ORIGINAL

PDR

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CLEVELAND STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE CLEVELAND TENNESSEE

WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION: REQUEST FOR COMMENT OCTOBER 13, 1993 and OCTOBER 14, 1993

9404 260038 931013 PDR TOPRP EUTTYA PDR

NEWBERRY & COMPANY

Fully Computerized Court Reporting Post Office Box 941280 Atlanta, Georgia 30341 Phone (404) 524-0618 1-800-743-7109 Fax (404) 493-4692

SFOB Add: OF Ongol

0400ef

PANEL:

1	JAMES LIEBERMAN
2	DIRECTOR OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT
3	UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555
4	(301) 504-2741
5	BRIAN K. GRIMES DIRECTOR
6	DIVISION OF OPERATING REACTOR SUPPORT OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
7	UNITED STATES REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555
8	(301) 504-1163
9	BEN HAYES DIRECTOR
10	OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS
11	JOHN JOHNSON
12	DEPUTY DIRECTOR DIVISION OF OPERATING REACTOR SUPPORT
13	REGION TWO ATLANTA, GEORGIA
14	
15	
16	
17	(In the following transcript, a dash [] is used to indicate an unintentional or purposeful interruption in a
18	sentence; an ellipsis [] is used to indicate a halting speech or an unfinished sentence in dialogue, or
19	an omission of word[s] when reading written material.)
20	THE SALLANTER TANAGETER CONSISTER ADDRESS HARDERED AND
21	(THE FOLLOWING TRANSCRIPT CONTAINS QUOTED MATERIAL; SUCH MATERIAL IS REPRODUCED AS READ OR SPOKEN.)
22	
23	
24	
25	NEWBERRY & COMPANY USES RECYCLED PAPER BECAUSE WE CARE!
	A FLA ASIN AND VENDAUG WES WEST

PROCEEDINGS

MR. LIEBERMAN:

5

6

8

14

18

24

GOOD EVENING. LET ME CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER.

I'M JIM LIEBERMAN, THE DIRECTOR OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND THE CHAIRMAN OF THE REVIEW TEAM FOR REASSESSMENT TO THE NRC PROGRAM FOR PROTECTING ALLEGERS AGAINST RETALIATION.

WITH ME TODAY FROM THE REVIEW TEAM, BEGINNING ON MY LEFT IS BEN HAYES, THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS. JOHN JOH SON, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF OPERATING THE REACTOR OF REGION TWO. ON MY RIGHT IS BRIAN GRIMES, DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF OPERATING REACTOR SUPPORT OF THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION.

THIS IS THE FOURTH OF FOUR PUBLIC MEETINGS TO OBTAIN COMMENTS OF INTERESTED PERSONS, INCLUDING LICENSEES AND THEIR CONTRACTORS AND THEIR EMPLOYEES. AT EACH OF THESE MEETINGS WE'RE HAVING A EVENING SESSION AND A MORNING SESSION.

THE PURPOSE OF THESE MEETINGS IS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION TO ASSIST THE REVIEW TEAM IN EVALUATING CURRENT NRC ACTIVITIES AND MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE THE REGULATORY PROCESS.

THE EVENING SESSION IS BEING PROVIDED TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR WORKERS TO PROVIDE COMMENTS. TOMORROW MORNING WE WILL BEGIN WITH A PRESENTATION FROM TVA MANAGEMENT PROVIDING US COMMENTS AGAINST EFFORTS TO OBTAIN EMPLOYEE CONCERNS OF SAFETY ISSUES. WE'VE ALSO ASKED THE TVA OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL TO MAKE A SIMILAR PRESENTATION.

3

4

6

8

14

18

THE REVIEW TEAM IS FORMED AT THE DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION TO CONSIDER WHETHER NRC IS TAKING SUFFICIENT STEPS WITHIN THE STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO CREATE AN ATMOSPHERE WITH THE LICENSEES ORGANIZATIONS WHERE EMPLOYEES, INCLUDING CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES, FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES WITHOUT FEAR OF RETALIATION.

BY WAY OF BACK DOWN, YOU HAVE TWO FEDERAL AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THIS AREA: THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND THE NRC. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DOING INVESTIGATIONS AND PROVIDING PERSONAL REMEDY FOR EMPLOYEES WHO BELIEVE THAT THEY HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO DISCRIMINATION FOR ENGAGING IN PROTECTED ACTIVITIES THAT IS RAISING A SAFETY ISSUE EITHER TO A LICENSEE OR THE NRC. THE NRC IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REGULATING A LICENSEE TO ENSURE THAT WORKERS ARE FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES.

THE REVIEW TEAM IS CONSIDERING ISSUES SUCH AS:

ONE, WHETHER THE NRC IS TAKING SUFFICIENT STEPS THROUGH REGULATIONS, POLICY STATEMENTS AND INSPECTIONS TO ENSURE THE LICENSEES ENCOURAGE THEIR WORKERS AND CONTRACTORS TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES. TWO, WHETHER THE CURRENT NRC PROCESS FOR HANDLING ALLEGATIONS IS APPROPRIATE FROM THE PROSPECTIVE OF THE EMPLOYEES BEING TREATED TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES. THREE, WHETHER THE NRC IS SUFFICIENT AND PRO ACTIVE IN CASES WHERE EMPLOYEES RAISE CONCERNS OR EXPRESS FEARS THAT THEY MAY BECOME SUBJECT TO RETALIATION IF THEY DO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES. FOUR, WHETHER THE NRC POLICIES ARE APPROPRIATE WHEN DISCRIMINATION MAY HAVE OCCURRED, INCLUDING RELATIONS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR TREATING POTENTIAL CHILLING EFFECTS PERFORMING INVESTIGATIONS OF TAKING ENFORCEMENT ACTION.

4

6

14

18

24

5

AS I NOTED, WE ARE SEEKING COMMENTS FROM BOTH WORKERS AND LICENSEES. WE HAVE PUBLISHED A FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE SEEKING PUBLIC COMMENTS. WE HAVE COPIES OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE AVAILABLE ON THE FRONT TABLE. WE ARE ACCEPTING PUBLIC COMMENTS THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM. WE'VE ALSO MET WITH ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING BOTH WORKERS AND LICENSEES.

THESE EFFORTS, INCLUDING THESE TODAY, ARE INTENDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF EMPLOYEES, LICENSEES AND OTHER CONCERNED INDIVIDUALS LIKE YOURSELVES TO BRING FORTH ISSUES AND IDEAS FOR OUR CONSIDERATION. FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE PUBLIC MEETINGS, AND WE REVIEW THE WRITTEN COMMENTS AND COMMENTS FROM THESE MEETINGS, WE WILL BE PREPARING A REPORT TO SUBMIT TO THE NEC COMMISSIONERS. IT IS OUR EXPECTATION THAT THIS REPORT WILL BE COMPLETED IN JANUARY, 1994.

1

4

5

6

7

ġ.

14

15

18

24

25

THE ISSUE BEFORE US IS AN IMPORTANT ONE. NRC, EVEN WITH ITS MANY INSPECTORS, CAN ONLY OBSERVE A FRACTION OF LICENSED ACTIVITIES. WE WILL NEVER HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE POSSESSED BY THE THOUSANDS OF EMPLOYEES IN THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. EMPLOYEES OF THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY HAVE CLEARLY MADE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY BY COMING FORWARD WITH SAFETY CONCERNS.

EMPLOYEES MUST FEEL FREE TO RAISE POTENTIAL ISSUES WITH THE NRC. HOWEVER, AS THE COMMISSION REVIEWED, IT IS NOT ENOUGH FOR EMPLOYEES TO FEEL FREE TO COME DIRECTLY TO THE NRC. LICENSEES HAVE THE FIRST RESPONSIBILITY TO SAFETY. THUS, EMPLOYEES MUST ALSO FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES IN THE INDUSTRY.

WE RECOGNIZE THAT THERE IS DISSATISFACTION WITH THE CURRENT SYSTEM. EMPLOYEES ARE NOT ALWAYS COMFORTABLE IN RAISING SAFETY ISSUES. THERE ARE

CASES WHERE DISCRIMINATION HAS OCCURRED WHERE EMPLOYEES HAVE ENGAGED IN PROTECTIVE ACTIVITIES.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

24

25

WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO THIS EVENING TO IDEAS AND WHAT ACTIONS NRC SHOULD CONSIDER TO FORCE LICENSEES TO FOSTER AN ATMOSPHERE WHEN INDIVIDUALS WITH POTENTIAL SAFETY CONCERNS ARE ENCOURAGED TO COME FORWARD WITH THOSE CONCERNS.

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT OUR PURPOSE TODAY IS NOT TO DEBATE OR RESOLVE SPECIFIC CASES. WE WERE ASKED TO GAIN IDEAS ON HOW TO IMPROVE THE CURRENT SYSTEM.

THE GROUND RULES FOR THIS MEETING WILL BE THAT PERSONS WHO DESIRE TO SPEAK WILL NEED TO CHECK IN AT THE TABLE IN THE FRONT OF THE ROOM HERE. A NUMBER WILL BE GIVEN TO YOU. YOU DO NOT NEED TO PROVIDE YOUR NAME TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. I WILL CALL THE SPEAKERS TO THE MICROPHONE BY NUMBERS. THE SPEAKERS WILL BE INITIALLY ALLOCATED ABOUT TEN MINUTES TO MAKE THEIR PRESENTATIONS. AT THE END OF THE TIME THAT THE SPEAKER IS NOT FINISHED, I WILL ASK THE SPEAKER TO CONCLUDE HIS OR HER REMARKS. DURING OR AFTER EACH PRESENTATION, WE MAY ASK QUESTIONS TO MAKE SURE WE UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN OR ISSUE. WE DO NOT INTEND, HOWEVER, TO DEBATE OR MERIT YOUR COMMENTS. PLEASE DO NOT TAKE OUR SILENCE TO MEAN THAT WE EITHER DISAGREE OR AGREE WITH THE COMMENTS. IF AFTER ALL PERSONS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN SPEAKING HAVE MADE THEIR INITIAL REMARKS AND THERE ARE PERSONS WHO DESIRE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, WE WILL, IF TIMES REMAINS, GO THROUGH A SECOND ROUND OF COMMENTS.

1

3

4

6

8

18

24

8

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE TODAY THAT SPEAKING TODAY IS CONSIDERED A PROTECTIVE ACTIVITY. WE RECOGNIZE THAT THERE MAY BE SOME HERE THIS EVENING WHO MAY NOT BE COMFORTABLE IN SPEAKING BEFORE THIS AUDIENCE. THOSE INDIVIDUA'S, AS WELL AS ANY OTHER SPEAKERS, IF THEY HAVE NOT DONE SO, ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENTS TO US ON THE ISSUES RAISED ON THE FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE. WE HAVE FORMS ON THE FRONT TABLE WITH POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPES IF YOU DESIRE TO SUBMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO US. AGAIN, WE HAVE COPIES OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE ALSO.

THERE WILL BE, ALSO. AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE COMMENTS TOMORROW MORNING AFTER THE TVA MANAGEMENT AND THE TVA IG HAS COMPLETED THEIR PRESENTATIONS.

WE WELCOME EACH OF YOU HERE TODAY, AND I APPRECIATE YOU TAKING THE TIME TO MEET WITH US. I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE CLEVELAND STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE FOR MAKING THIS FINE AUDITORIUM AVAILABLE TO US.

THIS IS A TRANSCRIBED MEETING. AS I SAID

EARLIER, SPEAKERS DO NOT NEED TO IDENTIFY THEMSELVES BY NAME. HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF EACH SPEAKER FROVIDED SOME PACKGROUND ON THEIR PAST INVOLVEMENT WITH THE INDUSTRY.

WITH THAT, WE'LL BEGIN THE PRESENTATIONS. I'D LIKE TO HAVE SPEAKER NUMBER ONE COME TO THE MICROPHONE.

SPEAKER NUMBER ONE:

4

8

16

YOU'VE ALREADY ANSWERED ONE QUESTION: THAT THIS IS A PROTECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT WISH TO SPEAK. WHAT ABOUT THE INDIVIDUALS THAT WILL SPEAK THAT ALE NOT EMPLOYEES? ARE THEY PROTECTED? MR. LIEBERMAN:

WERE THEY EMPLOYEES AT ONE TIME? 5 SPEAKER NUMBER ONE:

YES.

7 MR. LIEBERMAN:

18 I THINK THAT THEY WOULD BE PROTECTED ALSO.
 19 SPEAKER NUMBER ONE:

OKAY.

ON SEPTEMBER, 1987, I WAS AN INSTRUMENTATION
QUALITY CONTROL SUPERVISOR AT WATTS BAR NUCLEAR
PLANT. HOWEVER, DURING THIS SAME PERIOD OF TIME, I
WAS ON ONE OF THE POWERS OPERATIONS TRAINING CENTER
TO HELP IMPLEMENT A INSPECTOR TRAINING PROGRAM.

AT APPROXIMATELY EIGHT p.m. ON SEPTEMBER THE 1 3RD, 1987, I WAS CALLED BY MANAGEMENT AT WATTS BAR 2 NUCLEAR PLANT ABOUT PERFORMING AN INSPECTION. THE 4 MANAGEMENT WANTED ME TO CALL IN AN INSPECTOR TO PERFORM AN INSPECTION IN THE DIESEL GENERATOR BUILDING. HOWEVER, AT THIS SAME PERIOD OF TIME, WE 6 WERE UNDER A QA STOP WORK ORDER ON ALL INSTRUMENTATION FEATURES. I DECIDED TO GO TO THE p. PLANT MYSELF AND CHECK OUT THE SITUATION. AFTER 9 LOOKING AT DRAWINGS, AS WELL AS TALKING WITH THE MAINTENANCE MANAGERS, I DETERMINED THE WORK THEY 11 WANTED TO DO WOULD BE IN VIOLATION OF THE STOP WORK

I WAS TOLD BY MANAGEMENT THAT THE WORK HAD TO 14 BE DONE BY EIGHT a.m. THE NEXT MORNING DUE TO THE FACT ADMIRAL STEPHEN WHITE AND A PBA CHAIRMAN WOULD BE WALKING THROUGH THE BUILDING AND EVERYTHING HAD TO 17 LOOK PERFECT. AS YOU NOTICED, I SAID "LOOKED PERFECT." I AGAIN REFUSED TO VIOLATE THE STOP WORK 19 ORDER AND TVA MANAGERS WANTED TO KNOW THE NAME OF THE SUPERVISOR. AT APPROXIMATELY ELEVEN THIRTY p.m. TVA MANAGEMENT REACHED THE QA SITE MANAGER AND EXPLAINED THE SITUATION TO HIM. HE ASKED TO SPEAK TO ME AND I INFORMED HIM TO PROCEED WITH WORK WOULD BE IN VIOLATION OF THE STOP WORK ORDER. 25

AT THIS TIME HE TOLD THE MANAGERS TO PROCEED WITH THE WORK. I RETURNED TO MY HOME AT THE SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT THE NEXT MORNING. I CALLED MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR, INCLUDING INSPECTORS, AND TOLD HIM THE PROBLEM AT THE DIESEL GENERATOR BUILDING. AFTER CHECKING THE WORK AREA, THEY CALLED BACK AND SAID IT WAS DEFINITELY A VIOLATION. AT THIS TIME I TOLD THEM TO ROUTE A CONDITION ADVERSE TO QUALITY REPORT AND HAVE IT SENT THROUGH PROPER CHANNELS THE QUALITY CONTROL MANAGER BECAME VERY UPSET AND REFUSED TO SIGN IT. THIS WENT ON FOR MONTHS BEFORE IT WAS FINALLY SIGNED.

3

4

6

8

14

24

I WAS TAKEN TO THE SITE QUALITY MANAGER'S OFFICE AND TOLD TO GET ON THEIR WAGON OR FIND ANOTHER JOB. I WAS GIVEN A DISCIPLINARY LETTER FOR ABUSING ANNUAL LEAVE WHEN MY HAMEDIATE SUPERVISOR INFORMED THE QC MANAGER THAT I HAD USED LESS LEAVE THAN ANYONE ELSE IN OUR SECTION. HE WAS TOLD TO GIVE ME A LETTER FOR CREATING A CRISIS.

THIS INVOLVED THE LOCATION OF A QC PROCEDURE THAT HAD TO BE REVISED. I WAS NOT EVEN AT WATTS BAR WHEN THE PROCEDURE WAS REVISED.

ON MY NEXT SERVICE REVIEW, MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR GAVE ME A SUPERIOR RATING. THE QC MANAGER MADE HIM CHANGE IT FIVE TIMES UNTIL IT RATED

UNSATISFACTORY. MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR REFUSED TO SIGN IT, AND THE QC MANAGER GRABBED IT OUT OF HIS HAND AND HE SIGNED IT. ALL THIS WAS REPORTED TO THE NRC AND NOTHING WAS FONE.

3

d.

5

9

I WAS RIFTED IN AUGUST OF 1988, EVEN THOUGH ALL OTHER QC MANAGERS WERE RETURNED. MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR HAD TAKEN HIS EARLY RETIREMENT DUE TO THE HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION PLACED ON HIM AS WELL AS ME.

I HAVE FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THE TVA INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE AND THE DOL. I BEGAN SEARCHI G FOR EMPLOYMENT TO NO AVAIL. I APPLIED AT NUMEROUS COMPANIES FEROUGHOUT THE COMPANY AND COULD NOT FIND EMPLOYMENT. I LATER FOUND LUT MY NAME ALONG WITH 14 OTHER WHISTLEBLOWERS HAD BEEN PUT IN A LETTER THAT 15 HAD BEEN CIRCULATED THROUGHOUT THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. THIS LETTER WAS PLACED IN TVA'S RIFT SYSTEM, AND ANYONE COULD A COPY OF IT. LAWSUITS WERE FILED AS 18 WELL AS AN NRC INVESTIGATION. THE NRC FOUND NO PROBLEM WITH THE LETTER EVEN THOUGH PROPLE HAVE BEEN RUINED. WHISTLELOWERS CAREERS AND LIVES WERE RUINED. BUT TVA DIDN'T REALLY MEAN FOR IT TO HAPPEN. I WAS UNEMPLOYED FOR APPROXIMATELY FOUR YEARS. DURING THIS TIME MY WIFE HAD TO RETURN TO WORK. I HAD TO REMOVE 24 TWO DAUGHTERS FROM COLLEGE. I HAD SEVERE STOMACH AND 25

BLOOD PRESSURE PROBLEMS, AND LOST ALL SELF-ESTEEM.

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

14

16

18

19

24

25

WHEN OUR HEAT PUMP WENT OUT, WE COULD NOT AFFORD A NEW ONE. I HUNG SHEETS OVER THE DOORS IN THERE AT THE DEN, WE KEPT THE FIRE IN THE FIREPLACE, MY WIFE SLEFT ON THE COUCH, I SLEFT ON THE FLOOR. THIS WENT ON FOR THREE WINTERS. I HAD TO TAKE MY RETIREMENT OUT TO PAY LEGAL FEES. THE IRS TAXED ME MORE THAN TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS FOR TAKING MY RETIREMENT OUT FARLY. SOMETIMES LATER, WITH PENALTIES AND INTEREST, IT GREW UP TO ALMOST TWENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS. I HAD NO WAY TO PAY, AND THE IRS WAS THREATENING TO SELL MY HOME TO PAY THE TAXES. MY MOTHER BAILED ME OUT AND I EVENTUALLY PAID HER BACK.

ANY TIME I SEE SOMEONE PICKING UP ALUMINUM CANS TO SELL, I CAN FEEL A WARM SPOT IN MY HEART FOR THEM, BECAUSE I DID THE SAME THING. MY FAMILY AND I WERE IN DESPERATE NEED OF FINDING RELIEF, BUT THERE WAS NO RELIEF IN SITE.

I MADE DOZENS OF CALLS TO THE NRC IN ATLANTA AND WASHINGTON, AND MADE MANY TRIPS TO THE WATTS BAR NRC TELLING THEM I NEEDED HELP. THEY ALWAYS TOLD ME THEY WERE WAITING ON THE DOL, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, DECISION. I WAS VERY NAIVE AT FIRST, BECAUSE I FELT THAT THE NRC WOULD PROTECT ME, BUT BOY WAS I WRONG. THE NRC DID NOTHING FOR ME, AND AS FAR AS I KNOW,

THEY DID NOTHING FOR ANY OTHER WHISTLEBLOWER.

4

6

8

18

24

EVENTUALLY, THE TVA'S INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE FOUND EVERYTHING IN MY FAVOR, BUT THE CHIEF OF TVA CHOSE NOT TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR FOUND EVERYTHING IN MY FAVOR. THE TVA APPEALED THEIR DECISION. A TWO WEEK HEARING WAS HELD BEFORE AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AND EVERYTHING WAS FOUND IN MY FAVOR; AND TVA AGAIN APPEALED THE DECISION. MY CASE WENT BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF LABOR, SHE ALSO FOUND IN MY FAVOR, AND I OBTAINED A SETTLEMENT.

