9/29/82

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	2					
CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY	. {	Docket Nos.				
(Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2)	;		50-330	UM	å	OL

NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO MARY SINCLAIR'S RESUBMITTED S-3 CONTENTION

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 12, 1982, Intervenor Mary Sinclair filed a contention alleging that due to the recent decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in <u>National Resource Defense Council, Inc.</u> <u>et al v. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission et al.</u> (D.C. Cir. Docket Nos. 74-1586, 77-1448, 79-2110, 79-2131) (1982), (<u>Vermont Yankee</u> <u>111</u>), the Staff cannot meet its obligations under the National Environmental Policy Act. (Contention 1). Since the mandate had not yet been issued, and the Commission will likely issue a policy statement instructing how Vermont Yankee III should be factored into licensing proceedings, the Board deferred ruling on the Contention in its Prehearing Conference Order, LBP 82-63, 16 NRC ____ (1982) (Slip Opinion at 11-12). On September 9, 1982, Ms. Sinclair resubmitted the contention on the grounds that the Court of Appeals Memorandum Order in <u>Aeschilman v. United</u> States of America Nuclear Regulatory Commission (D.C. Cir. Docket No.

1	DESTAN	ATED	ORIGINAL	
Certified	BX	M	rore	
	0	6	1507	

B210010052 B20929 PDR ADDCK 05000325 G PDR 73-1776) (1982) required the consideration of fuel cycle and waste confidence in this operating licensing proceeding.

II. DISCUSSION

The Staff opposes acceptance of Ms. Sinclair's Contention 1 at this time. The <u>Aeschilman</u> Memorandum and Order merely held that <u>Vermont</u> <u>Yankee III</u> does not require the NRC to reevaluate the granting of the construction permit for Midland because any deficiencies in the environmental considerations with respect to the granting of that construction permit "may be cured" in the operating licensing proceedings. The Memorandum and Order does not affect the reasons why Ms. Sinclair's Contention 1 was deferred; (a) the mandate has not issued $\frac{1}{}$ and (b) the pending Commission policy statement with respect to the effect of <u>Vermont Yankee III</u> on licensing proceedings. Until such time, it is inappropriate for the Board to accept Ms. Sinclair's Contention 1.

^{1/} On September 1, 1982, the Court of Appeals stayed the mandate. Since the NRC, Baltimore Gas & Electric Co., et al. and Commonwealth Edison Co., et al. have filed petitions for certiorari with the Supreme Court, the mandate will be stayed pending final disposition by the Supreme Court. Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(b).

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the Staff opposes acceptance of this contention at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Frenchard to William

Michael N. Wilcove Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 29th day of September 1982

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY

Docket Nos. 50-329 OM & OL 50-330 OM & OL

(Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO MARY SINCLAIR'S RESUBMITTED S-3 CONTENTION" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the fo'lowing by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 29th day of September 1982:

*Charles Bechhoefer, Esq. Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Frederick P. Cowan Administrative Judge 6152 N. Verde Trail Apt. B-125 Boca Raton, Florida 33433

*Dr. Jerry Harbour Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Frank J. Kelley Attorney General of the State of Michigan Steward H. Freeman Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division 525 W. Ottawa St., 720 Law Bldg. Lansing, Michigan 48913

Ms. Mary Sinclair 5711 Summerset Street Midland, Michigan 48640

Michael I. Miller, Esq. Ronald G. Zamarin, Esq. Alan S. Farnell, Esq. Isham, Lincoln & Beale Three First National Plaza 52nd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602

James E. Brunner, Esq. Consumers Power Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, Michigan 49201 Ms. Barbara Stamiris 5795 N. Rivar Freeland, Michigan 48623

James R. Kates 203 S. Washington Avenue Saginaw, Michigan 48605

Wendell H. Marshall, President Mapleton Intervenors RFD 10 Midland, Michigan 48640

Wayne Hearn Bay City Times 311 Fifth Street Bay City, Michigan 48706

Paul C. Rau Midland Daily News 124 McDonald Street Midland, Michigan 48640

Myron M. Cherry, p.c. Peter Flynn, p.c. Cherry & Flynn Three First National Plaza Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60602

T. J. Creswell Michigan Division Legal Department Dow Chemical Company Midland, Michigan 48640 *Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

- *Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555
- *Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Steve J. Gadler, P.E. 2120 Carter Avenue St. Paul, MN 55108

Frederick C. Williams Isham, Lincoln & Beale 1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Lee L. Bishop Harmon & Weiss 1725 I Street, N.W. Suite 506 Washington, D.C. 20006

Michael N. Wilcove Counsel for NRC Staff