DOLKETED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Lawrence Brenner, Chairman Dr. James H. Carpenter Dr. Peter A. Morris \*82 SEP 30 A11:01

OFFICE OF SELLE DOCKETING & SHOCK ERANCH

SERVED SEP 3 0 1992

In the Matter of

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,
Unit 1)

Docket No. 50-322-OL (Emergency Planning)

September 29, 1982

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM AND ORDER RULING ON LILCO'S MOTION .

TO COMPEL DISCOVERY OF SUFFOLK COUNTY

EMERGENCY PLANNING DOCUMENTS

On September 22, 1982, we issued our "Memorandum and Order Ruling on LILCO's Motion to Compel Discovery of Suffolk County Emergency Planning Documents", LBP-82-82, 16 NRC \_\_\_\_ (hereinafter LBP-82-82). This order ruled on claims of attorney-client, work product and executive privileges asserted by Suffolk County (County) in opposition to two LILCO discovery requests for production of certain emergency planning documents.

By a letter to the Board dated and received on September 27, 1982, counsel for the County provided the Board with an additional document,

County emergency planning steering committee minutes responsive to LILCO's discovery requests, which the County claims to be privileged from production by virtue of the executive privilege.

The County explains that although this document was not included in its September 10, 1982 <u>in camera</u> submission to the Board, privilege for this document was intended to be asserted by the inclusion in that submission of another set of steering committee minutes, rescribed by the County as "all security meeting minutes." According to the County, the document provided to the Board on September 10 (Item III.5) was merely intended to be representative of all such minutes, not to constitute all documents which fit that description; we assumed the contrary in LBP-82-82, since we had not been informed otherwise.

Slip. op. at 45. The County's September 27 letter acknowledges that the County failed to communicate to the Board its intention that the document included in its September 10 submission only be viewed as an example of other such documents which might exist, but states that it is now submitting this item for the Board's <u>in camera</u> review "[i]n the interest of a full and fair disclosure...."

While we appreciate the County's coming forward with this document at this time, albeit very late, we regret that it was not accompanied by any attempt to address the standards which we described in LBP-82-82 (slip. op. at 7-12) for the assertion of a discovery privilege. As we stated in that order, a party seeking to claim privilege for a document

in response to a discovery request must make the same showing of good cause as would a party seeking a protective order denying a request for the production of that document. <u>Id</u>. The County has offered no information which could establish any good cause for keeping this document secret.

We further regret that the County has apparently not recognized that full and <u>fair</u> disclosure includes an objective keighing of a claim of privilege by a party prior to asserting it. We believe that had the County examined its claim of privilege for this document in light of the Commission precedent and Federal case law upon which we relied in LBP-82-82, it could not have concluded this document to be privileged and would have submitted it to LILCO, instead of this Board, in the first instance. Relying on the authority cited in our previous order, which we see no reason to reiterate here, we believe the executive privilege clearly to be inapplicable to these steering committee minutes.

The document submitted to us <u>in camera</u> on September 27 is a memorandum from Suffolk County attorney Christopher M. McMurray to Deputy County Executive Frank Jones, in his role as Chairman of the Suffolk County Radiological Emergency Response Plan Steering Committee, transmitting the minutes of the Steering Committee's May 7, 1982

- 4 -

meeting.\*/ These minutes appear to be a purely factual recitation of scheduling matters relative to the County Plan. This document does not contain advisory opinions, recommendations or deliberations of the Steering Committee. Even if it did, we do not believe the disclosure of these committee meeting minutes to LILCO could in any way inhibit the County's ability to hold frank discussions or make decisions. As the minutes clearly state that reporters from The New York Times and Newsday were present and asked questions during the meeting, we are aware of no purpose which would be served by keeping the contents of these minutes secret.

In light of our ruling that the executive privilege does not apply to these documents, we do not address LILCO's need for this information or its availability from other sources.

We therefore direct that the County provide these minutes and the chart attached thereto to LILCO as soon as possible.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY
AND LICENSING BOARD

Lawrence Brenner, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Bethesda, Maryland September 29, 1982

<sup>\*/</sup> Apparently recognizing that Mr. McMurray was not serving in the role of an attorney in preparing these minutes, which contain no legal advice and which reflect conversations held in front of third parties, the County does not assert the attorney-client privilege in connection with this document.