I ALSO, AT THIS TIME, HAVE ANOTHER CASE ON BEING ON THE BLACK LIST OF THE TVA. THE DOL AGAIN FOUND IN MY FAVOR. THIS ISSUE WILL SETTLE BEFORE GOING TO COURT. ALL THE BAD THINGS THAT HAVE HAPPENED TO ME AND MY FAMILY STILL DO NOT HURT AS MUCH AS THE HARASSMENT, INTIMIDATION AND LOSS OF JOBS TO WITNESSES THAT APPEARED AT MY HEARING. THESE PEOPLE WERE TREATED BADLY BECAUSE THEY DID WHAT WAS RIGHT, AND ALL THEY WERE THERE FOR WAS TO TRY TO BUILD A SAFE NUCLEAR PLANT. ALL THIS WAS REPORTED TO THE NRC AND THEY DID NOTHING. AFTER I'VE BEEN SENT HOME, SOME OF MY INSPECTORS CALLED AND TOLD ME THEY WERE INSPECTING SYSTEMS IN THE MAIN CONTROL ROOM. WHEN THEY COULD NOT SIGN OFF INSPECTIONS, THEY WERE

TAKEN TO THE QC MANAGER AND TOLD TO SIGN OFF ON INSPECTIONS OR RECEIVE A INSUBORDINATION LETTER. WHEN THEY STILL REFUSED, THEY WERE TAKEN TO THE SITE QUALITY MANAGER'S OFFICE AND TOLD THEY WOULD BE FIRED IF THEY DIDN'T SIGN THE INSPECTION. THEY SIGNED THE INSPECTIONS WITH A PROTEST AND WERE LATER RIFTED.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

16

18

24

25

AL' THIS WAS REPORTED TO THE NRC AND THEY DID NOTHING. THE PEOPLE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL MY TROUBLES, AS WELL AS THE PEOPLE WHO SUPPORTED ME, ARE STILL AT WATTS BAR. THEY NEVER MISSED A PAY DAY, AND AS A MATTER OF FACT, I HAVE A COPY HERE (INDICATING) WHERE ONE OF THEM RECEIVED A FORTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLAR BONUS THIS PAST YEAR.

HARASSMENT CONTINUES AT WATTS BAR. AS LATE AS AUGUST THE 6TH OF THIS YEAR, I WAS HARRASSED AT THE TVA, NRC MEETING AT THE TRAINING CENTER. THE SAME PERSON WHO HAD HARRASSED ME AT THIS MEETING WAS ONE OF THE MAIN PLAYERS OF MY PREVIOUS PROBLEMS. THIS HARASSMENT WAS DONE IN FRONT OF THE HEAD NRC OFFICIALS OF THE ATLANTA OFFICE. TVA MANAGERS HAVE NO RESPECT OF THE NRC BECAUSE THEY KNOW THE NRC IS GOING TO DO NOTHING TO THEM.

THE NRC CAN STOP HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION BY DENYING LICENSEES OR START UP UNTIL WHISTLEBLOWER COMPLAINTS ARE RESOLVED.

IN CLOSING, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THE NRC HAS NO CREDIBILITY WITH ANY TVA WORKERS I KNOW, AND I DO NOT THINK ANYONE WILL FEEL SAFE TURNING IN SAFETY CONCERNS ANYMORE DUE TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FROM THE TVA TO THE NRC. WOULD YOU FEEL GOOD ABOUT TURNING IN A CONCERN, IF YOU KNEW THE CONCERN WAS GOING TO BE TURNED IN TO TOP MANAGEMENT? HOW CAN THE NRC TELL THE PEOPLE THAT THEY HAVE SAFE PLANTS WHEN THE WORKERS ARE AFRAID TO TURN IN THEIR CONCERNS?

IN CLOSING, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, NO, THE NRC NEVER DID ANYTHING TO HELP ME; AND NO, THEY AIN'T GOING TO.

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

6

8

14

THANK YOU.

SPEAKER NUMBER TWO.

SPEAKER NUMBER TWO:

19 I'D LIKE TO SAY AFTER TWO TRIPS TO WASHINGTON,
20 D.C., LETTERS AND TALKING WITH ALL THE PEOPLE I WAS
21 VERY RELUCTANT TO EVEN COME HERE AND EVEN SPEAK,
22 BECAUSE IT SEEMED LIKE EVERYTHING THAT WE TRY TO DO,
23 IT'S JUST IN MOTION. THERE'S NO REAL ACTION, NO REAL
24 CHANGE YOU CAN REALLY SEE.

I WAS ACTUALLY TALKING MYSELF EVEN OUT OF

ACTUALLY COMING UNTIL LAST WEEK IN A SUNDAY SCHOOL LESSON THEY TALKED ABOUT PRESSURE. I GOT TO THINKING ABOUT, YOU KNOW, I SAID, PEOPLE NEED TO MAKE A STAND FOR OTHER PEOPLE, WHERE SOME PEOPLE WON'T MAKE A STAND. I GOT TO THINKING ABOUT WHAT A FRIEND SAID ABOUT DOLLY PARDON SAYING THAT SHE DIDN'T KNOW SHE WAS POOR UNTIL SOMEBODY TOLD HER. I GUESS THAT SORT OF COMES BACK IN MIND WHEN THIS LESSON THING ABOUT OPPRESSION AND WHAT IS OPPRESSION. ARE YOU OPPRESSED IF YOU DON'T KNOW YOU ARE? ARE YOU BEING MISTREATED IF YOU DON'T KNOW YOU HAVE BEEN MISTREATED?

1

2

3

2

5

6

8

9

14

18

24

THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE OUT HERE THAT DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RULES ARE, LIKE I HAVE IN THE PAST. IT'S A SHAME THAT WE SORT OF HAVE TO LEARN THE RULES AND TRIP ON THE WAY. THEN AFTER WE COME BACK, HINDSIGHT IS TWENTY, TWENTY. IT'S VERY HARD FOR US, ON THE GROUND LEVEL OF TRYING TO DO A JOB AND THEN TO FIND OUT THAT THE JOB IS NOT WHAT REALLY SEEMS TO BE THE FOCUS.

I WAS EMPLOYED WITH TVA FROM 1978 TO 1990. MY NAME IS TREY BURCHFIELD, AND I'M HERE TO EXPRESS GRATITUDE FOR THOSE FEW PUBLIC OFFICIALS THAT HAVE BROUGHT THIS HEARING ABOUT NONE OF WHICH, I KNOW, ARE LOCAL REPRESENTATIVES, INCLUDED BY PRESIDENT AL GORE AND SENATOR JIM SLATCHER. THANKS TO MY COOPERATION

WITH NRC AND BECOMING UNDER THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PROTECTIVE ACTIVITY INVOLVING NUCLEAR PLANTS, I HAVE BEEN UNEMPLOYED FOR TWO YEARS.

4

3

4

5

6

14

18

19

24

I AM HERE TO EXPRESS GREAT CONCERN OVER ANOTHER GOVERNMENT REGULATORY AGENCY THAT MAKES ITS HIGH LIVING, A VERY HIGH STANDARD OF LIVING, OFF OF RATEPAYERS' EXPENSE. IT IS BAD ENOUGH THAT A NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN THE VALLEY ARE MAKING NEAR MINIMUM WAGE HAVE TO TRY TO KEEP TVA UP, WITH THE AVERAGE PAY AT WATTS BAR BEING NEAR SIXTY-FIVE DOLLARS PER HOUR WITH BENEFITS. I WONDER JUST HOW STUPID WE LOOK TO OUR GOVERNMENT. SOME WORKERS AT TVA HAVE BEEN MAKING NEAR FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS PER WEEK, AND FOR WHAT?

WELL, I HAVE TOLD THE NRC, WHILE I WAS EMPLOYED, ABOUT MY CONCERN OVER THE VALUABLE WASTE OF RESOURCES INVOLVING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OF WASTE. NRC'S QUOTE TO ME WAS "MONEY IS NOT OUR ISSUE OR OUR POLICY, AND WE DO NOT GET INVOLVED IN PERSONAL ISSUES. IT AIN'T OUR FROBLEM OR CONCERN HOW MUCH IT COSTS TVA AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC, WHICH ARE RATEPAYERS, AND HOW LONG IT TAKES TO BUILD A PLANT, BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE ANY PERSONAL PROBLEMS WITH THE TVA." THIS WAS FREQUENTLY EXPRESSED TO ME BY THE SITE INSPECTOR AT WATTS BAR OF THE NRC.

IT HAD BEEN REPEATEDLY APOLOGIZED TO ME FOR

TVA'S ACTION AGAINST ME AND FOR THE INABILITY TO HAVE THE SITE INSPECTOR TO NOT BE ABLE TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT.

11

2

4

5

6

8

11

14

24

BACK IN 1984, I WAS OFF AT SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT FROM WATTS BAR FOR A NEW SECURITY INSTALLATION WHICH WAS EXPERIMENTAL, NOT GUARANTEED TO BE ACCEPTED AT A SLIGHT COSTS OF TEN MILLION DOLLARS. THE EXPERIMENT FAILED, SO NOW THEY ARE RETURNING TO MORE OF THE OLD SYSTEM'S STANDARDS. MY MANAGEMENT, THE SITE DIRECTOR, STATED THAT IT COST HIM A CASE A WEEK IN ALCOHOL TO GET ME GONE FROM WATTS BAR TO SEQUOYAH. WHO SAYS THE BARGAIN SYSTEM DON'T WORK?

THE HIGH LEVEL TVA MANAGERS FORMED A FAMILY STRUCTURE IN TVA AND ITS GOVERNMENT COUNTER PARTS. HE SAID TVA IS A FAMILY LIKE ALL OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY AND THAT YOU HAD BETTER NOT GO OUTSIDE THE AGENCY OR YOU WOULD RECEIVE SEPARATION. HE INFORMED ME THAT MOST DECISIONS ABOUT EMPLOYEES WERE MADE OFF THE JOB, AND A LOT OF DECISIONS WERE MADE AT THE LOCAL CLUB AFTER WORK.

I TELL YOU I DON'T TRUST GOVERNMENT POLICY OR GOVERNMENT INTEREST, BUT I DO TRUST PEOPLE THAT ARE IN POLITICS THAT HAVE ETHICS. I LEARNED AFTER BEING WITH TVA, A SHORT WHILE, THAT NRC APPEARED TO BE IN ALL CATCHER FOR THE TVA. WHILE IN THE UNITED STATES

ALL CATCHER FOR THE TVA. WHILE IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORP. FOR FOUR YEARS, I OBSERVED THAT THE MILITARY GOVERNMENT STANDARD WAS TO PLAY THE GOOD GUY, BAD GUY ROUTINE. WHEN YOU GO TALK TO ONE SIDE. YOU WILL TELL EVERYTHING TO THE OTHER SIDE: AND THAT'S WHAT I SEE HERE. THE REASON I'M REFERRING TO THE NRC AS TVA'S ALL CATCHER IS THEIR METHOD OF TRIGGEREY WORKS. THE REASON IS SPIES TELL THEM ALL KINDS OF LIES. SOMETIMES IT IS SPECIFIED AS THE ONE ON THE LOWER LEVEL THEY DON'T KNOW THEIR TELLING EVERYTHING TO SOMEONE THEY THOUGHT THEY TRUST. A SPY THAT PLAYS ALL SIDES IS THE WORST KIND OF SPY. A GOVERNMENT AGENCY THAT INTENTIONALLY DECEIVES THE WORKERS BY INACTION OR HIDDEN ACTION IS DETRIMENTAL TO THE CAUSE OF THEIR EXISTENCE. EVEN GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES LIKE THE NRC THAT CAN ISSUE USING GUIDANCE OF FEDERAL REGULATION LAWS CAN FORCE YOU TELL WHAT YOU KNOW TO LATER HAVE THAT PROTECTED INFORMATION TO USE AGAINST YOU IS A CLEAR PICTURE AS TO WHY WE HAVE A POLITICAL VIETNAM WAR, WHY AGENT ARMS WASN'T RECOGNIZED BY THE FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION TO BE MOST INFLICTED AND WHY U. S. ARMY SOLDIERS WERE USED AS GUINEA PIGS WITH THE ATOMIC BOMB BLAST TESTED IN THE 'FIFTIES.

1

2

4

5

8

9

1.4

18

24

THIS IS WHY PEOPLE DON'T VOTE, DON'T CARE AND

HAVE NO HOPE IN THE GOVERNMENT. WHEN PEOPLE WORKING WITH THE TVA EMPLOYEES CONCERN PROGRAM SAID TO ME THAT THEY COULD NOT GET TVA MANAGEMENT TO ADDRESS MY CONCERNS APPROPRIATELY, TVA WAS MUCH MORE FOCUSED ON ME RATHER THAN ON THE ISSUE. YOU KNOW THE OLD SAYING, "SHOOT THE MESSENGER, NOT THE MESSAGE."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

24

I WAS A PERSON THAT SEEN TVA'S SYSTEM AT WORK BACK IN THE EARLY 'EIGHTIES. WHEN I WENT TO THE NUCLEAR SAFETY REVIEW STAFF, OLD NAME FOR THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS TYPE PROGRAM FOR TVA, MANAGEMENT FORCED ME BY PRESIDENTIAL RELATIONS, IF I DON'T VERIFY WHAT ELSE TO I KNEW, IN WHICH I REPLIED I DON'T HAVE X-RAY VISION. TVA MANAGEMENT EVEN PULLED OUT GUIDELINES THAT FIVE RULES OR LESS IS ACCEPTABLE.

WELL, YOU MAY NOT BELIEVE THIS, BUT I GOT MOVED OUT OF INSPECTION AND NEVER WAS TO RETURN. NRC INVESTIGATED THE ISSUES AND THEY CHANGED THEIR PROCEDURE, BUT TVA, LIKE AN ELEPHANT, NEVER FORGETS OR FORGIVES WHERE YOU JUST DO YOUR JOB, ABIDE WITH THEIR RULES.

I DID NOT KNOW WHAT TO DO, BECAUSE AGAIN, TVA WAS FOCUSING ON THE MESSENGER AND NOT THE MESSAGE. IT WAS RECOMMENDED THAT I ASK ANN HARRIS FOR DIRECTION AS TO WHAT TO DO NEXT. NOW, THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PERSON TOLD ME TO GO TO ANN. NOW, ANN

STRONGLY URGED AND ENCOURAGED ME TO GO TO THE NRC BECAUSE SHE BELIEVED THE SITE RESIDENT INSPECTOR, GLENN WALTON, WAS AN ETHICAL MAN, A MAN THAT CARED AND IF THE PROBLEM WAS A LOT HIGHER THAN HIM, THAT HE WOULD BE ABLE TO DO SOMETHING. I REALLY BELIEVED THAT I WAS JUST A PEBBLE IN A LARGE WORK PILE. AND I JUST COULDN'T BELIEVE SOMEONE LIKE ME AT THE BOTTOM LEVEL COULD CAUSE SO MUCH MOVEMENT AT THE TOP.

3

4

5

7

8

16

18

AS TVA'S SCHEDULE BECAME HOTTER, THEN SO DID THE PRESSURE FOR COMPLETION. I DID NOT REALIZE BY GOING OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY, WHICH WAS NOTHING MORE THAN MY ASSOCIATION WITH ANN HARRIS, MADE ME A TRADER TO THE TVA AND ITS HIDDEN POLICY. LATER IT WAS PROVEN THAT TVA MANAGEMENT TOOK A VIEW OF "YOU MUST BE STUPID TO ASK ANN FOR ANY HELP." OF COURSE, AS USUAL, I FOUND THIS OUT AFTER I HAD ALREADY SEEN THIS ATTITUDE. I TELL YOU, I AM TRULY SORRY FOR TVA'S INABILITY TO SEE THEMSELVES AS WHAT THEY HAVE BECOME. THERE ARE MANY GREAT PEOPLE IN THE AGENCY, BUT GIVEN TIME ONE BAD APPLE CAN, AND WILL ALWAYS, FOOL A MULTITUDE OF THE OTHERS.

THIS TRIP WITH THE NRC REMINDS ME OF WHEN MY YOUNGEST SONS, WHICH IS THREE YEARS OLD, WAS JUST TALL ENOUGH TO RIDE SPACE MOUNTAIN AT DISNEY WORLD. AFTER I SAT DOWN IN THE SEAT, THE RIDE REGULATOR

SAID, "SIR, DO YOU REALIZE WHAT KIND OF RIDE THIS IS?" I STUTTERED AND SAID, "OH, I THINK SO," AND SHE SAID, SLAMMING THE BRAKES DOWN, "GOOD LUCK, SIR." AND OFF I WENT. THEN I WONDERED WHAT I HAD DONE. I CAN'T GET OFF. IT'S TOO LATE. ALL THAT I CAN DO IS RIDE. WELL, THE RIDE ON SPACE MOUNTAIN TURNED OUT TO BE A LOT EASIER THAN THE RIDE WITH TVA, HAVING NRC SLAMMED THE BAR DOWN WHERE I WAS TO RIDE.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

18

24

TVA WAS ANGRY BECAUSE I TRUSTED THE NRC AT SITE LEVEL. THE ISSUE WHEN NRC VERIFIED MY CONCERN. THE TVA SAID THEY WAS CONCERNED ABOUT MY INVOLVEMENT WITH THE NRC. USUALLY, DRUNKS ARE ELABBER MOUTHS, AND SO ARE BRAGGER MOUTHS, AND I HEARD THE TVA MANAGERS BRAGGING ABOUT TVA ALREADY HAVING IN PROCESS OF GETTING RID OF GLENN WALTON FOR BEING SO STRINGENT ON TVA WITH NRC POLICY. I INFORMED GLENN WALTON OF THIS, AND LATER HE SAID THAT HE HAD THIS TAKEN CARE OF.

I REPEATEDLY DEFENDED TVA WITH THE NRC. THE NRC MUST PUT PRESSURE ON TVA TO STOP BEING SO WASTEFUL ON THIS MONEY RIDE. TVA, EVEN NOW, MAKES THE SAME MISTAKES THEY ALWAYS WILL. IF YOU KEEP RUNNING OVER THE SAME HOLES IN THE ROAD, THE DENTS WILL ALWAYS BE SIMILAR NO MATTER IN WHAT VEHICLE.

I HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM WATTS BAR FOR OVER TWO

YEARS, AND I PERSONALLY BLAME THE NRC FOR THE WHOLE TIME I'VE BEEN AWAY. I KNEW IN MY HEART THE NRC HAD BETRAYED ME, AND I'M CONCERNED WITH THIS MEMO OF UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT I CALL THE SWEETHEART DEAL OF THE GOVERNMENT -- BOTH AGENCIES, KNEW ABOUT IT. REMEMBER, WHEN THE GOVERNMENT WANTS TO JUMP YOU, IT IS CALLED RIGHT SIZING, DOWNSIZING, AND JUST SAVE YOUR MONEY BY CUTTING PEOPLE.

1

3

4

5

6

9

14

15

16

18

25

WHEN THE GOVERNMENT GETS CAUGHT IT IS ALWAYS, "OH, YEAH. DIDN'T YOU KNOW THAT? WE THOUGHT YOU KNEW," OR "OH, THAT WAS CLERICAL ERROR." BUT EVEN THIS WAS TYPICAL OF GOVERNMENT IN LIES TOLD TO KEEP THEIR ALREADY OLD MIGHTY DOLLAR HIGHER, NO MATTER WHAT THE PRICE. SOME PEOPLE LIKE WARS, THEY CREATE JOBS AND DESTRUCTION.

THE NRC NEVER EXPLAINED THAT IT HAD ANY ROOM WITHIN ITSELF FOR CONVENIENCE. LET ME EXPLAIN, TVA IS TVA. BEFORE THEY GET CAUGHT FOR MAKING A MISTAKE, THEY ALWAYS CHANGE THE PROCEDURE OR RULES AND REPLACE THE PERSON THEY BLAME FOR IT, WHO LATER WILL USUALLY BE REWARDED. IN MY OPINION, TVA J NUCLEAR PROGRAM DEFINITELY GENERATED ENOUGH PAPER BETWEEN ALL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, THAT DOESN'T HAVE ANY IMPACT WHATSOEVER.

I WENT, WITH ENCOURAGEMENT FROM GLENN WALTON

AND AT THE DIRECTION OF THE NRC, TO A MOTEL TO GIVE STATEMENTS THAT WERE COURT REPORTED AND WAS DECEIVED AS TO WHO THE NRC WAS AND WHAT WAS THEIR INTENT. AFTER THIS MEETING, MY SITE DIRECTOR, JOHN GARRETT, HAD SAID TO ME THAT HE WOULD NOT BELIEVE ANYTHING I EVEN SAID OR DID FOR TVA AGAIN. I SAID, "WHAT ABOUT GLENN WALTON," AND HE REPLIED AND SAID, "I WILL NOT BELIEVE HIM EITHER." I WAS SO UPSET THAT I CALLED GLENN WALTON IN ATLANTA, AND TOLD HIM OF GARRETT'S ANGER WITH ME. NOW, HE SUPPOSEDLY DID NOT HAVE THIS INFORMATION OF OUR INVOLVEMENT WITH THE NRC. YET, HE WAS UPSET OVER SOME'HING THAT HE KNEW.

÷

4

6

8

9

16

18

24

I HAD REPEATEDLY EXPRESSED GREAT CONCERN TO GLENN WALTON OVER TVA'S ACTIONS AT BOARD MEETING WHERE THEY HAD EXPRESSED POLICIES THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE. HE LOOKED OVER HIS COMPUTER AND LOOKED AROUND AND SAID, "IT MOST BE JUST A GUESS OR COINCIDENCE." NOW WE KNOW THAT IT WAS NOT A GUESS, THANKS TO THE NRC AND TVA. WE THE WORKERS ARE PAID DEARLY FOR YOUR BETRAYAL.

WHEN TVA TOOK THEIR VIDEO CAMERA TO FILM THE TVA BREAK UP OF MY DESK AND CABINETS TO SHOW THEM HOW COMFORTABLE TVA WAS WITH THE NRC. TVA ASKED NRC IF THEY WANTED TO FILM WITH THEM AND WATCH THE BREAKING. THIS HAD NEVER BEEN DONE BEFORE. THE OFFICE PEOPLE I

WORKED WITH WERE SCARED TO DEATH AND IT WAS SAID THEY FAN OFF FASTER THAN A FIRE DRILL.

Ť.

