Docket No. 50-266 Docket No. 50-301

SEP 1 9 1990

Wisconsin Electric Power Company ATTN: Mr. C. W. Fay Vice President Nuclear Power 231 West Michigan Street - P379 Milwaukee, WI 53201

Gentlemen:

This Confirms our plans as discussed between Mr. G. J. Maxfield of your staff and Mr. C. L. Vanderniet of this office to conduct an Enforcement Conference at 1:00 p.m., (CDT) on Thursday, October 4, 1990, at the Region III Office in Glen Ellyn, Illinois. The purpose of the Enforcement Conference is to discuss apparent violations concerning the electrical distribution system functional inspection and subsequent followup inspection. At the Enforcement Conference you should be prepared to discuss the topics listed in the enclosure.

We will gladly discuss any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Edward G. Greenman, Directorney Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure: Enforcement Conference
Discussion Topics

G. J. Maxfield, Plant Manager
DCD/DCB (RIDS)
OC/LFDCB
Resident Inspector, RIII
Virgil Kanable, Chief
Boiler Section
Charles Thompson, Chairman
Wisconsin Public Service
Commission
Leroy E. Conner, Acting Administrator
WI Div. of Emergency Government
Teri L. Vierima, Chief
Radiation Protection Section
WI Department of Health and
Social Services

bcc:

J. Liberman, OE J. Goldberg, OGC 0021

J. Partlow, NRR

9010010004 900919 PDR ADOCK 05000266

Deprop pb

Vanderniet

Jackiw 7/20/9.

RILL Willer

RIII Greenman

111 TE01



UNITED STATES

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

799 COOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

NOTICE OF SIGNIFICANT LICENSEE MEETING

Name of Licensee: Wisconsin Electric Power Company

Name of Facility: Point Beach Nuclear Plant

Doctet Nos.: 50-266; 50-301

Date and Time of Meeting: Thursday, October 4, 1990, at 1:00 p.m., (CDT)

Location of Meeting: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

759 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Purpose of Meeting: Enforcement Conference to discuss the apparent

violations concerning the electrical distribution

system functional inspection and Subsequent

followup inspection.

NRC Attendees:

C. J. Paperiello, Deputy Regional Administrator H. J. Miller, Director, Division of Reactor Safety

Others as designated

NRR Attendees:

J. N. Hannon, Director, Project Directorate III-3, NRR Others as designated

Licensee Attendees:

J . Zach, Senior Manager Nuclear Engineering

G. J. Maxfield, Plant Manager, Wisconsin Electric Power Company

R. A. Newton, General Superintendent Nuclear Systems Engineering and

Analysis Section Others as designated

Note: Attendance at this meeting by NRC personnel should be made known

to I. N. Jackiw, via telephone call (FTS 388-5697) by COB September 28, 1990.

See Attached Distribution:

Distribution:

- J. H. Sniezek, Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Regional Operations and Research, Enn
- W. T. Russell, Associate Director for Inspection and Technical Assessment, NRR
- B. K. Grimes, Director, Division of Reactor Inspection and Safeguards, NRK

J. G. Partlow, Associate Director for Projects, NRR

J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement

J. R. Goldberg, Deputy Assistant, General Counsel for Enforcement, OGC

- D. M Crutchfield, Director, Division of Reactor Projects, III/IV/V, * Special Projects, NRR
- J. A. Zwolinski, Assistant Director for Region III Reactors, NRR

J. W. Clifford, RIII Coordinator, EDO

J. N. Hannon, Director, Project Directorate III-3, NRR

R. B. Samworth, Licensing Project, NRR

H. J. Miller, Director, Division of Reactor Safety, RIII

T. O. Martin, Deputy Director, Division of Reactor Safety, RIII

C. E. Norelius, Director, Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards, RIII

W. L. Axelson, Deputy Director, Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards, RIII G. C. Wright, Chief, Operations Branch, DRS, RIII

M. A. Ring, Chief, Engineering Branch, DRS, RIII L. R. Greger, Chief, Reactor Programs Branch, DRSS, RIII

B. S. Mallett, Chief, Nuclear Materials Safety Branch, DRSS, RIII

Enforcement Conference Issues

- To what extent could (ther components or systems be misclassified as non safety-related?
- Do you have components or systems which are identified as safety related but do not get the full QA treatment? e.g., procurement, maintenance, procedures
- 3. Had WEPCO initiated or proposed corrective actions as a result of its own audit findings that would have identified items found by the EDSFI?
- 4. Are there any piping systems, such as the EDG fuel oil piping system, that should have been considered by IEB 79-14 review?
- 5. Provide the safety significance, root cause, and corrective actions for all items identified in questions one (1) through four (4).
- 6. Be prepared to discuss your progress on the design basis reconstitution effort including findings and any other actions to resolve the noted weakness of lack of a available design and engineering information.
- Be prepared to discuss your actions, such as SSFIs, to ensure functionality of other systems given the design deficiencies identified in the EDSFI.