LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
. CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
0 1 8 9 LICENSEF CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE 30 57 CAT 58 5
EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
Three monthly functional surveillances were performed in slight excess of the
allowable 25 percent tolerance. The subject tests are: 8.M.2-2.2.1 "Recirc.
System Delta P" (completed +9 hrs. 30 min.), 8.M.2-1.1 "PCIS High Pressure"
(completed +8 hrs. 55 min.) and 8.M.2-2.7 "CSCS Pump Discharge Monitors"
[0]6 (completed +3 hrs. 48 min.). All tests were satisfictorily completed, therefore,
these events caused no threat to the public health and safety.
7 8 9
SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBC
9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20 SEQUENTIAL OCCURRENCE REPORT REVISION REPORT NO. CODE TYPE NC.
17 REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 25 30 31 32
ACTION FUTURE ACTION ON PLANT SHUTDOWN HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED FORM SUB. PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER H 18 X 19 Z 20 Z 21 0 0 0 0 0 Y 23 N 24 Z 25 Z 9 9 9 9 26 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27
Personnel oversight by those responsible to perform the scheduled tests has been
determined to be the cause of these events. A second, senior level compliance
review, by the responsible groups, has been implemented to preclude recurrence.
A corrective action planning meeting resulted in proposed aids to those personnel
responsible for doing the tests.
FACILITY STATUS SPOWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 B G DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 B G Surveillance Test
8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80 ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)
6 Z 33 Z 34 NA
PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION (39) NA NA
8 9 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION 41
NA NA 80
LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 43 TYPE DESCRIPTION NA NA
PUBLICITY 8210040105 820922 ISSUED DESC PDR ADOCK 05000293 PDR ADOCK 05000293 PDR ADOCK 05000293
8 9 10 68 69 80 5 NAME OF PREPARER G. G. Whitney PHONE: 617-746-7900 X241 9

ATTACHMENT TO LER 82-037

This event was caused by oversight of personnel who were responsible to perform the required surveillance tests. The existing single person review of the schedule by the responsible group has been found to be insufficient to identit; and reschedule the tests which have fallen into the T.S. allowed 25% tolerance.

A contributing factor to these events was a misconception that the Technical Specification on allowable tolerance (+25%) prohibits the surveillance tests from being completed prior to the scheduled due date. The recent initiation of the Master Surveillance Tracking Program (MSTP) incorporated a T.S. change to these allowances from plus or minus 25 percent (±25%) to plus 25 percent (+25%).

The immediate corrective action was the satisfactory completion of the tests. In addition, for a short-term action to preclude recurrence, a second compliance review of the test schedule has been implemented by the responsible groups.

A long-term corrective action planning meeting was held among the Station Manager, involved Group Leaders and Chief Engineers.

As a result, enhancements will be incorporated into the MSTP. The primary nature of the changes are to aid the personnel who are responsible for performing these tests.