2

3

4

6

9

WE WERE SCATTERED LIKE FLIES. TVA'S PLAN WORKED. THANKS TO NRC, TVA WAS ABLE TO CONVINCE PEOPLE THAT ALL ALONG IT WAS CERTAIN NRC PERSONNEL WAS PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR TVA'S JOB SHUTDOWN IN 1990. TVA'S INFRACTION WAS LISTED AND WENT OUT TO ALL NUCLEAR PLANTS ACROSS THE U.S., AND ONE WHOLE PLANT WAS GIVEN MY WORK PLANT, AND TVA DENIED THIS REASON, IT WAS STATED THAT TVA COULDN'T STAND MY CREDIBILITY WITH THE SITE INSPECTOR. YET, I LEARNED TOO LATE THAT BIG BROTHER IS WATCHING, AND THERE IS NO SECRET WITH THE GOVERNMENT.

14 WHEN I WENT TO THE NRC WITH TVA PROCEDURAL VIOLATIONS, I SHOWED THAT AGAIN TO MR. GLENN WALTON. 16 AND TOLD THEM IF WE WANTED THEM TO SEE THIS. HE MUST GO NOW, BEFORE THE TRAIL GROWS COLD DUE TO THE CHANGE 18 IN CIRCUMSTANCES. GLENN SAID, "ARE YOU SURE YOU WANT TO DO THIS, " AND I SAID "YES." AGAIN, HE ASKED "ARE 19 YOU SURE YOU WANT TO DO THIS?" AGAIN, I SAID "YES." HE AGAIN SAID, "ARE YOU SURE YOU WANT TO DO THIS?" FINALLY, I ASKED WHY DID HE SAY THIS. I SAID, "YES. I HAVE NO CHOICE." I COULDN'T STAND MYSELF IF I 24 DIDN'T DO THIS. I HAD BEEN TAUGHT IN THE PAST THAT GOOD WORK WOULD PROTECT ME. BUT I SOON DISCOVERED

THAT THERE IS NOTHING GOOD ENOUGH ONCE YOU'RE CLASSIFIED OUTSIDE THE FAMILY.

4

2

I WANT TO PERSONALLY EXPRESS TO ANN HARRIS, FOR PERSONALLY TAKING ME TO THE NRC, WHOM I DID NOT 4 5 TRUST, THAT I DON'T BLAME HER FOR THE GUILT SHE FEELS SHE HAS IMPOSED ON ME AND MY FAMILY. I DON'T BLAME 6 GLENN WALTON FOR BEING DECEIVED BY HIS OWN AGENCY. 8 BECAUSE I WAS ALSO DECEIVED BY MINE. THF NRC, TYA AND THEIR OFFICIALS ARE TO BLAME. AND SOMEDAY YOU WILL REAF WHAT YOU HAVE SOWN. MR. LIEBERMAN: THANK YOU. SPEAKER NUMBER THREE. 14 SPEAKER NUMBER THREE: I'M NOT PREPARED AT THIS TIME. THANK YOU. MR. LIEBERMAN: SPEAKER NUMBER FOUR. SPEAKER NUMBER FOUR: I FEEL LIKE NAMES ARE IMPORTANT IN THIS MATTER. AND MY NAME IS DOUG BILLINGS. I'M PROBABLY THE ONLY PERSON OF SIX HUNDRED AND THIRTY SOMETHING ODD WHISTLEBLOWERS IN THE UNITED STATES THAT HAS A WHISTLEBLOWER CASE AGAINST THE NRC. THAT CASE NUMBER IS 90-DF-88. IF YOU ARE, AS I PERCEIVE THIS MEETING 24 MAY LEAD ME TO BELIEVE, GOING TO CHANGE THINGS, I ASK

THAT YOU SHOULD CHANGE FOR PAST WHISTLEBLOWERS AND FUTURE WHISTLEBLOWERS -- YOUR THOUGHT SEEMS TO BE ARE WHISTLEBLOWERS PROTECTIVE FROM TVA; THEY ARE NOT. THERE ARE WHISTLEBLOWERS IN THIS AUDIENCE WHO HAVE RECEIVED MONEY FOR SILENCE IN EXCESS TO A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS. THAT IS NOT PROTECTION OF THE POPULOUS OF THE TENNESSEE VALLEY YOU ARE PAYING THESE PEOPLE AND ALLOWING THEM TO LE PAID UNDER YOUR RULES AND REGULATIONS BY TVA TO MAINTAIN SILENCE ABOUT VALID PROBLEMS THAT THEY BROUGHT UP TO YOUR ATTENTION OF YOUR FAVOR TO REGULATE TVA.

4

6

8

9

16

24

25

IN 1986 I WAS EMPLOYED AT TVA WATTS BAR NUCLEAR POWER PLANT. I WAS A DISABLED PERSON, AS MR. HOUSER IS, AND I KNOW OF AT LEAST THIRTY-FIVE OTHER PEOPLE. TVA SAW FIT AFTER THEIR POOR SAFETY POLICIES HAD CRIPPLED ME TO WHERE I COULD NO LONGER PERFORM MY DUTIES AS A HEAVY EQUIPMENT OPERATOR, THEY SAW FIT TO SEND ME TO THIS SCHOOL AND TO TENNESSEE WESTERN COLLEGE AT A COST OF ABOUT SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS TO RATEPAYERS. I OBTAINED A DEGREE.

TVA SENT ME TO THEIR CRITICAL SYSTEMS, STRUCTURES AND COMPONENTS, RECORDS KEEPING ROOM OF WATTS BAR NUCLEAR POWER PLANT. THEY ASKED ME TO BE THE GUARDIAN OF FORTY-TWO THOUSAND, ONE OF A KIND, QUALITY ASSSURANCE RECORDS. I, AT ONE TIME, NOTIFIED

MR. HAYES THERE AND OTHER PEOPLE WITH THE NRC THAT A CERTAIN GENTLEMAN HAD SIGNED AN AFFIDAVIT. WHICH I HOLD A COPY OF IN MY HAND, AND I WILL NOT MENTION HIS NAME. IN THIS AFFIDAVIT THIS GENTLEMAN, WHO IS A WAR HERO, HE STATED THAT HIS TVA SUPERVISORS HAD ORDERED HIM TO DESTROY SIX THOUSAND, ONE OF A KIND, QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS, AND IT STATES IN HIS AFFIDAVIT THAT HE DID. NOW, I NOTIFIED THE NRC OF THIS. IN READING 10CFR50, APPENDIX B, WHICH WAS A REQUIRED PART OF MY TRAINING OF WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT, IT PRETTY WELL LAID DOWN THE LAW THAT I -- IF I WERE TO DO THIS, WOULD BE SENT TO THE FEDERAL PENITENTIARY.

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

THE NRC PERIODICALLY MAILED OUT LETTERS STATING THAT MR. BROWN FROM CONNECTICUT NUCLEAR POWER RECENTLY HAD BEEN SENT TO THE FEDERAL PEN FOR FALSIFYING RECORDS. I KNEW IT WAS WRONG. ONE DAY IN OCTOBER OF 1986, AN M5 SCALE SUPERVISOR, WHO BROUGHT WITNESSES, ORDERED ME TO FALSIFY QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS. I REFUSED; SOMEONE ELSE DID; I WAS FIRED.

THE NRC, IF YOU ARE GOING TO CHANGE AND TRY TO RECOVER FROM YOUR LACK OF OVERSIGHT OF TVA'S NUCLEAR POWER PROGRAM, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BUST SOME TEETH IN THE PROTECTION OF WHISTLEBLOWERS. IF YOU DON'T HAVE A WHISTLEBLOWER, WHICH IS NOTHING MORE THAN AN HONEST PERSON IN THE PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT, IT

WOULD NOT BE SAFE FOR YOU TO GET ON YOUR PLANE AND GO BACK TO WASHINGTON THIS WEEKEND OR WHENEVER YOU GO, BECAUSE EVEN AIRCRAFT MANUFACTURERS CAN MAKE MISTAKES AND COVER THEM UP.

1

4

6

8

18

24

THE ERA CASE THAT I PERSONALLY FILED AGAINST THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION IS BEING STRENUOUSLY FOLLOWED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AGAINST ME AT THE PRESENT TIME TO KEEP ME FROM EVEN HAVING A DAY IN COURT. IT IS CURRENTLY BEFORE THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS SYSTEM IN OHIO; AND I FEEL THAT I MAY PREVAIL.

THE NRC SHOULD FURTHER CONSIDER THEIR ATTITUDE OF BEING IN BED WITH TVA, AND THAT IN 1989 THEY MAILED ME A LETTER SIGNED BY JOHN CRAIG STATING THAT THEY REGRETTED NOT FURNISHING ME WITH EVIDENCE THAT FIFTEEN OF MY THIRTY COMPLAINTS WERE SUBSTANTIATED WHICH I HAD SENT TO THE NRC. THEY HAD GIVEN THIS INFORMATION TO THE TVA. AT THE HEARING IN '87 THE ERA FILED WITH TVA STATING TO THE ADMINISTRATION LAW JUDGE THAT I HAD NOT HAD ANY COMPLAINTS SUBSTANTIATED, WHILE ALL THE TIME THEY LIED TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE.

THE NRC, IN THEIR LETTER OF SEPTEMBER THE 5TH, 1989, CLEARLY STATES THAT THEY REGRET THEIR DELAY OF GIVING ME THIS INFORMATION. THEY GAVE IT TO ME THREE

YEARS AFTER THE FACT. IF I HAD HAD THIS INFORMATION FROM THE NRC DURING THE 1988 TEN DAY HEARING OF MY CASE, I WOULD HAVE PROBABLY PREVAILED. SO THE NRC EFFECTIVELY COST ME MY CASE, COST ME MY JOB. YOU COST MY FAMILY EVERYTHING THEY OWNED. IF YOU ARE GOING TO CHANGE IT, YOU REALLY NEED TO CHANGE IT IN A BIG WAY TO WHERE THE TVA, THREE MILE ISLAND, THESE COMPANIES, MOBILE, GEORGIA POWER, FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT, TO WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO SIT UP AND TAKE NOTICE, BECAUSE IF YOU DON'T, AS THE OTHER GENTLEMAN STATED, ONE DAY YOU'RE GOING TO REAP WHAT YOU SOW, WHEN THE THING MELTS DOWN, GOES TO GROUND WATER, CREATES A VAPOR AND MELTS A QUARTER OF THE UNITED STATES AND THERE'S PLACES YOU CAN NO LONGER GO ON YOUR VACATION.

THANK YOU.

MR. HAYES:

3

4

5

6

8

21

24

EXCUSE ME. WOULD YOU SUGGEST -- AND I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN YOUR MOUTH. I TRIED TO LISTEN VERY PRECISELY TO YOUR STATEMENT -- THAT THE NRC SHOULD BE -- I DON'T WANT TO USE THE WORD ADVOCATE, BUT SHOULD THE NRC GO ON THE RECORD IN THE DOL CASES INDICATING THAT, IN FACT, WE HAVE RECEIVED SAFETY INFORMATION FROM WHISTLEBLOWERS LIKE YOURSELF? WOULD THAT HELP THE PROCESS? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE

SUGGESTING, SIR? SPEAKER NUMBER FOUR:

Ϋ.

4

6

8

9

14

15

THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR PROCESS, WHICH IS CURRENTLY IN PLACE, IS A POLITICAL PROCESS. THE PAST TWELVE YEARS OF ADMINISTRATION HAVE BEEN PRO BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION PRESIDENTS, AND I'M NOT SURE IF THE CURRENT PRESIDENT ISN'T ALSO THE SAME THING. BUT THESE PRESIDENTS APPOINT THE LEADERS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ONLY ENFORCES WHAT THEIR BOSS WANTS THEM TO ENFORCE.

THE NRC SHOULD HAVE RULES AND REGULATIONS WHERE THEY ARE THE INITIAL FINDER OF FACT AND BLAME, NOT THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, WHEN I FILED A COMPLAINT AGAINST YOU, THE NRC, SENT A AGENT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR TO INVESTIGATE WHETHER I KNOW WHAT I HAD BEEN TALKING ABOUT OR NOT. HE HAS NO EXPERTISE IN THIS FIELD. IT SHOULD BE SOMEONE FROM THE NRC -- BUT THE NRC DID INVESTIGATE. THEY DID. THEY SENT TWO IG AGENTS TO INVESTIGATE MY COMPLAINTS. THEY SENT A SUPERVISORY BUILDING INSPECTOR OUT OF ATLANTA TO INVESTIGATE MY COMPLAINTS, AND THAT'S WHY THEY FOUND OUT THEY WERE VALID, BUT THEY TURNED RIGHT AROUND AND GAVE IT TO TVA, AND THE TVA FIRED ME.

25 BY MR. HAYES:

I'M NOT SURE IF YOU ANSWERED MY QUESTION. MY QUESTION WAS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE DEPARTMENT LABOR HEARING, ARE YOU SUGGESTING THE NRC JUST GO ON THE RECORL, EARLY ON, AND PROVIDE THE HEARING PROCESS WITH NOTIFICATION THAT THIS INDIVIDUAL, IN FACT, BROUGHT SAFETY RELATED INFORMATION TO THE NRC, WHETHER IT'S VALID OR NOT, IS IMMATERIAL --

SPEAKER NUMBER FOUR:

ABSOLUTELY. ABSOLUTELY.

MR. HAYES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

19

21

24

25

AND FILE SOME TYPE OF AFFIDAVIT TO THE ALJ IN THE PROCESS OR --

SPEAKER NUMBER FOUR:

ABSOLUTELY. ABSOLUTELY.

MR. HAYES:

LET ME CONTINUE. DO YOU THINK -- YOUR LAST STATEMENT. ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT THE NRC, NOT THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, BE THE PRIMARY AGENCY TO CONDUCT THE INVESTIGATION OF WHETHER OR NOT DISCRIMINATION OCCURRED AND THEN SOME TYPE OF AN ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY TO MAKE A PERSON WHOLE AS OPPOSED TO DOL? SPEAKER NUMBER FOUR:

WELL, I CAN CLARIFY THAT AS TO WHAT HAPPENED TO ME. THE NRC WITHHELD CRITICAL INFORMATION FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE -- AND THEIR LETTER STATES

THEY WITHHELD IT -- THAT WOULD HAVE CAUSED ME TO PREVAIL IN MY CASE BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, BECAUSE PROOF OF A CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IN A NUCLEAR NRC SPONSORED ACTIVITY IS PRIMA FACIE PROOF OF DISCRIMINATION. THESE ARE DECISIONS HANDED DOWN BY A PREVIOUS SECRETARY OF LABOR.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

18

24

25

YOUR LETTER -- NOT YOURS, MR. CRAIG'S LETTER ADMITS THAT THEY WITHHELD THIS EVIDENCE, AND TVA'S OFFICER OF GENERAL COUNSEL GOT IT BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AND DID NOT COMMIT PERJURY, BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT TESTIFYING. BUT THEY DID SUBMIT EVIDENCE WHICH WOULD BE IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S. 1001. THAT IS CRIMINAL. I COULD NOT PREVAIL.

NOW, THE NRC IS AN EXPERT IN THE REALM OF NUCLEAR POWER, AND I KNOW THESE OTHER WHISTLEBLOWERS ARE EXPERTS IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL FIELDS OF NUCLEAR POWER. I VENTURE TO SAY THAT I, NOTWITHSTANDING THE POSSIBILITY THAT I MAY BE EDUCATED BEYOND MY INTELLIGENCE, I HOPE I'M NOT, BUT I MAY BE. BUT I KNOW A LOT ABOUT TVA'S NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS BECAUSE, NUMBER ONE, I WAS THE FIRST OPERATOR ON THE GROUND IN SEQUOYAH IN 1968. I WAS THERE WHEN THEY UNLOADED EQUIPMENT IN WATTS BAR IN 1972, AND IT DOESN'T TAKE A ROCKET SCIENTIST TO KNOW THAT THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG AT WATTS BAR TWENTY-ONE YEARS LATER.

THE NRC CANNOT SEND ONE PERSON, ONE AGENT, OR WHATEVER YOU CALL YOUR FIELD REPRESENTATIVE, TO A NUCLEAR SITE OF THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED EMPLOYEES AND EVEN HOPE TO OVERSEE IT. NOW, IT WASN'T THE FIELD REPRESENTATIVES FAULT THAT TVA'S NUCLEAR POWER PROGRAM FELL ON ITS FACE. IT WAS SOMEONE'S BUDGETARY PROBLEM, THAT THEY WOULDN'T PUT ENOUGH PEOPLE OUT IN THE FIELD TO DO IT, AND YOU HAVE GOOD PEOPLE THAT REFUSE TO BECOME CROOKS. AND THEN WE GET STAMPED WITH THE TITLE "WHISTLEBLOWER," AND YOU EQUATE THAT WITH A PILE OF COW MANURE OR AN HONEST PERSON. MOST PEOPLE LIKE TO SELECT COW MANURE. BUT THAT'S WHERE WE WIND UP. WE WIND UP ON THE BOTTOM OF THE PILE. THAT'S COMING FROM AN OLD DIARY BOY HERE. I MEAN, I WAS RAISED ON A DIARY FARM. 1 KNOW RIGHT FROM WRONG, AND THE DAY THAT I DECIDED TO GO TO THE NRC, I HAD A WIFE AND I HAD THREE DAUGHTERS. I WENT OUT TO THE HALLWAY AND I LOOKED AT A PLAQUE THAT THE NRC HAD CAUSED TO BE THERE, AND THEY SAID I WOULD BE PROTECTED. I SAID, "THIS REALLY ISN'T THE THING TO DO." WELL, I WENT AHEAD AND DID IT ANYWAY, AND I REALLY LOST EVERYTHING I HAD. THEY DIDN'T BUY ME OFF. THEY DIDN'T PAY ME OFF IN SILENCE, AND THEY PROBABLY WANT TO. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

21

24

25

and set of the	
1	SPEAKER NUMBER FIVE.
2	SPEAKER NUMBER FIVE:
3	PASS.
4	MR. LIEBERMAN:
5	SPEAKER NUMBER SIX.
6	SPEAKER NUMBER SIX:
7	I HAVE NO COMMENT.
8	MR. LIEBERMAN:
9	NUMBER SEVEN.
10	SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN:
11	GOOD EVENING, GENTLEMAN. MY NAME IS ANN
12	HARRIS, AND I AM CURRENTLY AN EMPLOYEE OF THE
13	TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY. I'M ADDRESSING YOU
14	TONIGHT AS THE SPOKLSMAN FOR THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR
15	SAFETY NETWORK. NNSN IS A COALITION OF
16	WHISTLEBLOWERS, NUCLEAR SAFETY AND PUBLIC INTEREST
17	ORGANIZATIONS, AS WELL AS INTERESTED INDIVIDUALS
18	ACROSS AMERICA DEDICATED TO PURSUING SAFE OPERATION
19	OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS AND ADHERENCE TO REGULATIONS
20	BY ALL NUCLEAR LICENSEES.
21	ON SEPTEMBER 26TH, 1993 REPRESENTATIVES OF NNSN
22	MET WITH JAMES LIEBERMAN, DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF
23	ENFORCEMENT, AND TWO OTHER REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
24	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION. ALTHOUGH, THIS
25	MEETING WAS NOT, BY DESIGN, AN OFFICIAL MEETING OF

36

NNSN

THIS REVIEW TEAM, THE COALITION DID DISCUSS WITH THE NRC ITS VIEWS ON ISSUES RELATED TO WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION. PRIOR TO THE MEETING, NNSN ENTERED INTO A WRITTEN AGREEMENT WITH THE NRC REPRESENTATIVES THAT BOTH NNSN AND THE NRC STAFF WOULD PREPARE RESPECTIVE MEMORANDUM ELECTING BOTH PARTIES SENSE OF THE MEETING. BOTH PARTIES AGREED THAT THE RESPECTIVE MEMORANDUM WOULD BE SUBMITTED TO THE REVIEW TEAM AND BECOME PART OF THE AGREEMENT. THE COALITION INTENDS TO FULLY HONOR THE AGREEMENT. MY STATEMENT TONIGHT IS NOT BE CONSIDERED A PREEMPTION OF THAT STATEMENT.

4

14

IN 1985 THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY WAS DENIED AN OPERATING LICENSE AT WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT. THE TVA TOLD THE NRC THAT WE'LL DO BETTER, TRUST US. IN 1987 THE TVA'S NUCLEAR PROGRAM WAS IN ASHES. IN 1988 TVA TOLD THE NRC TO TRUST US, WE'LL DO BETTER. LET US START SEQUOYAH AND WE'LL FIX ALL OF THOSE OLD SAFETY PROBLEMS LATER.

MARCH OF THIS YEAR, I SAW CHAIRMAN SELIN AT
SEQUOYAH FOR A PUBLIC RELATIONS VISIT. HE SHUT
SEQUOYAH DOWN. CHAIRMAN SELIN CITED THAT SEQUOYAH
SHOULD NOT HAVE BFEN PERMITTED TO RESTART. AGAIN,
THE NRC TRUSTED THE TVA TO ENSURE THE PUBLIC HEALTH
AND SAFETY.

IN 1990, THE TVA WAS FORCED TO STOP WORK AT THE

TWENTY-TWO YEAR OLD WATTS BAR NUCLEAR CONSTRUCTION SITE. ONE MONTH BEFORE SHUTDOWN, REGION TWO ADMINISTRATOR EBNETER WAS QUOTED AS SAYING THAT WATTS BAR IS IN THE BEST SHAPE I'VE EVER SEEN IT. ONE MONTH LATER WATTS BAR, ON DECEMBER THE 21ST, 1990, WORK STOPPED.

4

5

8

14

IN JANUARY ONE MONTH AFTER THE STOP WORK MR. EBNETER STATED THAT WATTS BAR IS THE WORST I'VE EVER SEEN IT. I SUBMIT TO YOU THAT THESE ARE BUT A FEW OF THE ITEMS THAT GIVES CLEAR INDICATION THAT THE NRC IS KILLING THE NUC! INDUSTRY IN THE UNITED STATES. THE NRC HAS BECOME AN AGENCY OF COOPERATION INSTEAD OF REGULATION.

