



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

ENCLOSURE 2

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 145 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-77

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-327

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated May 21, 1990, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) proposed to modify the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Technical Specifications (TSs). The proposed changes would revise valve nomenclature in TS Table 3.6-2, Containment Isolation Valves. The nomenclature of 14 sampling valves in the TS table would be changed from flow control valve (FCV) to flow solenoid valve (FSV). The Unit 1 valves were changed in the Unit 1 Cycle 4 refueling outage. This is TVA Change Request 90-14.

This application also included similar proposed changes for Table 3.6-2 of Unit 2 TSs. The Unit 2 valves will be replaced in the Unit 2 Cycle 4 refueling outage which began in September 1990. A separate evaluation will be issued for the proposed changes to the Unit 2 TSs; however, the evaluation below also applies to the proposed changes to the Unit 2 TSs.

2.0 EVALUATION

In its application, TVA stated that 14 air-operated FCVs were replaced with FSVs because the FCVs have limit switches that are not environmentally qualifiable. The FSVs are totally enclosed and have reed switches internal to the valve, and are environmentally qualified. This replacement was required as part of TVA's commitment for complying with RG 1.97 (i.e., Condition 2.C.(24) of the Unit 1 Facility Operating License DPR-77 and License Condition 2.C.(14) of the Unit 2 Facility Operating License DPR-79).

The 14 containment isolation valves are on sampling lines for the reactor coolant system (RCS), cold leg injection accumulator, and steam generator blowdown (SGBD). TVA stated that the change in valve nomenclature for these valves from FCV to FSV does not affect the containment isolation function for these valves. TVA explained that closure times for the new FSVs was evaluated to ensure that these valves will meet the 5- and 10-second maximum isolation time requirements in TS Table 3.6.-2. The new FSVs are designed to close against a pressure drop of 2,485 pounds per square inch gauge with a temperature of 640 degrees Fahrenheit. These valves are compatible with the RCS and capable of closing against RCS pressure. With the exception of four SGBD sampling valves, local leak-rate testing was conducted as a premodification test to determine the "as-found" leak-rate and again following installation of

the new valve to determine the "as-left" leak rate, in accordance with Appendix J of 10 CFR 50. This is done to demonstrate an acceptable leak-rate for containment integrity.

TVA explained that the SGBD sampling valves are a part of the steam generator secondary side piping and are located outside containment. By design, the SGBD piping employs the following two barriers to prevent fission product release from containment following a loss of coolant accident: (1) the secondary side is a closed system inside containment and (2) SG water level provides a water seal. These containment isolation barriers exempt the SGBD valves from the Appendix J leak-rate test program. This is discussed in the Final Safety Analysis Report, Table 6.2.4-1, notes for Containment Penetrations X-14A, B, C, and D.

TVA is replacing 14 containment isolation valves on sampling lines from FCVs to FSVs so that the new valves will meet the requirements in RG 1.97. TVA is proposing to change the valve nomenclature in Table 3.6-2 to reflect the fact that these valves are now FSVs. Nothing else is being changed by the proposed changes. The existing requirements on the leak-rate testing and the maximum valve closure time of these valves are not being changed. The existing requirements on containment integrity are also not being changed. The new valves are qualified for their function as containment isolation valves. Based on this, the staff concludes that the proposed changes are acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves a change to a requirement with respect to the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement nor environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (55 FR 26296) on June 27, 1990 and consulted with the State of Tennessee. No public comments were received and the State of Tennessee did not have any comments.

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security nor to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Jack Donohew

Dated: September 20, 1990

AMENDMENT NO. 145 FOR SEQUOYAH UNIT NO. 1 - DOCKET NO. 50-327
DATED: September 20, 1990

DISTRIBUTION:

~~Docket File~~

NRC PDR

Local PDR

Sqn. Reading File

S. Varga 14-E-4

G. Lainas 14-H-3

M. Krebs

J. Donohew(2)

OGC

D. Hagan MNBB-3302

E. Jordan MNBB-3302

G. Hill (4 per docket)

W. Jones P130-A

J. Calvo 11-F-22

C. McCracken

ACRS(10)

GPA/PA 2-G-5

OC/LFMB MNBB-9112

cc: Plant Service List

00150