



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 157 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-50

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY  
JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY  
GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION

THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 1

DOCKET NO. 50-289

INTRODUCTION

GPU Nuclear Corporation (the licensee) submitted Technical Specification Change Request No. 198 on January 18, 1990. This request proposed a large number of minor administrative and editorial changes to the Three Mile Island, Unit 1 (TMI-1) Technical Specifications (TS) as well as deletion of obsolete License Condition 2.c.(b) regarding the inservice inspection program.

EVALUATION

The licensee conducted an editorial review of the TMI-1 license and TS to identify typographical errors, incorrect bases reference and format problems. This change was requested in order to make numerous administrative revisions to the TS for the purpose of improved clarity and to make bases statement revisions that reflect more accurately references to applicable Updated FSAR, sections, tables, and figures. In addition, any typographical errors discovered in the process have been corrected, as noted in the licensee's January 18, 1990 submittal. However, the staff has reviewed each of these minor changes and found them to be correct and appropriate. None of the changes affect technical requirements of the TS. Therefore, there is no safety significance in these changes. The net effect of these changes, however, is to make the TS and their bases administratively more correct and clearer. The staff, therefore, concurs with these changes.

License Condition 2.c.6, "Inservice Testing", duplicates the requirements of TS 4.2 for inservice tests of the Reactor Coolant System, as well as the requirements of NRC regulations contained in 10 CFR 50.55a(g) for inservice tests to verify the operational readiness of pumps and valves. Deletion of this license condition would not result in any reduction of ASME Code Section XI requirements, nor would it affect any licensee commitments regarding operability tests or inspections conducted to support safe operations of TMI-1. The staff has reviewed this proposed change and concurs with deletion of License Condition 2.c.6. Page 2 of the license is also reissued with this amendment to correct an error in paragraph 2.b.(1). (Edison vs. Edison).

### ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted areas as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. We have determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts and no significant change in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The staff has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

### CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Ronald W. Hernan

Dated: September 25, 1990