,. 14842

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

'94 MAR 29 A11:03

Before Administrative Judges:

Morton B. Margulies, Chairman Richard F. Cole Frederick J. Shon

OFFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

In the Matter of

Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (Claiborne Enrichment Center)

Docket No. 70-3070-ML
ASLBP No. 91-641-02-ML
(Special Nuclear
Materials License)

March 28, 1994

SERVED MAR 2 9 1994

RULING ON LOUISIANA ENERGY SERVICES'
MOTION PROPOSING A SITE VISIT
BY THE PARTIES

Applicant Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (LES) proposing a site visit to comparable Urenco facilities in Europe by the Licensing Board and representatives of the parties. In an answer to the motion, dated March 7, 1994, Nuclear Regulatory Staff (Staff) opposes the motion. Intervenor Citizens Against Nuclear Trash (CANT) did not respond to the motion but in the past has opposed the proposal.

^{&#}x27;In the "Parties' January 18, 1994 Joint Progress Report" Intervenor opposed a site visit raising the matter of a lack of financial means.

Applicant's Position

Applicant proposes an information or fact finding tour of Urenco's Granau and Almelo enrichment facilities in Germany and the Netherlands, respectively. They are similar to the proposed Claiborne Enrichment Center which would be the first of a kind in the United States.

The proposed tour would include inspection of the UF, handling areas, including autoclaves and take-off stations, cylinder storage areas, and the examination of the centrifuges to the extent permitted by security procedures. The tour also would focus on the relationship of the facilities with the surrounding communities.

Applicant asserts that the tour will provide an opportunity for a first-hand observation of the process and the facility which should result in a better understanding of the centrifuge enrichment process.

LES agrees that a CANT representative should participate in the tour and offers to subsidize a portion of Intervenor's expenses for sending one participant.

Staff's Position

Staff states that it has made several visits to Urenco facilities during the course of its review of the application and contends that LES has not made a convincing showing that a site visit would materially assist in

developing a sound record in this proceeding. Staff asserts that if there were some specific pending issue or issues that could be effectively resolved with a site visit, with due regard for evidentiary considerations, the Staff might not oppose the LES motion. It states that it is unaware of any such issue. Staff also is against the proposed site visit because although it might be generally informative it does not warrant the substantial cost to the Commission, which it places at \$13,000.

Discussion and Conclusion

A site visit was initially proposed by Applicant during a prehearing conference on May 5, 1992. We ruled that the request was premature because it was presented at an early stage of the proceeding when much factual information was yet to be developed on the proposed plant and its operations. The parties were advised that they could again raise the proposal when it was appropriate.

As of now the Staff Evaluation Report and the Draft Environmental Impact Statement have been issued. Discovery on technical issues has about concluded.

We believe that Staff has correctly framed the issue as to whether a site visit should be approved at this time on the basis of whether it would materially assist in developing a sound record in this proceeding.

Although it would be of general interest to observe the plants enriching uranium by the gas centrifuge process, it does not appear that a site visit now would aid in resolving any specific issue that has been raised in the record. In the absence of the likelihood that the site visit to Europe will materially assist in factually developing the record it cannot be approved and the motion should be denied.

Order

Based upon all of the foregoing it is hereby Ordered that the LES motion of February 15, 1994 requesting approval of a European site visit by the Licensing Board and the parties representatives is hereby denied.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Morton B. Margulies, Chairman CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Richard F. Cole ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Frederick J. Shon ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Bethesda, Maryland March 28, 1994

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

LOUISIANA ENERGY SERVICES, L.P.

(Claiborne Enrichment Center SNM License)

Docket No.(s) 70-3070-ML

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing LB RULING ON LES MOTION ... have been served upon the following persons by U.S. mail, first class, except as otherwise noted and in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Sec. 2.712.

Office of Commission Appellate
Adjudication
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge
Richard F. Cole
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Eugene Holler, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

W. H. Arnold President Lousiana Energy Services, L.P. 2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W., Suite 608 Washington, DC 20037 Administrative Judge
Morton B. Margulies, Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Administrative Judge Frederick J. Shon Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Diane Curran, Esquire 6935 Laurel Avenue, Suite 204 Takoma Park, MD 20912

Peter G. LeRoy Licensing Manager LES - c/o Duke Engineering and Services, Inc. PO Box 1004 Charlotte, NC 28201 Docket No.(s)70-3070-ML LB RULING ON LES MOTION ...

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq. Counsel for LES Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Nathalie M. Walker, Esq. Robert B. Wiygul, Esq. Sierra Club Legal Defense Fund, Inc. 400 Magazine Street, Suite 401 New Orleans, LA 70130

Ronald Wascom
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Office of Air Quality & Rad. Protection
Dept. of Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 82135
Baton Rouge, LA 70884

Dated at Rockville, Md. this 29 day of March 1994

Office of the Secretary of the Commission