IN JANUARY OF 1991, THE NRC WENT EVEN FURTHER TO COOPERATE INSTEAD OF T "GULATE. THE NRC'S OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION, MK. BEN HAYES, SIGNED A FORMAL AGREEMENT WITH THE TVA'S INSPECTOR GENERAL, MR. NORM ZIGROSSI. TVA'S IG IS NOT INDEPENDENT.

THIS MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING HAS BEEN USED AS A DIRECT LINE TO THE TVA MANAGEMENT, FURTHER DISTANCING THE NRC FROM SAFETY ISSUES AND EMPLOYEE ABUSE AT THE TVA. USING THIS MOU TO BETRAY PEOPLE, THEIR JOBS, THEIR FAMILIES AND THEIR FUTURES IS OUTRIGHT NEGLIGE'T, AND IF IT IS NOT CRIMINAL, IT CERTAINLY BORDERS ON CRIMINAL.

SINCE THE TVA USED THE INFORMATION GATHERED THROUGH THE MOU TO CUT OVER SIX THOUSAND TVA CONSTRUCTION JOBS IN 1991. THE NRC SHOULD HANG ITS HEAD IN SHAME. SUCH A LARGE FRICE THE PEOPLE OF THIS VALLEY HAVE PAID BECAUSE THE NRC CANNOT REGULATE, IT CAN CNLY COOPERATE. THE MOU IS A CLEAR INDICATION THAT THE NRC STILL DOES NOT, CANNOT AND IS NOT REGULATING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY.

4

5

6

8

9

14

16

18

23

24

THE USE OF THE NOU IS A CLEAR MEESAGE TO NUCLEAR EMPLOYEES NATIONWIDE THAT THE NRC IE LEADING THE CHILLING EFFECT AGAINST NUCLEAR EMPLOYEES IDENTIFYING SAFETY ISSUES. THE TVA HAS NO BETTER LOEBY OR ADVOCATE THAN THE NEC, WHERE ABOSE OF EMPLOYEES IS AN ISSUE. I AM TOLD THAT THE MOU WILL STAY IN FORCE EECAUSE THE NRC TRUST THE TVA.

IN 1988, I FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND THE NRC FOR DISCRIMINATION FOR IDENTIFYING SAFETY FROELEMS AT THE WATTS BAR SITE. THE NRC STATED THAT UNTIL ALL LEGAL AVENUES WERE EXHAUSTED, THE NRC WOOLD NOT GET INVOLVED. THOSE ISSUES WERE CONFIRMED AND I MADE THE FIRST SETTLEMENT WITH TVA IN NOVEMBER 1988. NOW, I'M TOLD THAT TOO MUCH TIME HAS LAPSED AND THE NRC WILL NOT GET INVOLVED AND THE TVA HAS CHANGED. THE NRC HAS TRUSTED THE TVA AGAIN.

SINCE THE 1988 SETTLEMENT, I FILED THREE MORE

ACTIONS WITH THE DOL AND I SETTLED THOSE ISSUES WITH TVA IN AN AGREEMENT REACHED IN OCTOBER 1990. DOL REACTED QUICKLY AND INVESTIGATED THE ISSUES. ALL OF THE INITIAL RULINGS WERE IN MY FAVOR. THE NRC DID NOT MAKE THE SAME DETERMINATIONS EVEN AFTER PERFORMING AN INVESTIGATION ON ONE CASE, BUT THE NRC TURNED THAT SAME ACTION OVER TO TVA'S IG WHO COULD NOT FIND ANY MISCONDUCT BY TVA MANAGEMENT.

14

8

14

24

MISCONDUCT BY TVA MANAGEMENT WAS NOT AND IS NOT THE ISSUE, GENTLEMEN. INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT OF EMPLOYEES FOR IDENTIFYING SAFETY ISSUES IS THE ISSUE. THE WHISTLEBLOWER LAW DOES NOT STATE THAT THE TVA MUST BE FOUND GUILTY OF MISCONDUCT. WE ARE ALL AWARE THAT THE POLICIES, PROGRAMS AND PROCEDURES OF TVA ARE IN CONFLICT WITH THE SECTION 211 ERA.

TVA'S IG DOES NOT LOOK AT SAFETY ISSUES OR HOW WRONGDOING BY TVA'S MANAGER CAN AFFECT SAFETY. AS YOU ARE AWARE, THE TVA INSPECTOR GENERAL GETS HIS JOB JUST LIKE EVERY OTHER TVA EMPLOYEE, THROUGH EITHER A BUDDY OR THROUGH THE OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES. TVA'S IG IS THE MANAGEMENT MUSCLE OF THE TVA AND IS NOT INDEPENDENT OF TVA MANAGEMENT.

WHEN THE NRC DOES NOT BECOME INVOLVED EARLY IN THE PROCESS, THE NRC FORCES THE ALLEGERS TO USE RESOURCES THAT WE DO NOT HAVE. LOOK WHAT HAPPENED IN

CONNECTICUT TO MAGGIE AND ARNIE GUNDERSEN. LISTEN TO PEOPLE HERE TONIGHT. HOW WOULD YOU LIKE TO SWAP PLACES WITH ANY ONE OF US FOR A WEEK OR DAY? HOW CAN THE NRC HAVE A CONSCIENCE WHEN IT FORCES FAMILIES OUT OF THEIR HOME? THE GUNDERSENS OR ANYONE ELSE, AND MYSELF INCLUDED, DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE MONIES THAT THESE UTILITIES HAVE. OVER FIVE MILLION DOLLARS WAS SPENT ATTEMPTING TO KEEP ANN HARRIS QUIET. THE SAFETY ISSUES WERE NEVER DENIED BY TVA, JUST THE ABUSE. THE NRC NOW TELLS ME THAT TOO MUCH TIME HAS LAPSED, TVA HAS CHANGED, AND WE MUST TRUST THE TVA. THE TVA FEELS VERY COMFORTABLE, INDEED, WITH THE COOPERATION FROM THE NRC.

4

8

24

TVA HAS ONE OF THE OLDEST EMPLOYEE CONCERNS FROGRAM IN THE PUCLEAR INDUSTRY AND TVA CONTINUES TO HAVE THE WORST RECORD FOR EMPLOYEE ABUSES. THIS TASK FORCE SHOULD GO BACK AND TELL CHAIRMAN SELIN THAT SINCE THE PROGRAMS HAVE NO REGULATION AND ARE, YET, ANOTHER CONDUIT BACK TO THE ABUSING MANAGER THE PROGRAMS ARE NOT AND HAVE NOT BEEN WORKING. HE SHOULD TRYING PUTTING A PROGRAM IN PLACE THAT THE NRC THAT STARTS THE NRC INTO THE REGULATION OF THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY, NOT COOPERATION. THE CHAIRMAN IS OUT OF TOUCH WITH WHAT EMPLOYEES ARE WILLING TO ENDURE WHEN THEY ARE BEING ABUSED AND WHEN THE PUBLIC

HEALTH AND SAFETY ARE AT RISK.

4

5

6

8

1.4

18

24

MR. LIEBERMAN, YOU'VE ASKED US TO COMMENT ON YOUR WORK PRODUCT AND SO I SHALL. IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR THE OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT HELD AN ENFORCEMENT CONFERENCE IN ATLANTA OVER THE TVA'S ABUSE AND DISCRIMINATION OF EMPLOYEES OVER SAFETY ISSUES. THE MEETING WAS CLOSED TO ANY WHISTLEBLOWER AND OR THEIR ADVOCATES. TVA SENIOR MANAGEMENT CAME, HUMAN RESOURCES CAME, BUT NO INVOLVEMENT FROM THE POOR EMPLOYEES THAT WERE SO MISTREATED.

THE NEC DEEW A LINE IN THE SAND AND ONLY CONSIDERED THOSE CASES WITHIN A SPECIFIC TIME FRAME. THE TIME FRAME ENABLE TVA TO COVER UP FROM THE PUBLIC AND THE RATEPAYER OVER ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY CASES OF ABUSE BY TVA OVER EMPLOYEES AND PUBLIC SAFETY. THIS IS THE NEW TVA, AND THE TVA THAT THE NEC, TRUST TO HANDLE ITS OWN PROBLEMS OF ABUSE?

IN THAT CONFERENCE IT WAS IDENTIFIED THAT TVA HAD SETTLED SIXTY-FOUR CASES OF THESE SOME ONE HUNDRED FIFTY PEOPLE. HOW THE OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND THE OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION JUSTIFIES THAT KIND OF COOPERATION CLEARLY SHOWS THE NRC'S INABILITY TO REGULATE.

THE TVA SENIOR MANAGEMENT THANKS YOU. TVA PUBLIC RELATIONS THANKS YOU. TVA LAWYERS THANK YOU,

AND TVA CONTRACTORS THANK YOU FOR AIDING THEM IN THIS COVER UP WITH THE NEW TVA. YOU HAVE CERTAINLY SAVED SOME TVA MANAGERS JOBS, FUTURES AND HOMES.

4

8

24

ALL OF THIS ABUSE HAS GENERATED A TOTAL FINE BY THE OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT OF TWO HUNDRED AND FORTY THOUSAND DOLLARS AGAINST THE TVA. THAT AMOUNT COVERS OVER TWO HUNDRED WHISTLEBLOWERS AT THE TVA. THAT EQUALS TO TWELVE HUNDRED DOLLARS PER PERSON. THE NRC'S OI AND OE HAVE PUT A CHEAP PRICE ON PEOPLE.

MR. LIEBERMAN, AS I STATED TO YOU WHEN YOU MET WITH THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SAFETY NETWORK IN CONNECTICUT RECENTLY, I CANNOT COMMENT ON WORK PRODUCT WHERE NOTHING EXISTS THAT WOULD GENERATE A COMMENT. NO ACTION HAS BEEN GENERATED AND I AM SURE THAT YOU ARE WAITING FOR THE TIME TO RUN OUT SO THAT YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DEAL WITH THESE PEOPLE THAT YOU HELPED ABUSE.

ON AUGUST THE 3RD, 1993, THIS TASK FORCE PUBLISHED A PRESS RELEASE FROM THE NRC ANNOUNCING THESE HEARINGS. THAT PRESS RELEASE SAID PUBLIC COMMENTS ARE BEING SOUGHT ON THE NRC'S WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION PROGRAM. AS YOU ARE AWARE, MR. LIEBERMAN, I ATTEMPTED TO FIND THAT PROGRAM AND HOW IT WORKS. CHAIRMAN SELIN TOLD THE SENATE HEARINGS IN JULY ABOUT THE PROGRAM AND IN YOUR PRESS RELEASE YOU TALK ABOUT

THE PROGRAM AGAIN. I WANTED TO BE ABLE TO USE THIS PROGRAM IF I SHOULD NEED IT. IT SHOULD BE EASILY ACCESSED BY NUCLEAR EMPLOYEES. DAVID LAMB, FORMERLY OF HOUSTON LIGHT AND POWER, COULD CERTAINLY HAVE USED IT. ALLAN MOSBAUGH, FORMERLY OF THE GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, WOULD HAVE BEEN DELIGHTED TO USE IT. BUT AS YOU ARE AWARE AND YOU CONFIRMED TO US IN THAT MEETING, NO SUCH PROGRAM EXISTS.

4

14

24

I THINK THAT THE CHAIRMAN OF THE NRC IS MISLEADING CONGRESS, THE MEDIA AND NUCLEAR EMPLOYEES INTO BELIEVING THAT THE NRC WILL PROVIDE SOME SORT OF PROTECTION TO EMPLOYEES RAISING SAFETY ISSUES.

CHAIRMAN SELIN IS ASKING US TO TRUST THE NRC. THE NRC HAS ABDICATED ITS REGULATORY RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE AGENCY THAT LEADS THE NATION IN ABUSE OF EMPLOYEES. SURE TVA HAS LESS PROBLEMS WITH EMPLOYEES AT NUCLEAR SITES. WORD IS OUT THAT THE NRC WILL IDENTIFY THE PERSON AND THE ISSUE BACK TO TVA. TVA EMPLOYEES AREN'T WILLING TO TAKE A CHANCE WHEN TVA TERRORIZED THE NUCLEAR EMPLOYEES IN MAY 1991, WITH THE FULL KNOWLEDGE AND CONCURRENCE OF THE NRC. THE MESSAGE CAME THROUGH LOUD AND CLEAR, "DON'T GO TO THE NRC, THEY COOPERATE, NOT REGULATE." THE NRC KNOWS FULL WELL THAT A TVA EMPLOYEE COMING FORWARD WITH A SAFETY ISSUE WILL COST HIM OR HER THEIR JOB.

THE CONTINUED USE OF THE MOU BETWEEN THE NRC AND TVA IS A CLEAR INDICATION OF THE CONTEMPT THAT THE NRC HAS FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY AND HEALTH. THE NRC IS ENCOURAGING A NUCLEAR ACCIDENT IN THIS COUNTRY BY STOPPING WHISTLEBLOWERS FROM IDENTIFYING SAFETY FROBLEMS. THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY COULD BE MADE SAFE IF A REGULATORY AGENCY WAS IN PLACE TO REGULATE.

à

14

24

ONE WAY THAT THE NRC COULD SHOW THAT IT IS NOT COOPERATING IS TO PUT TWO MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COALITION ON THIS TASK FORCE. IT WOULD ENSURE THAT CONGRESS AND THE COMMISSION HEARS WHAT IS SAID BY THE WHISTLEBLOWERS WHO HAVE ABSOLUTE CREDIBILITY AND NOT THE UTILITIES CONTINUAL PROMISE TO DO BETTER.

ANOTHER WAY WOULD BE TO CREATE A CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD. TWO MEMBERS FROM THE NATIONAL COALITION SHOULD SERVE ON THE BOARD AT ALL TIMES. THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION, INCLUDING THE CHAIRMAN, SHOULD RESIGN EFFECTIVELY IMMEDIATE.

WITHOUT LEADERSHIP THAT CAN BE TRUSTED THE NRC IS JUST AS USELESS AS THE UTILITIES WANT IT TO BE.

MR. LIEBERMAN AND MR. HAYES, YOU TWO MEN HAVE KNOWN WHAT YOU WERE PRACTICING IN YOUR OFFICES WAS NOT CORRECT. WHERE'S YOUR SELF RESPECT? WHERE IS YOUR CONCERN FOR THE PUBLIC HEALTH? WHERE IS YOUR CONSCIENCE? I THINK THAT THEY ARE ALL RIGHT WHERE

YOU PUT THEM, IN YOUR HIP POCKET, RIGHT WHERE YOU CAN TOUCH THEM WHEN YOU HAVE AN ATTACK OF CONSCIENCE, AND THE EVIDENCE IS OVERWHELMING THAT YOUR HIP PCCKET WAS REMOVED SEVERAL YEARS AGO FROM NONUSE.

BEFORE I STEP DOWN, I WANT TO ASK IF THESE HEARINGS ARE A PROTECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR PEOPLE MAKING STATEMENTS THAT DO NO HAVE JOBS WITH THE UTILITIES? I DID NOT GET A CLEAR DEFINITION IF THAT IS TRUE WHEN WE STARTED THESE HEARINGS THIS AFTERNOON.

THE OTHER THING IS THE NRC SHOULD REMOVE THE MOU BETWEEN THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY AGENCY.

AND I THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

4

5

6

8

9

14

I THANK YOU. AND AGAIN, MY VIEW IS THAT THIS MEETING IS A PROTECTIVE ACTIVITY.

MR. GRIMES:

¹⁸ I'D LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION. YOU BROUGHT UP THE
¹⁹ CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD. I'M THINKING -- COULD YOU
²⁰ EXPAND A LITTLE ON WHAT ROLE THAT WOULD PLACE -- I'M
²¹ THINKING AFTER THE TERM OF THIS TASK FORCE, WHAT
²² RECOMMENDATIONS WOULD SOME SORT OF A CITIZENS
²³ ADVISORY BOARD ON A NATIONAL BASIS PLAY SOME ROLE IN
²⁴ YOUR MIND, AND WHAT WOULD THE ROLE BE, ADVISING THE
²⁵ NRC?

SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN:

3

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

24

THERE HAS TO BE SOME PLACE AT THE NRC WHERE THE WHISTLEBLOWERS HAVE SOME SORT OF SAFE SPACE WITHOUT GOING THROUGH THE LEGALITIES THAT WE ARE BEING FORCED INTO.

THE OTHER THING IS THAT THAT ADVISORY BOARD WOULD BE A WORKING PART OF SOME SORT OF IN HOUSE PROGRAM OF THE NRC BECAUSE AS YOU'VE ALREADY HEARD ME STATE, YOU HAVE NO IN HOUSE WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION PROGRAM AT THIS TIME AND THIS DATE. SO SOMEBODY IS GOING TO HAVE TO SIT DOWN AND PUT TOGETHER WHAT IS A WORKABLE SOLUTION TO THESE PROBLEMS, BECAUSE THE INDUSTRY IS GOING DOWN THE DRAIN AND WE'RE TRYING TO STOP IT, AND YOU ALL ARE HELPING IT. YOU'RE HELPING FOR IT TO GO DOWN THE DRAIN, BECAUSE WE CAN'T GET YOU TO LISTEN TO WHISTLEBLOWERS. IF YOU PUT A NATIONAL CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD TOGETHER, WHY COULDN'T THOSE BE RESPONSIBLE CITIZENS THAT WHENEVER YOU COME TO THE REGION TWO, AND THE OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT COMES DOWN THERE, SOMEBODY FROM THIS REGION THAT'S OUTSIDE THE UTILITY AND OUTSIDE THE NRC HAS KNOWLEDGE. WHY COULDN'T THEY SIT AND HELP DECIDE WHAT IS WRONGDOING AND WHAT IS GOING ON TO MOVE THIS THING FORWARD SO THAT WE STOP DELAYING AND USING UP FIVE YEARS OF PEOPLE'S LIVES AND THEY'RE STILL BEING ABUSED.

MR. GRIMES:

1

4

6

8

24

SO, LET ME CLARIFY, YOU'RE THINKING OF THIS BOARD THAT IS ACTIVE IN ACTUAL INDIVIDUAL CASES RATHER THAN JUST ADVISING ON THE PROCESS? SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN:

WELL, WHY COULDN'T IT WORK BOTH WAYS, IS WHAT WE'RE SAYING. IT'D BE THERE FOR YOU TO HAVE ACCESS TO, TO HAVE INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE AS TO WHAT'S GOING ON. IT HAS TO BE A SAFE SPACE, MR. GRIMES, AND THAT'S WHAT WE DON'T HAVE. WE ARE IN THE POSITION OF HAVING TO LITERALLY FIGHT FOR OUR LIVES AND OUR FUTURES WHEN WE SHOULDN'T BE WHEN THE NRC CONSTANTLY TELLS US THAT THEY RELY ON WHISTLEBLOWERS TO COME FORWARD. BECAUSE YOU CAN'T REGULATE EVERYTHING. AND WHAT WE NEED IS FOR SOMEBODY AT THE NRC TO TAKE THE INITIATIVE AND SAY, "HEY, WE WANT TO STOP THIS." DRAW ANOTHER LINE IN THE SAND. SAY WHISTLEBLOWERS ARE NOT GOING TO BE TREATED AS WHISTLEBLOWERS OR TROUBLE MAKERS FROM NOW ON, BECAUSE THEIR ISSUES STILL HAVE TO BE FIXED. WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE IS PEOPLES LIVES ARE BEING DESTROYED AND THEY ARE NOT BEING FIXED. THAT'S WHERE WE WANT THAT BOUNDARY AND WE WANT THAT WALL THAT YOU HAVE ERECTED, WE WANT THAT WALL TAKEN DOWN. WE WANT TO HAVE THE SAME ACCESS THAT THE UTILITIES HAVE WITH YOU.

MR. GRIMES:

4

8

9

14

18

21

24

DO YOU THINK THAT THIS WOULD REPLACE THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR PROCESS OR BE AN ADDITION TO IT? SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN:

I DON'T THINK THAT THE NRC SHOULD ADVOCATE CHANGING THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR POSITION, OR THAT THEY'RE A PART IN ANY OF THIS, BECAUSE THEY ARE AN OUTSIDE AGENCY, AND THEY ARE A THIRD PARTY THAT ACTIVELY REGULATES YOU AND YOUR ACTIVITIES AS WELL AS THE UTILITIES IF THEY ARE PERMITTED TO DO THEIR JOB. SO I THINK THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR -- THERE MAY BE ANOTHER WAY THAT IT CAN BE DONE, BECAUSE WHAT'S GOING ON TODAY IS OBVIOUSLY NOT WORKING, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HERE TO DISCUSS WITH YOU.

MR. GRIMES:

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

IN THAT REGARD, WHEN YOU ARE REFERRING TO NOT CHANGING THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR PROCESS, NRC NOT BEING INVOLVED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR PROCESS, SHOULD NRC BE DOING ITS OWN INVESTIGATIONS AND DOL ALSO BE DOING INVESTIGATIONS OR WOULD IT BE JUST ONE AGENCY REVIEWING INVESTIGATIONS? WHAT DO YOU THINK? SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN:

IF YOU'RE ACTIVELY INVOLVED AND CARE ABOUT

PUBLIC SAFETY AND ABOUT PEOPLE AND ABUSE OF PEOPLE AND EMPLOYEES IN THIS INDUSTRY THEN YOU WOULD GET INVOLVED UP FRONT. YOU'VE GOT A SENIOR RESIDENT INSPECTOR SITTING AT THAT JOB SITE AND HE KNOWS IF THAT'S A SAFETY ISSUE OR NOT. HE CAN HAVE A MAN OUT THERE WITHIN TWO HOURS AFTER YOU RECEIVE NOTICE THAT THERE IS A PROBLEM. NOW, GRANTED THAT THE UTILITIES LAWYERS, THE NRC LAWYERS, EVERYBODY'S LAWYERS WILL EVENTUALLY GET INVOLVED IN IT. BUT IF THE NRC TOOK THE INITIATIVE UP FRONT, TO BECOME INVOLVED AND THERE WAS RECOGNITION THAT THE NRC IS GOING TO BECOME ACTIVELY INVOLVED UP FRONT. AND KETALIATE AGAINST THE UTILITY BECAUSE THESE THINGS ARE NOT -- AS YOU'VE HEARD HERE TONIGHT, THESE ACTIONS ARE NOT DENIED BY THE UTILITY. NOBODY'S DENYING WHAT HAPPENED TO ALL OF US. NOBODY'S DENYING THAT THE SAFETY ISSUES DON'T EXIST. YOUR OWN SENIOR RESIDENT INSPECTOR -- BUT WE TOOK ALL OF THIS TOO -- TOLD US, "I CAN'T GET INVOLVED. I'D LIKE TO, BUT I'M NOT PERMITTED TO."

