_\_\_ (27) \_\_\_\_\_ (29) \_\_\_\_\_ (31)

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (12)  
 During update of Technical Specifications Table 3.7.9-2, it was discovered that a rigid restraint had been installed on line 1RI67AB-1/2" in place of snubber M-1302-36-133. The restraint and affected piping were visually inspected and the results revealed that no adverse loads were imposed. The plant was maintained in a safe condition at all times.

SYSTEM CODE: X X (11)  
 CAUSE CODE: A (12)  
 CAUSE SUBCODE: E (13)  
 COMPONENT CODE: S I U P I O I R T (14)  
 COMP. SUBCODE: P (15)  
 VALVE SUBCODE: X (16)  
 LEADING REPORT NUMBER: 8 1 2 (17)  
 EVENT YEAR: 1 9 8 2 (18)  
 SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.: 0 1 9 1 8 (19)  
 OCCURRENCE CODE: 0 3 (20)  
 REPORT TYPE: L (21)  
 REVISION NO.: 0 (22)  
 ACTION TAKEN: F (18)  
 FUTURE ACTION: X (19)  
 EFFECT ON PLANT: Z (23)  
 SHUTDOWN METHOD: Z (24)  
 HOURS: 0 0 0 0 0 0 (25)  
 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED: Y (26)  
 WROG. FORM SUBM.: N (27)  
 PRIME SUPPLIER: Z (28)  
 COMPONENT MANUFACTURER: Z 9 9 9 (29)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)  
 Supports for piping less than 2" diameter are designed by the mechanical contractor based on data supplied by the A/E. A rigid restraint was installed in place of snubber but error was not noticed until after plant was in operation. Engineering has evaluated problem as isolated occurrence. Work request L18495 was written, hanger installed, and evaluation performed within time period required by Technical Specifications

STATUS: B (30) 0 0 0 0 (31) OTHER STATUS: NA (32) METHOD OF DISCOVERY: C (33) Tech Spec Review (34) DELIVERY DESCRIPTION: \_\_\_\_\_ (35)  
 ACTIVITY DURING RELEASE OF RELEASE: Z (36) Z (37) AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY: NA (38) LOCATION OF RELEASE: \_\_\_\_\_ (39)  
 PERSONNEL EXPOSURE NUMBER: 0 0 0 (40) DESCRIPTION: Z (41) NA (42)  
 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER: 0 0 0 (43) DESCRIPTION: NA (44)  
 LOSS OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE: Z (45) DESCRIPTION: NA (46)  
 PUBLICITY DESCRIPTION: N (47) NA (48)

- I. LER NUMBER: 82-098/03L-0
- II. LASALLE COUNTY STATION: UNIT 1
- III. DOCKET NUMBER: 050-373/374
- IV. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

During an update of Technical Specifications Table 3.7.9-2, it was discovered that a rigid restraint had been installed on line 1RI67AB-1/2" in place of snubber M-1302-36-133.

- V. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES:

The rigid restraint and affected piping were visually inspected and mathematically analyzed by Sargent and Lundy Field Component Section. The results revealed that no adverse loads were imposed on either the affected piping or the restraint. The plant was maintained in a safe condition at all times.

- VI. CAUSE:

Component supports for piping less than 2" diameter are designed by the site Mechanical Contractor based on data supplied by the Architect Engineer. In this case, the Mechanical Contractor designed and installed a rigid restraint where a snubber was specified. The error was not noticed by the Architect Engineer until a review of "as installed" snubber locations was performed for the purpose of Technical Specifications update. Review of the situation by CECO Engineering and Sargent and Lundy has revealed that this is an isolated occurrence.

- VII. CORRECTIVE ACTION:

Work request L18495 was initiated to install the snubber as required. Both the installation of the snubber and the evaluation of the supported component were acceptably completed within the time period specified in Technical Specification 3.7.9.

Prepared by: D. Spencer