**ENCLOSURE** 

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

U.S. Department of the Navy Washington, D.C.

Docket No. 030-29462 License No. 45-23645-01NA

During an NRC inspection conducted March 3, 1994, at the Naval Hospital Camp Pendleton (Navy Radioactive Materials Permit 04-68094-11NP), a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 19.12 requires, in part, that all individuals working in or frequenting any portion of a restricted area shall be instructed in the applicable provisions of Commission regulations and licenses for the protection of personnel from exposures to radiation or radioactive materials occurring in such areas.

Contrary to the above, as of the date of the inspection, persons working in or frequenting restricted areas at the facility had not been instructed in the applicable provisions of the revised 10 CFR Part 20, which the U.S. Navy implemented in April 1993.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VI).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, U. S. Department of the Navy is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this law day of April 1994