4

6

8

9

14

24

WELL, IF 10CFR50.9 ALSO STATES THAT THE NRC WILL UPHOLD AND AGREE TO ACTIONS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. WELL, IF YOU AGREE AND YOU ARE SUPPORTING THAT, THEN YOU WOULD GET INVOLVED AT A POINT WHERE YOU TAKE THE NECESSARY ACTION. YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO DOWN TO TVA TO STOP SEQUOYAH OR WATTS BAR. YOU DON'T

HAVE TO DO THOSE THINGS. YOU GO IN AND HAVE A TALK. AN AGREEMENT IN A MEETING. WITH THE SENIOR SITE VICE PRESIDENT, AND YOU LOOK HIM IN THE EYE AND SAY, "I WILL GIVE YOU FORTY-EIGHT HOURS TO RECTIFY THIS 4 SITUATION OR WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN A THOUSAND DOLLAR A 6 DAY ANNOUNCED FINE AGAINST THIS UTILITY, AT THIS JOB SITE, UNTIL YOU CORRECT THE SITUATION." THOSE THINGS ARE NECESSARY. IT GOES ON EVERYWHERE ELSE. WHY ARE 8 YOU SO BASHFUL ABOUT DOING THAT? I'M HERE TALKING ABOUT THOSE SITES NOW, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HAVING TO DEFEND WITH. MR. LIEBERMAN: THANK YOU. 14 NEXT SPEAKER, NUMBER EIGHT. SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN: I WOULD ASK MR. LIEBERMAN THAT THIS IS MY OFFICIAL STATEMENT, AND I THAT I GIVE IT TO THIS YOUNG LADY FOR THE RECORD? 18 MR. LIEBERMAN: THAT WOULD BE VERY GOOD. SPEAKER NUMBER SEVEN: (PRESENTING DOCUMENT TO COURT REPORTER). MR. LIEBERMAN: NUMBER EIGHT. 24 SPEAKER NUMBER EIGHT:

PASS.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

4

8

14

24

NUMBER NINE?

SPEAKER NUMBER NINE:

MY NAME IS GEORGE JONES, AND I'M AN EMPLOYEE OF WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT. I AM SUPPOSEDLY AN ACTIVE WHISTLEBLOWER. I HAD VERY MIXED EMOTIONS ON COMING HERE TONIGHT, BUT I THOUGHT ABOUT IT, AND I CAME HERE TONIGHT TO ASK SEVERAL THINGS. ONE, PRIMARILY, IF THERE'S ANOTHER PERSON WHO IS AN EMPLOYEE OF TVA AND IS THINKING ABOUT BECOMING A WHISTLEBLOWER, DON'T. DON'T RUIN YOUR LIFE. THERE IS NO PROTECTION AGAINST TVA. THERE IS NO PROTECTION WITHIN THE NRC. THERE IS NO PROTECTION WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. THERE IS NO PROTECTION WITHIN YOUR ATTORNEY. I'VE SPENT THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS. I'VE HAD THREE ATTORNEYS MISREPRESENT ME. ONE LEFT ME IN FRONT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR JUDGE WITH NO REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER.

THAT JUDGE EVENTUALLY REFUSED TO HEAR MY CASE. I TOLD HIM I WAS PREPARED TO REPRESENT MYSELF, AND I WANTED TO END IT. BUT HE DECIDED NOT TO -- NO; THAT YOU NEED ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION. I WENT OUT AND OBTAINED ANOTHER ATTORNEY. THIS ATTORNEY HAD ALL MY FILES, ALL THE RECORDS AND HE REVIEWED IT FOR SEVERAL

WEEKS BEFORE TAKING MY CASE. WE WENT FOR A HEARING, THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR JUDGE RULED AGAINST ME. HE SAID, "YOUR PREVIOUS ATTORNEY FILED THIS SUIT TWO DAYS LATE." IT WAS THROWN OUT. IN THE PROCESS I FILED AN ADDITIONAL SUIT.

3

4

5

1.4

15

I WAS FORCED OFF THE JOB, THREATENED BY A TVA PSYCHOLOGIST. THEY PULLED SECURITY CLEARANCE. THEY TOLD ME IF I DID NOT GO TO PSYCHOLOGICAL COUNSELING THAT I WOULD BE TERMINATED FROM TVA. I GOT REPORTED BY TVA PSYCHOLOGIST THREATENING -- HE STOOD THERE AND TOLD ME, HE STUCK HIS FINGER IN MY FACE AND HE SAID. "YOU ABUSE ALCOHOL." I TOLD HIM, "I DON'T DRINK ALCOHOL." I SAID, "I HAVEN'T BEEN DRINKING MUCH RECENTLY," AND HE GOES ON TALKING. THREE TIMES HE CAME AROUND. HE STUCK HIS FINGER IN MY FACE AGAIN. HE SAID "YOU ABUSE DRUGS." I'VE ONLY BEEN ON DRUGS THAT ARE PRESCRIBED BY MY DOCTOR, UNDER HIS SUPERVISION. HE TALKED AWHILE LONGER. THIS GOES ON FOR A PERIOD OF OVER AN HOUR. THIS GOES ON FOR OVER AN HOUR. OVER AN HOUR OF INTIMIDATION BY A SO CALLED PSYCHOLOGIST. HE CAME AROUND THREE TIMES ACCUSING ME OF ABUSING DRUGS AND ALCOHOL. ON THE THIRD TIME I TOLD HIM I SAID, "SIR, YOU HAVE A FACILITY HERE TO DRUG SCREEN ME AND ALCOHOL TEST ME. DO IT NOW." HE TRIED CHANGING THE SUBJECT AND GOING ON TO SOMETHING

ELSE. I SAID, "SIR, EVIDENTLY YOU DIDN'T UNDERSTAND ME." I SAID, "YOU ACCUSE ME OF ABUSING ALCOHOL. YOU'VE ACCUSED ME OF ABUSING DRUGS. YOU HAVE THE FACILITIES HERE TO DRUG SCREEN ME NOW. DO IT." HE TRIED TO CONTINUE THE CONVERSATION. I SAID, "EXCUSE ME, SIR. YOU HAVE A GOOD DAY." I WALKED OUT AND LEFT HIM THERE.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

17

18

24

I, IN TURN, FILED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR A SULT AGAINST THIS PSYCHOLOGIST FOR INTIMIDATION AND HAPASSMENT. MY ATTORNEY TALKED ME INTO DROPPING THE CHARGES. THIS SAME ATTORNEY TOLD ME WHEN I FILED AN ADDITIONAL SUIT, HE SAID "IF YOU CONTINUE FILING SUITS AGAINST TVA, YOU WILL BE TERMINATED." I SAID. "WHO ARE YOU REPRESENTING HERE? ARE YOU REPRESENTING ME OR ARE YOU REPRESENTING TVA?" NO. HE IS NO LONGER MY ATTORNEY. I HAVE HAD THREE ATTORNEYS TAKE MY MONEY. ONE WAS JUST OUT OF ATLANTA, HE ABANDONED SEVERAL HUNDRED CLIENTS AND TOOK THEIR MONEY. I'M SURE YOU ARE AWARE OF WHO HE IS. THIS SAME JUDGE THAT DISMISSED MY CASES AFTER TELLING ME NO, I HAD TO HAVE ANOTHER ATTORNEY, HAD ISSUED A COURT ORDER FOR THIS ATTORNEY TO PRODUCE MY FILE. THIS ATTORNEY FAILED TO PRODUCE MY FILE. I REQUESTED THAT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR JUDGE, THIS ATTORNEY HAS NOT RETURNED MY FILE. I SAID, "WOULD YOU ISSUE A COURT

ORDER OR AN INJUNCTION AGAINST HIM FOR VIOLATING A COURT ORDER?" HE SAID, "SIR, I NO LONGER EAVE ANY RULING IN THIS CASE, EXCEPT THAT IT'S BEEN DISMISSED." ANOTHER SEVERAL THOUSAND DOLLARS OUT THE WINDOW.

3

4

6

8

14

18

24

ANY PERSON THAT THINKS HE IS PROTECTED BY TVA BY BEING A WHISTLEBLOWER IS A VERY FOOLISH PERSON. THERE'S NOT A DAY IN MY LIFE THAT I'M NOT HARASSED, INTIMIDATED IN SOME SHAPE OR MANNER. I'M VERY, VERY CAREFUL TO WHERE I GO, DUE TO THE FACT THAT I'VE HAD MY LIFE THREATENED, I'VE BEEN THREATENED BODILY HARM. I CALLED THE TV INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE REQUESTING ASSISTANCE. I DID NOT GET THE CALL RETURNED. YET, I GOT DOCUMENTATION FIRST UNDER THE DEPARTMENT OF ---ONE OF THE TVA'S REPRESENTATIVES HAS LIED IN THE LETTER TO CONGRESS AND YET THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE SAYS, "WE FIND NO WRONG DOING FROM TVA." IF LYING TO A CONGRESSMAN IS NOT WRONG, THEN I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE HELL IS WRONG. PARDON MY VOCABULARY. I GET UPSET EASILY, AND I'VE WORKED IN CONSTRUCTION OVER THIRTY YEARS. I DON'T HAVE THE VOCABULARY THAT SOME OF YOU MORE SOPHISTICATED PEOPLE HAVE. I SAY WHAT I THINK USUALLY, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT COMES OUT AS SLANG OR PROFANITY.

BUT AT THE PRESENT TIME, I HAVE A DEPARTMENT OF

LABOR SUIT INVOLVING TOP MANAGEMENT AT WATTS BAR TODAY AND ONE OF THE HUMAN RESOURCES PEOPLE IN TVA. IT ALSO INVOLVES ONE OF THE TVA'S INSPECTOR GENERALS. YET, I FIND NO RELIEF. I CAN'T HAVE FRIENDS ON THE JOB. IF I HAVE A FRIEND ON THE JOB -- IF THEY THINK THAT JOE OVER HERE IS A FRIEND OF MINE, HE'S HARASSED AND INTIMIDATED RIGHT ALONG WITH ME. I HAVE PEOPLE THAT HAVE CALLED ME AT HOME AT NIGHT AND TELL ME THEY'RE CONCERNED WITH THINGS THAT ARE GOING ON AT WATTS BAR, THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO BE INVOLVED IN BECAUSE THEY DON'T WANT TO BE OUT ON THAT LIME WITH ME. YET, THEY ARE AFRAID. IN THEIR HEART -- THEY DON'T HAVE TO, BUT THEY DON'T WANT TO BE THE ONE TO GET KNOCKED AND I DON'T BLAME THEM.

1

4

6

8

18

24

THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IS NOT A REPRESENTATIVE OF MINE. THEY DO NOT REPRESENT ME. THEY ARE RUINING LIVES, AND THEY HAVE NO INTENTION OF DOING ANYTHING FOR ME. IN MAY OF THIS YEAR I REQUESTED GLENN WALTON TO ATTEND THE MEETING WHERE I WAS POSITIVE I WOULD GET INTIMIDATED AND HARASSED BY TVA MANAGEMENT. HE SAID HE HAD TO HAVE HIS MANAGER APPROVAL OUT AT THE PLANT. HE CALLED ME BACK THE NEXT DAY AFTER THE MEETING AND SAID HIS MANAGEMENT SAID HE COULD NOT GET INVOLVED WITH THE HEARING.

THEN, THERE'S THREE ADDITIONAL SUITS INVOLVED

OVER THESE HEARINGS. YET, DO YOU REPRESENT ME? HELL NO, YOU DON'T REPRESENT ME. YOU DON'T REPRESENT THE CITIZENS OF THIS STATE OR THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTRY. YOU REPRESENT TVA AND YOURSELF. I DON'T FIND THAT THERE IS ANY TRUTH IN ANY OF IT, WHETHER IT'S THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, WHETHER IT IS TVA'S EMPLOYEES CONCERNS, WHETHER IT'S NRC. I THINK YOU ALL RIGHT BACK HERE, IN TVA'S HIP POCKET. UNTIL THERE'S SOMETHING DONE, THERE'S GOING TO BE NO PEACE BETWEEN THE WHISTLEBLOWERS. THEY ARE GOING TO BE HARASSED AND INTIMIDATED AS LONG AS THEY ARE WITH TYA. FOR THE ONES LIKE MYSELF WHO -- I MAY BE FORTUNATE, MAY NOT -- HAVE MAINTAINED THEIR JOBS, THERE'S HUNDREDS OUT THERE WHO WERE WHISTLEBLOWERS THAT ARE NO LONGER WITH TVA WHO WERE EITHER INTIMIDATED BEFORE THEY LEFT OR TVA RIFTED THEM.

5

4

6

9

14

18

24

25

57

I EVEN HAD MY UNION REPRESENTATIVE AT THE INTERNATIONAL LEVEL ASSIST TVA IN MY JOB PLACEMENT OF BEING PREVENTED FROM BEING REPLACED WHEN I WAS FORCED TO BROWN'S FERRY DURING THE RIFT PERIOD. I WAS NUMBER THREE ON THE RIFT LIST. THERE WAS MANY, MANY JOBS STILL THROUGHOUT THE VALLEY THAT I REQUESTED TO BE PUT ON. YET, THEY'RE STILL RIGHT UP TO ME, NUMBER ONE AND NUMBER TWO GOT THEIR SLOT. I NEVER GOT MINE. YOU KNOW WHERE I WAS GOING? THEY TOLD ME THE ONLY PLACE I COULD COME WAS BACK TO WATTS BAR WHERE ALL MY PROBLEMS STARTED.

4

6

8

14

18

24

IT'S JUST UNREAL TO TELL YOU THE PROBLEMS A WHISTLEBLOWER HAS. I HAVE NO PERSONAL FRIENDS BECAUSE THE FRIENDS THAT I WORK WITH -- I CANNOT AFFORD THEIR FRIENDSHIP, THEN THE FACT OF THE RETALIATION THAT WILL BE TAKEN AGAINST THEM. I AM LIMITED TO WHERE I WILL GO, DUE TO I FEAR FOR MY PERSONAL SAFETY. I WAS RELUCTANT TO COME HERE TONIGHT, DUE TO MY PERSONAL SAFETY. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ANSWERS ARE, BUT I KNOW ONE THING, IF YOU DON'T START BACKING THE WHISTLEBLOWERS, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY WHISTLEBLOWERS. IF YOU THINK YOU CAN POLICE THIS TVA WITHOUT THE WHISTLEBLOWER YOU'RE MUCH LESS SMARTER THAN I AM. THERE IS NO WAY THAT YOU CAN POLICE TVA AND WITHOUT YOUR WHISTLEBLOWERS YOU'VE GOT NOTHING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT MORE TO SAY.

I'M ENRAGED THAT OUR POLITICIANS AND OUR SO CALLED GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO BE TAKING CARE OF ME -- I'M PAYING THEIR SALARY, MAYBE NOT ME INDIVIDUALLY, BUT THIS GROUP HERE IN THE AUDIENCE AND THE REST OF THE TAXPAYERS IN THIS COUNTRY IS PAYING YOUR SALARY. WHY IN THE HELL CAN'T WE GET SOME REPRESENTATION? I'M SICK AND TIRED. I'VE BEEN IN AND OUT OF COURT. I'M GOING TO BE IN

AND OUT OF COURT AGAIN. I CAN'T FIND A SUITABLE ATTORNEY TODAY, DUE TO THE FACT THAT MOST ATTORNEYS THAT ARE LEGITIMATE DON'T WANT TO FIGHT TVA. THEY CANNOT AFFORD THE TIME INVOLVED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR SUITS. IF YOU CAN'T GET REPRESENTATION, WHAT DO YOU HAVE? YOU'VE GOT PROTECTION? ARE YOU GOING TO PROTECT ME? ARE YOU? ANY ONE OF YOU? NO. YOU ARE GOING TO SIT UP THERE IN YOUR OFFICE AND YOU ARE GOING TO CONTINUE READING YOUR PAPER AND YOUR FILES. WITH YOUR PAYCHECK IN YOUR POCKET, AND YOU ARE GOING TO GO HOME FEELING MIGHTY PROUD AT THE END OF THE WEEK DOING THE GOOD JOB YOU DO. WELL, LET ME TELL YOU, I DON'T THINK YOU ARE DOING SUCH A GOOD JOB, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW I CAN MAKE IT MUCH MORE PLAINER THAN THAT. IF YOU ARE AN EXAMPLE OF OUR GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, I'M LIVING IN THE WRONG COUNTRY.

GOOD DAY.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

6

8

18

THANK YOU. I THINK YOU MADE YOUR POINT VERY CLEAR.

NUMBER TEN.

ANY OF THE NUMBERS THAT WE SKIPPED OF THE PEOPLE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THEIR PRESENTATION AT THIS TIME?

(NO RESPONSE).

THEN, LET US TAKE A BREAK TILL A QUARTER OF EIGHT. THEN WE'LL SEE IF THERE ANY PEOPLE AT THAT POINT WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU.

(WHEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS ENSUED).

MR. LIEBERMAN:

á

6

8

14

24

BEFORE WE GET STARTED, LET ME NOTE AGAIN THAT IF YOU WANT TO SPEAF, YOU CAN PICE UP A NUMBER AT THE FRONT TABLE, THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED. SECOND, WE DO HAVE FORMS WITH POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPE, IF YOU DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE SPEAKING, WE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HEARING YOUR COMMENTS. YOU DON'T NEED TO GIVE YOUR NAME. WE WELCOME YOUR IDEAS ON HOW TO IMPROVE THIS PROCESS.

WE'RE NOW ON SPEAKER NUMBER TEN. SPEAKER NUMBER TEN.

SPEAKER NUMBER TEN:

GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS DANIEL DROTSCH. I AM THE COORDINATOR OF THE GOLDEN STATE ENERGY PROJECT. IT IS A CITIZEN ORGANIZATION WITH ABOUT TWELVE HUNDRED MEMBERS. WE'RE BASED IN KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE. WE HAVE OFFICES IN NASHVILLE; AND WE HAVE OFFICE IN OAKRIDGE.

OUR ORGANIZATION IS CONCERNED PRIMARILY WITH THE SAFETY OF NUCLEAR PLANTS AND THIS AREA INEVITABLY

GOES RIGHT DOWN TO THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY. THAT'S WHERE WE ARE. WE BECAME INVOLVED WITH THE PROJECT BY CIRCUMSTANCES OF WHISTLEBLOWERS CONTACTING OUR ORGANIZATIONS WAS FACED WITH PROBLEMS SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE THE WHISTLEBLOWERS HAVE GONE TO TVA, THEY'VE GONE TO THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, AND THEY DIDN'T FEEL LIKE THE SAFETY CONCERNS AS OF IN OF ITSELVES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED, THE SAFETY CONCERNS.

4

6

8

14

16

24

THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE CONTACTED US HAS GONE BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THIS ROOM, AND MANY OF THOSE PEOPLE WILL NOT COME HERE TODAY, AS YOU CAN SKE THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE FROM TVA IN THIS ROOM. IN FACT, THERE'S A NUMBER, I'M QUITE SURPRISED TO SEE THEM HERE, BECAUSE THAT IN OF ITSELF IS AN INCREDIBLE ENVIRONMENT OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT IN OF ITSELF, AND THAT EXISTS AT THE PLANTS TODAY.

TVA HAS AN EXTENSIVE HISTORY OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT AT THE NUCLEAR PLANT, AND I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. I'M TALKING ABOUT FERHAPS THE LAST DECADE. A LOT OF THAT CAME ABOUT IN 19 -- THE MID 1980'S WHEN WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT WAS JUST ABOUT TO GET AN OPERATING LICENSE AND WORKERS CAME OUT OF THE WOODWORK BECAUSE THIS PLANT ISN'T BUILT RIGHT. THEY WERE ABOUT TO GET AN

OPERATING LICENSE WHEN WORKERS CAME AND SAID, "LOOK, THIS IS NOT BUILT RIGHT." NRC THEN CONDUCTED AN INVESTIGATION. IT WAS AFTER THEY HAD BEEN CONTACTED BY WORKERS PRIOR TO THAT AND SUBSEQUENTLY FOUND THAT PROBLEMS HAD, IN FACT, EXISTED.

3

 $\dot{4}$

6

18

24

NOW, IN THE MID 1980'S THERE WAS CONGRESS WATCHING, THE PUBLIC WAS WATCHING, NRC, AT SOME POINT, WERE WATCHING; AND IT HAD BEEN MENTIONED TO ME SEVERAL TIMES THAT WORKERS WERE ACTUALLY -- FELT MORE ABLE TO BRING CONCERNS THEN BECAUSE THE WHOLE THING BECAME SO PUBLIC. WHAT I'M HEARING TODAY, FROM NOT ONLY THE ATTORNEYS OF THE WHISTLEBLOWERS, FROM THE WORKERS OF THE PLANT, THAT THE ENVIRONMENT OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT IS SO BAD AT THE PLANT THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE WON'T BRING UP A MERE SAFETY CONCERN THAT POSSIBLY DOESN'T EVEN HAVE ANY BEARING ON THE FACE OF THE PLANT, BECAUSE THEY ARE SO DRIVEN TO BRING THIS PLANT ON LINE.

I GUESS MY POINT IS THAT TVA HAS A HISTORY OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT, NOT FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS, BUT PERHAPS ALONG DECADE. BECAUSE OF THAT, THE NRC SHOULD LOOK AT TVA AS A SPECIAL CASE, A SPECIAL PROJECT. THEY SHOULDN'T BE GIVING THEIR CASES BACK TO THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITIES TO INVESTIGATE. THAT'S PERHAPS THE ALMOST EXACT

OPPOSITE DIRECTION THE NRC SHOULD BE GOING. THEY SHOULD HAVE A SPECIAL ORGANIZATION OF ALL THEIR EMPLOYEE PROGRAMS. THERE SHOULD BE CONFIDENTIAL CONNECTION BETWEEN THE NRC WHISTLEBLOWER, THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY. AND THERE NEEDS TO BE MUCH -- HEADS HAVE GOT TO ROLL. MANAGERS HAVE GOT TO BE REPRIMANDED FOR THEIR ACTIONS, AND THAT IS NOT HAPPENING.

I GUESS MY LAST POINT IS THAT THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION THAT WE ALL KNOW --I ASSUME WE KNOW WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT --- HAS GOT TO STOP. WE'VE GOT TO STOP IT NOW. WE'VE GOT TO GO BACK UNTIL THAT WAS INITIATED IN THE FIRST PLACE, AND WE'VE GOT TO LOOK AT EVERY CASE THAT HAS BEEN LOOKED AT, BECAUSE THE SAFETY OF THE PLANT, AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, IS NOT A GIVEN. WATTS BAR, ACCORDING TO TVA, IS SUPPOSED TO GO ON LINE NEXT APRIL, AND WE DON'T KNOW THE SAFETY OF THAT PLANT. WE HAVE MANY WORKERS TELLING US THAT'S NOT THE CASE. AS THEY SAY. A LOT OF SAFETY CONCERNS AREN'T BEING SOUGHT. SO I GUESS I'M HERE TO TELL YOU THAT THERE'S A CITIZEN'S GROUP HERE. THE REASON THE TVA EXISTS, THE REASON NRC EXISTS IS TO HAVE TO THE SAFE OPERATION OF NUCLEAR PLANTS, AND WE ARE REPRESENTING THE CITIZENS

14

1.R

24

HERE, AT LEAST MEMBERS OF OUR GROUP, AND WE'RE SAYING WE DON'T FEEL CONFIDENT. WE DON'T FEEL THE NRC HAS GIVEN US THAT CONFIDENCE THAT THAT PLANT IS GOING TO BE SAFE NEXT YEAR, AND THE MOU IS THE MAIN TOPIC TO LOOK AT RIGHT NOW.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

5

6

8

10

14

18

24

THANK YOU.

COULD WE HAVE SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN. SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN:

MY NAME IS STEVEN SMITH. I'M ALSO WITH THE FOUNDATION OF GOLDEN STATE NUCLEAR PROGRAM, AND WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE A FEW OF THE THINGS THAT DANIEL JUST MENTIONED, AND HOPEFULLY TO TRY TO GET A LITTLE BIT OF A BETTER UNDERSTANDING.

OUR ORGANIZATION, LIKE SHE SAID, WAS CONTACTED BY WHISTLEBLOWERS WHO FELT THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE A VEHICLE TO GET THEIR CONCERNS ADDRESSED, AND WE HAVE ALSO BEEN CONTACTED BY AREA RESIDENTS IN THE VICINITY WITH THE TVA'S NEXT PLANT WILL COME ON LINE, WATTS BAR, AND IN THESE CASES THESE PEOPLE WERE NOT -- DID NOT HAVE A LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE WITH THE REGULATOR, NOR WITH THE UTILITY, IN BEING ABLE TO POLICE AND INVESTIGATE SAFETY CONCERNS.

WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS WE HAVE BEGUN TO LOOK AT THE PLANT AND ARE DOING OUR OWN, IN THE SENSE,

INVESTIGATION, TRYING TO GET A BETTER OF UNDERSTANDING OF THIS PARTICULAR PLANT. IN THE PROCESS OF DOING THAT, WE'VE SEEN THAT TVA IS ACTUALLY -- OF ALL THE UTILITIES IN THE COUNTRY, IS THE LEADER IN THE NUMBER OF WHISTLEBLOWER CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP. BUT IT'S IRONIC THAT AT THE SAME TIME THE TVA HAD THAT DUBIOUS DISTINCTION. WHEN YOU TALK WITH EMPLOYEES OF THE PLANT, THEY FEEL THAT THE LEVEL OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT OF TVA IS SO GREAT THAT THEY CAN'T COME FORWARD. SO WHEN YOU HAVE THESE TREMENDOUS NUMBERS OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE CONCERNS, AND THEN YOU HAVE ANOTHER SIGNIFICANT GROUP OF PEOPLE THAT DO NOT FEEL THEY CAN, IT PAINTS A VERY, VERY DISTURBING PICTURE ABOUT THE SAFETY OF THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AND THE OPERATIONS OF THESE FACILITIES.

4

8

4

SO IN ESSENCE, THERE'S A SENSE OF NO CONFIDENCE THAT IS ALIVE AND WELL IN TENNESSEE VALLEY IN REGARD TO HOW THEY OPERATE THEIR NUCLEAR POWER PLANT; AND THE NRC SEEMS TO BE HAVING SOME PROBLEMS WITH THE CONFIDENCE LEVEL WITH THE TVA NUCLEAR POWER PROGRAM BECAUSE THEIR PLANT SEEMS TO UP AND DOWN LARGELY THROUGH ACTIVITIES DIRECTED BY THE NRC.

THE PROBLEM IS THAT WATTS BAR, THE NEXT PLANT TO COME ON LINE, HAS SUCH A DISMAL HISTORY DURING THE

CONSTRUCTION PHASE AND COMPLETE LACK OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL. IN THAT LACK OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL WHICH HAS BEEN REPEATEDLY DEMONSTRATED THERE, COUPLED WITH AN ENVIRONMENT OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT, AND THIS FACILITY WAS ALLOWED AND GRANTED A LICENSE. THERE IS NO WAY THE PUBLIC CAN HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT THIS PLANT HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED SAFELY AND CAN BE OPERATED SAFETY. THEREFORE, WE WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE NRCA GET VERY TOUGH WITH TVA IN DEALING WITH NOT ONLY THE UTILITY IN AND OF ITSELF, BUT THE INDIVIDUALS WITHIN THE UTILITY THAT HAVE A RICH HISTORY OF HARASSING EMPLOYEES. THERE IS NO WAY THAT MANAGERS SHOULD BE ABLE TO BOUNCE BETWEEN UTILITIES WHEN THEY HAVE A CLEAR HISTORY OF BEING TARGETED OR IDENTIFIED BY EMPLOYEES AS BEING THE ONE THAT ENGAGE IN INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT. SOME OF THESE EMPLOYEES ARE MOVING BETWEEN HERE AND THE TEXAS UTILITIES THAT HAVE SUCH A NEGATIVE HISTORY ON THE WAY THEY TREAT EMPLOYEES. NRC SHOULD STEP IN AND NOT ALLOW IT TO HAPPEN. THEY SHOULD SEND A CLEAR SIGNAL TO THE UTILITIES THAT IF YOU HIRE MANAGEMENT LEVEL PEOPLE THAT ARE INVOLVED IN INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT, WE ARE GOING TO LOOK WITH A CERTAIN LEVEL OF DESTAIN ON YOUR LICENSE.

4

8

14

INDEED, I WOULD SAY GO A STEP FARTHER, BECAUSE TO FINE TVA DOES NOT MAKE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE RELATIVE TO THE WAY TVA OPERATES. YOU'VE GOT TO UNDERSTAND THIS IS THE UTILITY THAT NOW HAS A TWENTY-SIX BILLION DOLLAR DEBT, MOST OF THAT GENERATED WITHIN THE POWER PROGRAM, AND WHEN YOU GUYS COME ALONG AND LAY THESE FINES AND THEY CAN GO OUT AND BORROW MORE MONEY ON THE OPEN MARKET AND HAVE COMPLICIT SUPPORT OF THE FEDERAL COVERNMENT TO GET TRIPLE A RATINGS. IT HAS NO PENALTY AT ALL ON TVA. TVA SEEMS TO BE NOT AT ALL PHASED BY THAT, SO FINES CANNOT BE THE MECHANISM. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO REACH IN AND YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO THREATEN TO REMOVE LICENSES. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO THREATEN NOT TO GRANT LICENSES, AND YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BE TOUGH WITH THE UTILITIES AND SET AN EXAMPLE.

4

5

6

8

14

IF A UTILITY CANNOT OPERATE AN EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM EFFECTIVELY, AND HAS DEMONSTRATED A HISTORY WITH NOT BEING ABLE TO DO IT, YOU SHOULD NOT CONTINUE TO ALLOW THEM TO REVAMP THE PROGRAM AND THEN FOR IT TO FAIL AGAIN. THERE'S A POINT OF WHICH THE NRC HAS GOT TO STEP IN AND SAY, "YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO DO IT," AND YOU NEED TO STEP IN AND TAKE CONTROL OF EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAMS AT TVA, BECAUSE TVA HAS NOT DEMONSTRATED THEIR ABILITY TO DO IT. AGAIN, IT'S

A VOTE OF CONFIDENCE. FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, LIKE MYSELF, WHO ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THESE FACILITIES THERE IS NO WAY WITH THIS LEVEL OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT, THIS LEVEL OF ALLUSION. I MEAN, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AN EXPLANATION ABOUT HOW THE NRC IS ABLE TO SIGN A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH TVA AND BASICALLY, IN ESSENCE, TURN OVER EVERYONE OF THE NAMES AND CONCERNS BACK TO THE AGENCY THAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE REGULATING. I MEAN, PLEASE EXPLAIN THAT TO ME.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

WE CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND YOUR CONCERN. WE ARE JUST LISTENING.

14 SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN:

BUT I MEAN, HOW -- I MEAN, WHERE IS AN EXPLANATION GOING TO BE GIVEN ABOUT HOW THE REGULATOR CAN TURN OVER THE NAMES OF THE EMPLOYEES WHO ARE BRINGING OVER CONCERNS TO THE REGULATEE AND EXPECT TO HAVE AN CONFIDENCE. WHERE ARE WE, AS MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, GOING TO GET AN EXPLANATION?

MR. LIEBERMAN:

22 WHEN WE PREPARE OUR REPORT, WE ARE CERTAINLY
 23 ARE GOING TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE.
 24 SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN:

YOU ARE SPECIFICALLY ADDRESS THE MEMORANDUM OF

UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE TVA AND THE NRC? MR. LIEBERMAN:

I CERTAINLY EXPECT TO DO SO. SPEAKER ELEVEN:

4

6

BECAUSE THERE IS JUST NO WAY THAT WE CAN HAVE CONFIDENCE, IT LOOKS LIKE AN ALLUSION. IT LOOKS LIKE AN ALLUSION BETWEEN TWO F"DERAL AGENCIES WHEN THEY ARE WILLING TO SIGN THOSE TYPE OF DOCUMENTS, AND THERE IS NO WAY THE EMPLOYEES --- IN FACT, THERE'S NO WAY THAT THE CITIZENS LIVING NEAR THIS FACILITY CAN HAVE CONFIDENCE IF YOU CONTINUE TO ALLOW THOSE TYPES OF ACTIVITIES TO GO ON. AND AGAIN, I THINK THAT THERE IS NO ONE IN THE UTILITY -- IN THE COUNTRY THAT IS SITTING SO PRIVY, AS TVA THAT SHOULD BE SET OUT AS AN EXAMPLE, AN EXAMPLE FOR HOW TO NOT TO CARRY ON A EMPLOYEES CONCERN PROGRAM, AND YOU SHOULD MAKE THE EXAMPLE OF IT, BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE WORST HISTORY, I THINK, OF ANY OTHER UTILITY IN THE COUNTRY. I THINK THERE IS NO WAY THAT YOU SHOULD ALLOW THE WATTS BAR PLANT TO GO ON LINE UNTIL YOU CAN HAVE THE GUARANTEED LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE THAT EMPLOYEES FEEL CONFIDENT TO BRING FORTH THEIR CONCERNS. BECAUSE THE ONLY THING THAT SAVED THE NRC'S BUTT BACK IN 1985, THEY ABOUT RAIDED THE OPERATIONS LICENSE, AND I'M AFRAID THE WAY THAT WE'RE HEARING THE ALLUSION GOING ON AGAIN, IT'S

PROBABLY GOING TO THE ONLY THING THAT IS GOING TO SAVE YOUR BUTT AGAIN. SO I WOULD WHOLLY EXPECT THAT THE NRC WILL DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS BEFORE THEY GRANT AN OPERATING LICENSE TO THIS FACILITY. MR. LIEBERMAN:

DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS AS TO HOW WE MIGHT MEASURE AND DETERMINE WHETHER EMPLOYEES DO FEEL FREE TO RAISE ISSUES?

SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN:

1

5

6

8

14

24

WELL, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GIVE THE EMPLOYEES SOME FORM OF A SAFE SPACE, SOME FORM WHERE THEY DON'T WALK INTO A ROOM LIKE THIS AND YOU HAVE HIGH LEVEL TVA MANAGERS HERE WITHIN THE NUCLEAR PROGRAM. YOU DON'T HAVE MEMBERS OF TVA'S IG HERE WHICH, YOU KNOW, IS INVOLVED IN THE MOU. I MEAN, WRITING THINGS QUIETLY THROUGH THE MAIL IS ONE WAY. BUT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GIVE SOME TIME AND YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO REPAIR, I THINK, THE HISTORY THAT HAS CAUSED THIS WOUND. AND THEN, YOU'VE GOT TO DEMONSTRATE TO THE EMPLOYEES AND TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT YOU'RE GOING TO GET TOUGH WITH UTILITIES THAT CONTINUE TO VIOLATE EMPLOYEES RIGHTS, AND BASICALLY THE RIGHTS OF HUMANS THAT LIVE IN THE FACILITIES FOR BASIC SAFETY. YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW AT WHAT LEVEL YOU'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT

EXACTLY, BUT YOU'VE GOT TO WORK ON A CONCEPT OF A SAFE SPACE. I THINK YOU NEED TO DEVELOP SOME SORT OF A CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD. I THINK THAT'S BEEN RECOMMENDED BY OTHER PEOPLE, TO WORK WITH YOU, SO THAT YOU'RE NOT JUST DEALING WITHIN YOUR OWN HEAD SPACE, SO THAT YOU REACH OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY SO MORE AND GET IT WORKING FROM YOUR TASK FORCE. WHERE YOU DON'T -- I MEAN, MY EXPERIENCE WITH TALKING WITH EMPLOYEES IS THAT WHEN SOMEONE FROM THE NRC COMES TO THEM AND TALKS TO THEM NOW, THEY DON'T FEEL CONFIDENT ENOUGH TO DO IT. I THINK YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BROADEN YOUR BASE OF PEOPLE THAT ARE WORKING WITH YOU ON THIS AND TRY TO -- I MEAN, THIS IS THE FIRST STEP.

à.

8

14

18

I THINK YOU'VE GOT QUITE A WAYS TO GO. BUT PROBABLY THE BEST WAY TO SEND A SIGNAL THAT YOU'RE TURNING OVER A NEW LEAF IS TO GET TOUGH WITH THE UTILITY, GET TOUGH WITH THE HIGH LEVEL MANAGERS. DON'T ALLOW THEM TO GET AWAY WITH IT, BECAUSE THE EMPLOYEES JUST SAY, THIS JS BUSINESS AS USUAL. YOU'VE GOT TO GET TOUGH WITH THEM, AND FINES ARE NOT THE WAY TO DO IT. YOU'VE GOT TO GO TO THE HEART OF WHAT THEY'RE AFTER. YOU'VE GOT TO PULL THEIR LICENSE UNTIL THEY GET THEIR ACT TOGETHER, BECAUSE THAT'S ULTIMATELY WHY THEY ARE MANIPULATING THE SYSTEM TO THEIR ADVANTAGE, AND YOU AS THE REGULATORS HAVE GOT

TO RESPOND TO THAT.

THAT'S ALL MY COMMENTS THAT I HAVE RIGHT NOW, BUT, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, I THINK THAT THE PUBLIC --YOU'VE GOT TO REGAIN THE PUBLIC'S TRUST AND I DON'T THINK, YOU KNOW, JUST DOING A KIND OF SPY THING AROUND THE COUNTRY ISN'T GOING TO DO IT. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SEND SOME VERY CLEAR SIGNALS THAT YOU'RE CHANGING YOUR WAYS, BECAUSE PEOPLE DON'T HAVE CONFIDENCE.

MR. JOHNSON:

1

3

4

6

8

9

14

18

24

WE'VE HEARD A COUPLE OF TIMES ABOUT A CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD. I THINK A NUMBER OF PEOPLE HAD AN INTEREST IN THAT, AND WE ASKED THE QUESTION EARLIER, WHO WOULD THIS BOARD ADVISE? DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS ON -- IF THERE WERE SUCH A BOARD, AND IF WE DID HAVE SOME OTHER CITIZENS INVOLVED IN IT, WHO WOULD THEY ADVISE AND WHAT FUNCTIONS WOULD IT PERFORM?

SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN:

I THINK THAT THERE'S GOING TO HAVE TO BE A REVAMPING OF YOUR RELATIONSHIP WITH DOL. I MEAN, I DON'T THINK THAT YOU CAN TURN THESE THINGS OVER TO DOL AND THEY PUT THESE ON THE DESK, AND THEY ARE YEARS BEHIND IN THEIR INVESTIGATIONS, AND EXPECT EMPLOYEES TO FEEL THAT YOU ARE TAKING THEM SERIOUS WHEN YOU DO THAT. I THINK THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME NEW SYSTEM OF APPROACHING THAT. SO I THINK THAT IF YOU GET CITIZENS AND FORMER EMPLOYEES TOGETHER TO WHERE YOU CAN DEVELOP SOME SORT OF THINK TANKS IN SUCH THAT YOU BRING PEOPLE TOGETHER IN A ROOM AND YOU SET THE SERIES OF PROBLEMS, AND YOU HAVE THEM BRAIN STORM TOGETHER ON HOW TO WORK THOSE OUT. I THINK YOU WOULD GET SOME CREATIVE IDEAS.

4

6

14

24

I KNOW THAT THE NRC HAS RECENTLY GONE THROUGH SOME OF THESE SESSIONS AROUND THE ROOM ON DECONTAMINATION AND RECOMMISSIONING OF THE FACILITIES. YOU WENT OUT AND YOU IDENTIFIED SIGNIFICANT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. YOU HAD HEARINGS ALL AROUND THE COUNTRY. YOU INVITED US TO COME DOWN, AND THEN YOU ENGAGED IN A SERIES OF PROBLEM SOLVING LEAD THROUGH THE KEYSTONE FACILITATION. AND I THINK ALL THE PARTICIPANTS THERE REALLY WERE ABLE TO GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHERE THE SOME OF THE PROBLEMS WERE. YOU ALL, I THINK, WERE ABLE TO GET SOME VERY QUALITY SUGGESTIONS, AND I THINK THAT KIND OF A FORMAT MAY BE PRODUCTIVE.

BUT AGAIN, YOU CAN'T GO ON OPERATING BUSINESS AS USUAL WHILE THIS IS GOING ON. I THINK YOU REALLY NEED TO PUT THE BRAKES ON SOME THINGS, PARTICULARLY THE UTILITIES THAT HAVE SUCH A DISMAL HISTORY SUCH AS

TVA. AND YOU'VE GOT TO SEND A CLEAR MESSAGE, "WE WANT TO REALLY RETHINK THIS." BEING A FEDERAL AGENCY, I THINK THAT YOU CAN HOLD THE TVA AS AN EXAMPLE, AND THEY CAN DEAL WITH IT. I THINK THAT SHOULD BE -- MAYBE THIS SHOULD BE A STARTING POINT, BECAUSE THIS IS, AGAIN, ONE OF THE MORE PROBLEM AREAS OF ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE COUNTRY.

74

BUT I THINK THESE TYPE OF THINGS WOULD BE WEIGHED, AND I THINK BOTH CONSULTING WITH NRC AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IS GOING TO BE IMPORTANT, BECAUSE I THINK RIGHT NOW THERE'S THIS DISCONNECT BETWEEN THE TWO AGENCIES IN MY VIEW. I THINK YOU ALL ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COME TOGETHER AND IDENTIFY THAT THE PROBLEMS ARE THERE.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

Ŧ

3

4

5

6

8

14

THANK YOU.

SPEAKER NUMBER ELEVEN:

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

CAN WE HAVE SPEAKER NUMBER TWELVE.

(NO RESPONSE).

22 THERE WERE A NUMBER OF SPEAKERS THAT WE
 23 SKIPPED, ANY OF THOSE PEOPLE DESIRE TO SPEAK NOW?
 24 SPEAKER:

SIR, I'M NOT VERY WELL PREPARED FOR THIS, SO

YOU ALL FORGIVE ME IF I DON'T DO AS GOOD AS I SHOULD.

3

4

6

8

9

14

18

MY NAME IS JIM HOUSE. I WORKED FOR TVA FOR ABOUT SIXTEEN YEARS. IN THE SPRING OF 1990, I GOT A NEW WORKING PARTNER BY THE NAME OF BARRY DYER. THIS WORKING PARTNER OF MINE STARTING GIVING ME TROUBLE RIGHT FROM THE START. I WAS UNABLE TO LOCATE THIS MAN MOST OF THE TIME I NEEDED HIM TO GET A JOB DONE. HE WAS NOWHERE TO BE FOUND.

I WENT TO MY FOREMAN ABOUT THE PROBLEM SEVERAL TIMES AND HE SAID THAT THERE WAS NOTHING HE COULD DO ABOUT IT, BECAUSE THIS MAN WAS BLACK. THIS WENT ON FOR SEVERAL MONTHS, AND IN OR AROUND OCTOBER THE 1ST, 1990, WE WERE GIVEN A JOB ASSIGNMENT OF CHECKING THE FLOOR DRAINS TO MAKE SURE THEY WEREN'T STOPPED UP. THERE ARE SEVERAL HUNDRED FLOOR DRAINS TO BE CHECKED AT WATTS BAR. WE HAD BEEN CHECKING FLOOR DRAINS FOR TWO OR THREE DAYS WHEN MY WORKING PARTNER STARTED GETTING LOST ON ME. THEN WHEN I DID FIND HIM HE WOULD SAY TO ME "YOU WORRY TOO MUCH ABOUT YOUR JOB." I REFLIED THAT WE WERE TAKING TOO LONG TO FINISH THIS JOB. FINALLY, MY FOREMAN ASKED ME WHEN WE WERE GOING TO FINISH THE FLOOR DRAINS. I TOLD HIM THAT I COULD NOT FINISH THEM BY MYSELF.

ON OCTOBER THE 5TH, 1990, WE WERE TWO DAYS PAST DUE ON THE FLOOR DRAINS. I WENT TO MY GENERAL

FOREMAN AND TOLD HIM ABOUT THE TROUBLE I WAS HAVING WITH BARRY DYER. HE TOLD ME THAT HE COULDN'T SAY ANYTHING TO BARRY BECAUSE HE WAS BLACK.

4

6

14

18

24

OKAY. NOW, WHAT HAPPENED ON OCTOBER THE 5TH. BARRY DYER AND I HAD BEEN WORKING TOGETHER AND WERE SPLIT UP AT SEVEN THIRTY. I WALKED TOWARD THE BREAK ROOM OF -- THIS WAS SEVEN THIRTY IN THE EVENING. I WALKED TOWARDS THE BREAK ROOM TO GET SOMETHING FOR SUPPER, BUT ON THE WAY I DECIDED I HAD TIME TO INSPECT THE DRAINS ON THE POST SAMPLING ROOM ON UNIT ONE AND UNIT TWO SIDE WHICH WAS ONE OF MY JOB ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE SHIFT.

I ENTERED THE BUILDING FROM THE OUTSIDE THROUGH THE RAILROAD BAY ENTRANCE AND WENT DIRECTLY TO THE UNIT TWO POST SAMPLING ROOM. I OPENED THE DOOR EASILY, AND AFTER I GOT INSIDE THE DOOR IT SLAMMED SHUT ON ME. I WENT THROUGH THE HALLWAY, APPROXIMATELY TEN FEET LONG TO THE LEDGE, AND STOOD ON THE LEDGE AND LOOKED AT THE FLOOR DRAIN. EVERYTHING LOOKED GOOD TO ME. I LOOKED AT MY PAPERWORK, AND PUT A CHECK BY THE NUMBER FLOOR DRAIN, AND TURNED TO LEAVE THE ROOM. THE HALLWAY IS ABOUT TEN FEET LONG AND THREE QUARTER INCH -- THREE FEET WIDE; AND THE ROOM AT THE END OF THE HALLWAY IS ABOUT FIFTEEN TIMES FIFTEEN. THERE WAS NO WINDOWS OR --

AND THE WALLS WERE ABOUT THREE FOOT THICK.

6

8

14

18

24

WHEN I GOT TO THE DOOR, THE DOOR KNOB WOULD NOT TURN, AND IT SCARED ME. I TRIED TO TURN THE KNOB WITH EXCESSIVE FORCE, AND THEN I BEGIN TO PULL ON THE KNOB, AND THE DOOR WOULD NOT MOVE. I BECAME MORE FRIGHTENED, AND THEN RAN THROUGH THE HALL BACK AROUND THE INSTRUMENT CABINETS IN HOPES OF FINDING A TELEPHONE ON THE WALL. I SEEMED TO REMEMBER A PHONE ON UNIT ONE SIDE, POST SAMPLING ROOM. I RAN DESPERATELY THROUGH THE ROOM SEARCHING FOR A PHONE, AND WHEN I REALIZED THAT THERE WAS NO PHONE IN THE AREA, I WENT BACK TO THE DOOR AND BEGAN TO PULL AND TURN ON THE DOORKNOB AS HARD AS I COULD IN DESPERATION. I FELT LIKE I COULD NOT BREATH. FINALLY, IT DAWNED ON ME THAT I WAS TRAPPED AND I HAD TO FIND A WAY OUT OF THIS ROOM.

I DESPERATELY WISHED HATSFIELD, MY FOREMAN, HAD TAKEN MY ADVISE FOR TWO-WAY RADIOS. BY THE WAY, I HAD MENTIONED THIS TO HIM SEVERAL TIMES.

I BEGAN LOOKING FOR A DEVICE TO BREAK OFF THE DOORKNOB. I LOOKED ON THE FLOOR AND FOUND NOTHING, AND THEN I STARTED OPENING TO THE INSTRUMENT CABINETS AND I FOUND A PIECE OF ONE INCH STAINLESS PIPE WITH A NINETY WELDED ON THE END OF IT. I TOOK IT BACK TO THE DOOR -- I WENT BACK TO THE DOOR AND BEGAN TO BEAT

ON THE DOORKNOB, AFTER ABOUT TWO STRIKES THE DOORKNOB CAME OFF. I WAS HOPING TO FIND A HOLE WHERE I COULD PUSH THE OTHER PART OUT SO I COULD GET AIR THROUGH, BUT IT WAS SEALED WITH CAULK OR SOMETHING. I WENT BACK TO THE CABINETS HOPING TO FIND A SHARP, POINTED DEVICE TO USE TO WORK ON THE DOOR WITH, BUT I COULD NOT FIND ANYTHING. I THEN TOOK THE PIECE OF PIPE AND BROKE A HANGER TAG OFF -- I WAS SWEATING PROFUSELY, AND I WAS STILL SHORT OF BREATH. I COULD NOT GET OUT OF THE ROOM.

4

8

9

14

I WAS TRAPPED IN THIS ROOM FOR ABOUT SIX OR SEVEN HOURS. I CAN TELL YOU WITHOUT READING ALL THIS STUFF. YOU KNOW, I DID EVERYTHING I COULD TO GET HIS ATTENTION. I BEAT ON PIPES; I KNOCKED THE DOORKNOB OFF; I OPENED VALVES. I PULLED AND OPENED EVERY VALVE IN THERE AND I COULDN'T GET OUT OF THERE. THIS WAS ABOUT SIX THIRTY THAT EVENING. ABOUT TWO O'CLOCK THAT MORNING THEY FOUND ME. THERE WAS A SECURITY GUARD HOLLERING ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DOOR, "IS ANYBODY IN THERE," AND I SAID -- YOU KNOW, I THOUGHT IT WAS AN ANGEL. I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON. I THOUGHT I WAS DREAMING. I WAS IN A DAZE ANYWAY, BUT ANYWAY I HOLLERED BACK AND I SAID, "YES. I'M TRAPPED IN HERE." HE SAID, "IF YOU WILL JUST HOLD TIGHT, WE'LL GET YOU OUT OF THERE AS SOON AS WE CAN."

FINALLY, THEY GOT A BUNCH OF PEOPLE FROM THE OTHER SIDE OF THE DOOR AND THEY GOT ME OUT OF THERE ABOUT THREE O'CLOCK THAT MORNING.

Ť.

4

6

8

24

THEY TOOK ME TO THE HOSPITAL AND I WAS IN SUCH A DELIRIOUS CONDITION -- IT JUST MAKES ME NERVOUS TO TALK ABOUT IT. MY BLOOD PRESSURE WAS ABOUT TWO TEN OVER A HUNDRED AND TEN, AND THEY HAD TO PUT ME ON A MACHINE AND JUST MAKE ME LIE REAL STILL FOR SEVERAL HOURS.

ANYWAY, THE NEXT MORNING, THE FIRST THING IN MY MIND WAS TO CALL THE NRC. I CALLED GREGORY TODD IN ATLANTA AT THAT TIME. I COULDN'T GET A HOLD OF HIM AT THAT TIME. I MADE SEVERAL LONG DISTANCE PHONE CALLS TRYING TO GET A HOLD OF HIM AND FINALLY I GOT A HOLD OF HIS SECRETARY AND SHE SAID SHE'S HAVE HIM CALL ME. WHETHER HE CALLED ME OR NOT IN A DAY OR TWO -- HE DID FINALLY CALLED ME, AND I TOLD HIM WHAT HAD HAPPENED, AND HAPPENED TO ME OVER THE ACCIDENT.

ANYWAY, THIS GUY WORKING WITH ME, I COULD NEVER GET HIM TO HELP ME. I FEEL LIKE HE CAUSED THE ACCIDENT. SIX MONTHS LATER, AFTER THE ACCIDENT, THEY PROMOTE THIS GUY TO FOREMAN OVER THE CREW. THAT'S WHAT WATTS BAR DOES. IF YOU SCREW SOMEBODY'S LIFE UP, YOU KNOW, THEY GIVE YOU A PROMOTION.

ANYWAY, BEFORE ALL THIS HAPPENED TO ME, I HAD

WORKED WITH -- I'VE HELPED BUILD SEVERAL NUCLEAR PLANTS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. I WAS A PIPE BUILDER, WELDER. BUT SINCE THEN, I'VE BEEN UMABLE TO WORK, BECAUSE OF MY NERVES. I TAKE A LOT OF MEDICATION IN A DAYS TIME. I HAVE TO TAKE MEDICATION TO SLEEP, AND I HAVE TO SEE A DOCTOR ON A REGULAR BASIS. AND I'VE HAD -- AS I SAID, I'M VERY UNPREPARED FOR THIS. I DIDN'T HEAR ABOUT THIS UNTIL TEN O'CLOCK LAST NIGHT. I DON'T HAVE ALL MY NOTES TOGETHER LIKE I SHOULD.

ANYWAY, I HAVE BEEN TO SEVERAL LAWYERS OVER THE PAST THREE YEARS, WITH NO AVAIL. I DO THINK THAT I HAVE A GOOD LAWYER NOW. BUT WHEN YOU GET A LAWYER, YOU BETTER GET OUT OF THIS AREA HERE. I HAVE A LAWYER NOW THAT'S IN MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE. SO FAR, I'M VERY WELL PLEASED WITH HIM.

BUT MY ADVISE TO ANYBODY IN HERE, I WOULDN'T ADVISE ANYBODY TO BE A WHISTLEBLOWER, BECAUSE YOU ARE GOING TO PAY FOR IT IF YOU EVER DO.

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

14

18

THANK YOU.

DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER SPEAKERS? THE SPEAKER:

MY NAME IS FRED MCCHRISTION. I WANTED TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION THAT YOU ASKED MR. SMITH

EARLIER, AS TO HOW HE KNEW WHETHER THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM WAS WORKING OR NOT. IT'S NOT WORKING, BECAUSE I RECEIVED DOZENS OF PHONE CALLS A WEEK OF SAFETY CONCERNS AT MY HOUSE. I WOULD GUESS THAT I RUN A LARGER CONCERN PROGRAM AT MY HOUSE, AND I KNOW IT'S A BETTER CONCERN PROGRAM AT MY HOUSE, AND I KNOW IT'S A BETTER CONCERN PROGRAM THAN WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT. SO THAT'S THE REASON I SAY THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM DOES NOT WORK.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

6

DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS ON HOW TVA CAN MAKE IT EFFECTIVE OR ANY LICENSEES CAN MAKE AN EFFECTIVE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM?

THE SPEAKER:

AT THIS TIME I DON'T BECAUSE THE TRUST OF THE TVA WORKER HAS BEEN DESTROYED. IN MY CASE, SEVERAL YEARS AGO, THE HEAD OF THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM AT WATTS BAR WAS A WITNESS AT MY HEARING FOR TVA. ONE OF THE VICE PRESIDENTS THAT APPEARED ON THE STAND JUST PRIOR TO HIM TALKED ABOUT THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM AT WATTS BAR, THAT IF YOU WENT OVER THERE, IT WAS COMPLETELY CONFIDENTIAL. WHEN HE STEPPED DOWN, THE HEAD OF THE EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM GOT ON THE WITNESS STAND, MY ATTORNEY SAID, "HAS MR. MCCHRISTION EVER BEEN TO THE EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM?" HE SAID, "YES." SHE SAID, "DID

YOU CALL SOMEONE AND REPORT MR. McCHRISTION FOR BEING OVER HERE?" HE SAID, "YES." SHE SAID, "WHO DID YOU CALL?" HE SAID, "THE QA SITE DIRECTOR." SO AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, THERE'S NO CREDIBILITY IN THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM AT WATTS BAR.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

8

14

16

24

ARE THERE ANY OTHER SPEAKERS? THE SPEAKER:

I'D LIKE TO ADD A LITTLE BIT TO MY LAST STATEMENT.

MY NAME IS GEORGE GILLIAM. YOU ASKED IF THERE'S ANYTHING THAT CAN BE DONE FOR QUALITY CONTROL. TVA'S ANSWER TO QUALITY CONTROL IS A MILLION DOLLAR COVERUP FOR A FIFTY DOLLAR HOLE. YOU HAVE WITHIN YOUR MEANS RIGHT NOW, A VEHICLE, TO OBTAIN OR TO END THE HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION OF THE WHISTLEBLOWER. WHEN YOUR LAW WAS REVISED ABOUT THE PROBLEM TWO YEARS AGO, IT WAS ADDED THAT EACH MANAGER OR PERSON INVOLVED IN INTIMIDATION WOULD STAND ON THEIR OWN. IN OTHER WORDS, YOU WOULD NO LONGER TAKE ACTION AGAINST JUST AN AGENCY, BUT THE PERSON HIMSELF. UNTIL YOU OR SOMEONE WITH THE AUTHORITY TAKES THIS LAW AND SAYS, "ALL RIGHT. YOU'VE SCREWED UP. YOU THREATENED THIS MAN. YOU ARE GONE, AND YOUR SUPERVISOR IS GONE." UNTIL YOU CAN

TAKE THIS TYPE OF ACTION, OR WILL YOU TAKE IT? YOU'VE GOT IT ON THE BOOKS RIGHT NOW. IT'S LAW, BUT YET, NO ONE IS INTERESTED IN THAT. THAT'S MY PERSONAL OPINION, BUT IT'S THERE. IF YOU WANT TO DO IT, IT'S ON THE BOOKS TODAY. IT'S IN YOUR LAW. YOU'VE HAVE REVISED THE LAW, WHY NOT DO IT? BY MR. LIEBERMAN:

I WAS THE AUTHOR OF THAT REGULATION YOU ARE REFERRING TO, THE RULE OF THE MISCONDUCT. UNFORTUNATELY, THAT ONLY APPLIES TO INSTANCE THAT OCCUR AFTER SEPTEMBER 16, 1991. WE ARE USING THAT BOOK IN CASES OCCURRING AFTER THAT AND APPLY THAT RULE.

THE SPEAKER:

4

8

9

14

18

24

I HAVE SUITS INVOLVING DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION THAT IS -- IF I CAN REMEMBER THE LEGAL TERM FOR IT. I'M SORRY. I CAN'T RECALL THE TERM RIGHT NOW, BUT IT'S IN CONSIDERATION THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. IN MY CASE, TVA MANAGERS LIED TO ME. I'VE GOT IT IN BLACK AND WHITE, WITH HIS SIGNATURE ON IT. I CAN PROVE WITH TVA'S OWN RECORDS THAT HE LIED, AND YET THERE'S A SWORN AFFIDAVIT THAT HE LIED. YET, I CAN'T GET THE COURT OR HAVE AN ATTORNEY TO QUESTION THE MAN. I'VE BEEN SITTING HERF NOW THREE YEARS TRYING TO GET THE CASE

IN COURT, AND IT'S DRUG OUT. I'M DISPLEASED WITH THE ATTORNEYS -- WELL, I'M NOT SURE ABOUT MY LATEST ATTORNEY. REGARDLESS, HE WAS -- WHEN WE PARTED WAYS, AT THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR HE HAD NOTHING TO ADD TO MY CASE. WE PARTED OUT WAYS.

BUT YOU HAVE THE VEHICLE TO DO IT WITH, IF YOU'RE SERIOUS, THEN DO IT. BUT I'VE GOT MY DOUBTS THAT ANYTHING WILL COME OF THIS MEETING TONIGHT. WE'VE GOT THE PEOPLE -- I KNEW MR. HOUSE HERE FOR ABOUT FIFTEEN YEARS. I'M SYMPATHETIC, I KNOW WHERE'S HE'S COMING FROM. I KNOW THE SITUATION HE WAS INVOLVED IN. I KNOW THINGS THAT HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THAT TIME, AS A RESULT OF MR. HOUSE'S SITUATION. YET, NO ONE DID ANYTHING ABOUT IT. IT'S OUT THERE. TVA'S INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE GOT THE INFORMATION. THEY CAN INVESTIGATE. I DON'T THINK THEY INVESTIGATED. THEY INVESTIGATE TO HELP TVA MANAGEMENT TO COVER THEIR BACKSIDE, AND I THINK YOU SET THE SITUATION UP TO WHERE TVA CAN MAKE IT EASIER FOR THEM TO COVER. WHEN YOU DO THAT, YOU NOT ONLY SOLD ME OUT, YOU SOLD EVERYONE IN THE SYSTEM OUT. YOU SOLD THEIR INTEGRITY YOURSELF.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

5

6

8

14

24

THANK YOU.

THE SPEAKER:

CAN I ASK SOMETHING FOR CLARIFICATION. THIS REFERENCE TO THIS LAW THAT YOU WROTE. HAS IT ---MR. LIEBERMAN: YOU NEED TO SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE. 4 THE SPEAKER: THE REFERENCE TO THE LAW THAT YOU SAY YOU ARE THE AUTHOR, YOU SAY THAT -- HAVE YOU USED THAT LAW AT ALL? HAS ANY MANAGER BEEN PROSECUTED FOR 8 INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT SINCE THAT LAW HAS COME 9 IN? MR. LIEBERMAN: THE LAW IS NOT A CRIMINAL STATUTE. THE LAW GIVES US AUTHORITY TO TAKE ACTION. IN REFERENCE TO 14 YOUR QUESTION, YES. WE HAVE TAKEN THAT ACTION AGAINST INDIVIDUALS UNDER THAT LAW. THE SPEAKER: HOW? I MEAN, ARE WE TALKING ONE OR TWO TIMES? I MEAN, HAS IT HAPPENED FREQUENTLY, AND HOW FREQUENTLY HAS IT HAPPENED? MR. LIEBERMAN: IT'S ONLY A FEW TIMES, BUT WE HAVE REMOVED PEOPLE FROM THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY FOR FIVE YEARS, AND HAVE ISSUED ACTIONS AGAINST INDIVIDUALS. BUT AS I SAID, IT ONLY APPLIES IN CASES THAT OCCURRED AFTER 24 SEPTEMBER OF '91.

THE SPEAKER:

3

TWO OR THREE TIMES?

4 MR. LIEBERMAN: IT'S LAW 50.5, BUT I EXPECT TO SEE MORE OF 5 THOSE CASES IN TIME. 6 THE SPEAKER: FIFTY POINT FIVE? 8 MR. LIEBERMAN: 9 FIFTY POINT FIVE. ANYONE ELSE THAT WANTS TO SPEAK? THE SPEAKER: MY NAME IS DICK HOWARD, I USED TO WORK FOR TVA AS ACTING CHIEF OF CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT IN 1986 1.4 AND 1987. THE LAST TWO YEARS -- SINCE THE LAST THE TWO YEARS I'VE BEEN WORKING AS A QUALITY OFFICER IN TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT, AND WHAT I'VE HEARD TONIGHT IS A LACK OF TRUST, LACK OF CONFIDENCE, LACK OF CREDIBILITY AND AN ADVERSARIAL CULTURE WITH TVA AND THE WORKERS.

BUT IT HAS BEEN USED? I MEAN, YOU HAVE USED IT

WHEN I WORKED AT TVA, I CAME THERE TO HELP BUILD A PLANT, NOT TO BE IN AN ADVERSARIAL ROLE. MY BACKGROUND HAS BEEN IN PIPING AND PIPE SUPPORTS, AND I RAISE THE QUESTION OF SAFETY RELATED PIPING AND PIPE SUPPORTS WHICH IS SAFETY RELATED, AND I WAS TOLD

TO BACK OFF. I BACKED OFF. I NEVER BLEW THE WHISTLE ON TVA, BUT I WAS LET GO.

AND YOU'RE ASKING THE QUESTION WHAT CAN WE DO TO MAKE AN EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM. I'D LIKE TO ADD SOME POSITIVE ASPECTS. ALL THESE THINGS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ARE NON-CONFORMANCES, WHETHER YOU WANT TO CALL IT QUALITY INITIALLY, TOTAL QUALITY LEADERSHIP OR TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT, THEY'RE NON-CONFORMANCES. WHO WRITES NON-CONFORMANCES? THE WORKERS DO. THE CRAFTSMAN DO, OR THE ENGINEERS DO. WHO STRESSES THEM? THE SUPERVISORS. WHY? WHY DON'T WE JUST FIX THEM?

6

8

14.

24

SO IF YOU'RE ASKING QUESTIONS OF HOW TO MAKE SOMETHING POSITIVE, HOW TO BRING BACK TRUST, CONFIDENCE AND CREDIBILITY -- WE FOUND OUT FINES DON'T WORK. YOU CAN FINE TVA TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS OR A MILLION DOLLARS, BUT AS LONG AS YOU HAVE AN ADVERSARIAL CULTURE, IT'S NOT GOING TO GET THERE. SO ONE ASPECT THAT I TRIED TO ADVOCATE BACK IN 1987 WERE INTEGRATED WALK DOWNS, INTEGRATED WALK DOWNS BETWEEN TVA ENGINEERS, TVA CONSTRUCTORS, THE CONTRACTOR. I'D LIKE TO ADD ONE -- OR TWO EXTRA STEPS TO BRING BACK THE TRUST AND CONFIDENCE. BEFORE YOU BRING BACK WATTS EAR OR BROWN'S FERRY OR ANY OTHER NUCLEAR POWER FLANT -- BY THE WAY, I USED TO

WORK IN SOUTH TEXAS -- I WORKED ON THE FFTF AS THE CONFIGURES CONTROL BOARD SECRETARY WHERE I HELPED SET UP THE CM PROGRAM. I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN IT FROM 1974. SO WHAT WOULD BE WRONG TO BRING IN COOPERATION AND TEAM WORK AND COMMUNICATION BY HAVING A TOTALLY INTEGRATED POSITIVE WALK DOWN CREW OF THE PERSON THAT WROTE THE NON-CONFORMANCE, THE PERSON THAT ACTUALLY TOOK THE NEXT STEP FORWARD AND, QUOTE "BLEW THE WHISTLE TO THE NRC," WITH THE TVA ENGINEER, WITH THE BASSCO OR THE BECKTEL CONTRACTOR. WALK IT DOWN. GET THAT BEHIND YOU. KNOCK OFF THAT PUNCH LIST.

4

5

6

8

9

14

24

WHY START WATTS BAR WITH A PUNCH LIST OF TWO THOUSAND OPEN ITEMS AND HAVE EVERYBODY ELSE OUT HERE CRITICIZING YOU AND STILL PROPITIATE THIS LACK OF CONFIDENCE? WHY CAN'T IT BE DONE? WHY CAN'T WE TALK TO EACH OTHER? IF WE ARE TRULY PUSHING FOR TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT, YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE COOPERATION. YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE COMMUNICATION. WHY CAN'T WE TALK WITH EACH OTHER? SOMETHING'S WRONG, GET IT FIXED, GET IT DONE. IF IT'S A BAD WELD, GRIND IT OUT, PUT A NEW ONE IN THERE. WHY GO THROUGH AND PENCIL WITH IT? ALL THAT'S GOING TO DO IS PROLONG THE AGONY.

I WANT TO GET THESE NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS ON LINE. NO MORE FINES, GET THEM ON LINE. BRING SOME

REVENUE IN. DON'T CHARGE THE RATEPAYERS OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN. IN 1987, IN THE SPRING OF '87, I ADVOCATED INTEGRATED WALK DOWNS, AND HERE IT IS 1993, WE'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT INTEGRATED WALK DOWNS. WE'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT NON-CONFOMANCES. WE'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT PUNCH LISTS. GOLLY, THE PUNCH LISTS HAVE GOTTEN BIGGER SINCE THEN. WHY CAN'T WE HAVE A POSITIVE INTEGRATED CREW TO COME UP THERE AND RESTORE TRUST, CONFIDENCE AND CREDIBILITY? WHY CAN'T THE NRC TAKE THE INITIATIVE?

1

4

5

6

18

24

I GUESS, THE QUESTION IS WHY CAN'T WE HAVE AN NRC REP PART OF THAT TEAM? ARE WE REALLY A TEAM OR ARE WE AN ADVERSARIAL COMPETE? ARE YOU GUYS POLICEMAN OR TVA? I DON'T LIKE THE ATMOSPHERE. I'D MUCH RATHER HAVE A TEAMWORK PROSPECTIVE. I HAVEN'T HEARD THAT AT ALL. HOPEFULLY, TVA CAN PRESENT THIS TOMORROW. I'D LOVE TO HAVE SEEN MR. KINGSLEY AND SOME OF THE BOARD MEMBERS TO HEAR CONCERNS. THEY'RE NOT HERE TONIGHT. ARE THEY COMMITTED TO TOTAL QUALITY? WHAT IS THE ANSWER? DO I HAVE THEIR CONFIDENCE THAT THEY ARE COMMITTED TO IT? AS OF RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE OF THEIR LACK OF PRESENCE, I WOULD SAY NO. I DROVE UP FROM ATLANTA FOR THIS MEETING. I CARE ENOUGH TO HEAR WHAT THESE PEOPLE HAVE TO SAY. YOU KNOW, THEY BLED THEIR HEARTS OUT. YEAH, MY LIFE

WAS RUINED. I CONTACTED NRC AFTER IT ALL HAPPENED. WHAT DID THE NRC DO ABOUT IT? ABSOLUTELY NOTHING. THAT WAS 1988. CAN YOU DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT TODAY? CAN WE BE POSITIVE? DO WE STILL HAVE TO HAVE COVER UP AND INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT? THE HELL WITH THE PEOPLE THAT WORK, LET'S GET THE PLANT BUILT. I HEARD THAT BACK IN THE 'SEVENTIES.

4

6

8

9

24

I COME FROM AN AVIATION INDUSTRY. I'VE FLOWN AIRPLANES IN THE AIR FORCE. IF WE HAD THAT APPROACH, WE'D HAVE AIRPLANES FLYING OUT OF THE SKY, DROPPING OUT OF THE SKY -- QUALITY, RELIABILITY, MAINTAINABILITY, ALL THOSE ASPECTS. HERE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE MUSHROOM CLOUD ENVIRONMENT, THE FEAR THAT THAT'S WHAT THE GENERAL PUBLIC HAS. CAN YOU HELP THE PUBLIC? GIVE THEM A WARM FEELING THAT THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN. CAN THEY DO IT? I DON'T KNOW. AS LONG AS WE HAVE THIS ADVERSARIAL CULTURE IT IS GOING TO CONTINUE ON. WATTS BAR IS NOT GOING TO GET, YOU KNOW, CRANKED UP IN THE SPRING OF '94 IF WE KEEP THIS UP. HAS EVERY NON-CONFORMANCE, SAFETY RELATED CONFORMANCE -- HAS EVERY SAFETY RELATED, NON-CONFORMANCE BEEN CLOSED OUT? HAS THE NRC WALKED DOWN EVERY SAFETY RELATED SYSTEM AND CLOSED OUT EVERY NON-CONFORMANCE OR ARE YOU JUST TAKING ONE SIGNAL AND SAYING, "THAT'S GREAT, NOW THE REST OF THE PLANT'S

GOOD"? EVERY SAFETY RELATED SIGNAL, HAVE YOU WALKED THEM DOWN FROM ELECTRICAL, MECHANICAL, STRUCTURAL, SOFTWARE, SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION? WHEN YOU PUSH THE BUTTON, DO YOU HAVE THAT ASSURANCE THAT IT'S GOING TO DO WHAT IT'S GOING TO DO? IF YOU DON'T HAVE THAT ASSURANCE, GENTLEMEN, HOW DO WE HAVE THAT ASSURANCE? HOW DO THEY HAVE THAT ASSURANCE.

4

6

8

9

14

18

24

SO I'M ADVOCATING A LITTLE DIFFERENT THROST, A MORE POSITIVE THRUST, TONIGHT. LET'S CUT OUT THIS ADVERSARIAL BEATING THE PEOPLE OVER THE HEAD. THINGS ARE GOING TO GO WRONG, LET'S GET THEM FIXED. LET'S IDENTIFY THE PROBLEMS, GET THEM DONE RIGHT. BRING THOSE PEOPLE ON OUT THERE. "HERE, WE HAVE A PROBLEM, WE'RE GOING TO FIX IT JOE. YOU WROTE THE NON CONFORMANCE, LET'S GET IT FIXED." AND AFTER IT'S FIXED TO JOE'S LIKING, TO THE ENGINEER'S LIKING AND THE CONTRACTOR'S LIKING, PRESS ON, PUSH THAT BEHIND AND DEAL WITH THE NEXT PROBLEM. I DON'T HEAR THAT HAPPENING.

SO WHAT I'M ADVOCATING IS AN INTEGRATED APPROACH, A TEAM WORK, COOPERATION OF A TEAM, TVA, CONTRACTORS, WHETHER IT BE BECKTEL OR BASSCO OR WHOEVER ELSE AT TVA AND BRING THE NRC. IF THE NRC IS UNDERSTAFFED, YOU GUYS CAN HIRE CONTRACTORS FOR YOU. YOU'VE DONE IT BEFORE. BUT HAVE AN NRC REP. HAVE AN

INTEGRATED TEAM, WIPE OUT THE PROBLEMS AND GET MOVING ON. IS THAT ASKING TOO MUCH OF TVA OR IS THAT THE WAY WE'RE GOING RIGHT NOW? I DON'T KNOW. LIKE I SAID, I'VE BEEN AWAY FROM THE NUCLEAR SITE FOR THE LAST FEW YEARC. BUT I CARE ENOUGH, CAME UP HERE TO HEAR THE PROBLEMS. I CAN JUST SEE THAT WE STILL HAVE AN ADVERSARIAL RELATIONSHIP. THAT JUST BOTHERS ME. BASICALLY, WHO'S GOING TO PAY FOR IT? THE RATEPAYER. I'M PAYING FOR IT. YOU'RE PAYING FOR IT. YOU'RE PAYING FOR IT. YOU'RE PAYING FOR IT. BRIAN, CAN YOU HELP ME OUT?

MR. GRIMES:

1

3

4

F

6

8

14

24

I THINK YOU'VE MADE SOME -- YOU HIT ON A KEY POINT IN TERMS OF THE UNDERLINING CULTURE HAS TO BE FAVORABLE TO THE NORMAL SYSTEM, AND WHENEVER WE VIEW -- THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED TO THE NRC IS SOMEHOW REFLECTIVE TODAY IN THE UNDERLYING SYSTEM THAT THE SYSTEM SHOULD BE FRIENDLY ENOUGH BETWEEN SUPERVISORS AND WORKERS AND TOP MANAGERS WITHIN THE UTILITY BUSINESS. THESE THINGS SHOULD BE IRONED OUT IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF BUSINESS. SO YOUR POINTS ARE WELL TAKEN IN TERMS OF TRYING TO ESTABLISH SOME SYSTEM TO REGAIN CONFIDENCE OF THE ORGANIZATION ITSELF.

WELL, THANKS MUCH.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

4

6

8

14

18

24

25

THANK YOU.

DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER PEOPLE INTERESTED IN MAKING A PRESENTATION? 93

THE SPEAKER:

MY NAME IS TREY BURCHFIELD. I'D LIKE TO SAY SOMETHING ELSE IF I COULD.

SINCE NRC'S PLAYED A BIG PART IN MY TIFE IN THE DIRECTION THAT I'VE GONE AND SINCE THEY HAVE BECOME A PLAYER, A NEW NAME HAS BEEN GIVEN ME AS A WHISTLEBLOWER. FOR SOME KEASON, THAT TERM ALWAYS LEAVES A BAD TASTE IN MY MOUTH. I GUESS TWICE I SPOKE TO UPPER TVA MANAGEMENT, ONE IS MR. KINGSLEY WHILE I WAS IN ATLANTA, IN A N.3C MEETING ABOUT WHISTLEBLOWERS TAKING MORE OF AN ACTIVE ROLE IN TRYING TO BRING AN IMAGE BACK INTO THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS BOARD TO THE OPENNESS OF TVA. HE SHOWED AN INTEREST AND SAID HE HAD TO TALK TO HIS -- I GUESS, HIS MANAGEMENT. I GUESS I WOULD SAY THREE OR FOUR MONTHS HAVE GONE BY AND I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING. I EXPRESSED THIS TO MR. CRAIG MCCLOUD ALSO.

I KNOW SOME PEOPLE PROBABLY, YOU KNOW, SINCE THE IMPACT OF BEING A WHISTLEBLOWER EFFECTS THEIR LIFE -- SOMETIMES FOREVER IN ALL ASPECTS.

THE SAD PART IS, FOR ME, COMING OUT OF THE

SERVICE AND GOING TO TVA, I GUESS I FREL A LOT LIKE A VIETNAM VET IN THE SENSE OF WHAT VIETNAM WAS TO THE VETERAN. TVA BECAME THAT FOR ME. I WAS PROUD TO BE IN THE SERVICE AND PROUD TO COME TO TVA, AND YET I SEEMED TO HAVE LOST -- I GUESS, A CREDIBILITY ASSET TO WHAT I THOUGHT WAS TO THE AGENCY. YOU KNOW, I DID EVERYTHING THAT THEY ASKED WITHIN THE RULES THAT THEY PROVIDED ME, BECAUSE THAT'S ALL I COULD GO BY. I DON'T KNOW OF ANYTHING THE NRC COULD DO, YOU KNOW, IT MAY TAKE TIME. IT MAY TAKE MORE TIME THAN ANYONE WOULD DESIRE, BUT I'D LIKE TO SEE TVA TAKE A MORE ACTIVE ROLE AND BETRAY TO THE PUBLIC AND TO THE WORKERS NOW. YOU KNOW, IT'S ONE THING YOU SAY YOU CARE ABOUT WHISTLEBLOWERS AND NEVER ACTUALLY TAKE THEM SERIOUS OR LET THEM BE PART OF SOME KIND OF ACTIVE PARTICIPATION IN SHOWING VISIBLE SUPPORT, NOT JUST LIKE TRUST ME, OR I WILL DO IT, BUT ACTUALLY ACTION. I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU CAN DO FOR THEM OR WITH THEM, I MEAN, I'VE SPOKE TO THEM. BUT MAYBE SOMEWHERE DOWN THE ROAD, SOMEHOW FOR TVA TO CHANGE THIS IMAGE TO THE PUBLIC, YOU KNOW, AND TO THE WORKERS THEMSELVES, BECAUSE I KNOW THAT I'VE TAKEN MANY ISSUES TO NRC WHICH I HAD TAKEN TO TVA. THE SAD PART OF WAS TVA THEMSELVES NEVER WOULD SEE. I GUESS. THE STUFF I GAVE THEM, THEY COULDN'T SEE IT EVEN

24

THOUGH IT WAS THERE. THE NRC WOULD TAKE AN UNBIASED VIEW AND GO VERIFY IT. TVA SOMEHOW -- I DON'T WHY, THEY FOCUSED ON THE INDIVIDUAL. I KNOW THAT NOW, I'D HOPE TO TRY TO ENCOURAGE SOME FRIENDS TO TRY TO COME TO THIS MEETING THAT WORKED AT SOME OF THE SITES, AND SOME OF THEM SAID, "WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO DO NOW? YOU'VE ALREADY GOTTEN ME MOVED, AND YOU'RE ALREADY EFFECTING MY POSITION." HIS QUOTE WAS "WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO DO, GET ME FIRED?" THESE ARE FRIENDS AND PEOPLE I'VE KNOWN FOR YEARS, THAT HAVE CALLED ME AND ASKED ME, YOU KNOW, WHAT DIRECTION DO THEY NEED TO TAKE IN ACTIONS THAT'S NOT BEEN TAKEN TO THE PROPER PLACE AT WATTS BAR. IT'S SORT OF SAD FOR ME BECAUSE I'M REALLY RELUCTANT TO EXPRESS TO A FRIEND THE ROAD THAT THEY HAVE TO TAKE.

4

8

18

WE'VE GOT TO SEE SOME LIGHTS IN THE TUNNEL. WE'VE GOT TO SEE SOME HOPE, AND RIGHT NOW, TVA'S TALKING HOPE. YOU'RE TALKING MAYBE HOPE THROUGH SOME KIND OF ACTION, IF CONGRESS MAKES A CHANGE. BUT RIGHT NOW, IT'D BE GREAT IF THE WORKERS THAT ARE AT THESE PLANTS COULD HAVE CONFIDENCE AND FEEL FREE. IT WOULD MAKE YOUR JOB A LOT EASIER. IT WOULD MAKE THE PUBLIC FEEL A LOT MORE COMFORTABLE. I KNOW THAT WHEN EMPLOYEES START SHOWING CONCERN ABOUT THE AGENCY THEY WORK FOR AND DON'T FEEL SAFE AND COMFORTABLE OR ARE

AFRAID TO COME FORWARD, THEN HOW CAN ANYONE ELSE, ANYONE, REALLY KNOW WHAT'S THERE AND REALLY FEEL COMFORTABLE? IT MAY BE A SLOW PROCESS OF HURTING THEMSELVES AND THEIR FAMILIES, BUT EVENTUALLY IT'S GOING TO DESTROY THEIR WHOLE JOB, AND THE THING IS IT NEEDS TO BE RECOVERED.

I KNOW SOME OF THE WHISTLEBLOWERS, SOME OF THEM MAY NOT WANT TO PARTICIPATE. LIKE I SAY I'VE ASKED TVA TO LET ME PLAY SOME PART IF I COULD. LIKE I SAY THEY'VE SHOWED INTEREST WITH WORDS, BUT THAT IS IT. IT SEEMS LIKE, YOU KNOW, ONCE THEY HEAR IT IT'S GONE. MAYBE WITH THE POSITION YOU'RE IN YOU CAN MAKE A DIFFERENT.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

15

16

WHAT TYPE OF PART DO YOU ENVISION A PERSON LIKE YOURSELF PLAYING?

THE SPEAKER:

¹⁸ WELL, YOU KNOW, I DON'T CONSIDER MYSELF ANY
 ¹⁹ KIND OF A PUBLIC SPEAKER, AND I'VE NEVER REALLY LIKED
 ²⁰ DOING THIS, AND YOU JUST DON'T KNOW WHAT IT TAKES FOR
 ²¹ ME TO COME UP HERE AND DO THIS. BUT AT THE SAME
 ²² TIME, I LOOK BACK AND SOME PEOPLE ARE BETRAYED -- ²³ SOMEONE HAS TO BE AN EXAMPLE. SOMEBODY HAS TO
 ²⁴ SURVIVE. IF THERE'S NO SURVIVORS, WHO CAN REALLY
 ²⁵ TELL THE STORY. AND THE THING IS THE STORIES ALWAYS

GO ON. AND IF WE DON'T HAVE MORE SURVIVORS, MORE PEOPLE TELLING THE WHOLE STORY, THEN WHAT ARE WE REALLY GOING TO KNOW WHAT WE'VE GOT? THERE'S NO HISTORY WITHOUT RECORDS, AND THERE'S NO RECORDS WITHOUT PEOPLE REALLY COMING FORTH AND TELLING. YOU'RE JUST GETTING BITS AND PIECES FROM DIFFERENT PEOPLE, BUT YOU'RE NOT EVER GETTING THE WHOLE STORY. AND THE SAD PART IS TVA THEMSELVES DON'T REALIZE THEY ARE DESTROYING THE BIGGER PART, THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF THE SITUATIONS OR THE STORIES.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

÷

3

4

6

8

9

14

16

24

SO WHAT WOULD YOU BE DOING, AS MS. HARRIS HAS SUGGESTED, IN REGARD TO THE COMMITTEE?

THE SPEAKER:

I'M NOT REALLY FAMILIAR WITH THOSE ACTIVITIES. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT SHE'S JUST ADDRESSED TODAY. I'VE ALWAYS IN THE PAST LOOKED AT MYSELF -- ONE THING I CAN SAY AS A WHISTLEBLOWER, I DO NOT LIKE THAT TERMINOLOGY. IT EVEN INFURIATED ME WHEN I PULLED UP OUT HERE AND IT SAID "WHISTLEBLOWERS GO THIS WAY." I DON'T LIKE THAT. I FEEL LIKE I'VE BECOME, NOT AN AMERICAN, NOT A VIETNAM VET, NOT A PERSON WITH ANY KIND OF CREDIBILITY, AND THE THING IS A WHISTLEBLOWER SHOULD NOT BE DEEMED AS A PERSON THAT'S A FAILURE OR PERSON WHO RECEIVES MONEY FOR GRATUITY OR WHATEVER --

BUY OFF OR WHATEVER.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

3

5

6

8

9

14

18

24

25

I SHARE YOUR CONCERN. I TRY TO USE THE WORD "ALLEGER" IN MY TALK, AND WE'RE LOOKING FOR ANOTHER TERM THAT DOESN'T HAVE A NEGATIVE ANNOTATION, BUT YOU INDICATED THAT YOU TALKED TO MR. KINGSLEY ABOUT HAVING A ROLE YOURSELF TO HELP TURN AROUND TVA'S SITUATION?

THE SPEAKER:

WELL, MY WORDS TO HIM WAS -- AND HE SAID THERE NEED TO BE HEALING. AND I SAID, "YES, SIR. IT NEEDS TO BE STARTING ON YOUR END." I SAID, "I'M HERE TRYING TO SHOW AN ACTIVE PART OF THIS HEALING PROCESS." I SAID, "ONE WAY IS, I SAID THE EMPLOYEES NEED TO SEE A WHISTLEBLOWER AS SURVIVORS, SOMEONE WILLING TO COME FORTH TO KNOW." MAYBE IT'S A PERSON WHO SPEAKS TO OTHER PEOPLE TO SAY YES. THE AGENCY MAY NOT LIKE WHAT YOU'VE DONE, BUT AT LEAST THEY'RE SUPPORTING YOU, BECAUSE YOU CAN WORK WITH PEOPLE YOU DON'T LIKE. YOU CAN STILL DO A GOOD JOB, AND YOU CAN STILL MAKE AMERICA, AMERICA, BECAUSE IT DON'T MEAN YOU HAVE TO HAVE DIFFERENT VIEWS FOR YOU TO WORK SIDE BY SIDE. THE PROBLEM IS AS A WHISTLEBLOWER TVA HAS FORMED AN IMAGE THAT IF YOU HAVE A DIFFERENT VIEW, IT'S US AND THEM. THE PROBLEM WAS I THOUGHT I WAS US

AND SOMEHOW I BECAME THEY.

WE'VE SEPARATED OURSELVES, AND IT SEEMS LIKE FOR ME TO DO MY JOB, IT'S CAUSED ALL THIS TO HAPPEN. TVA PLAYED A PART, I PLAYED A PART BY GOING TO TVA. 1 YOU PLAYED A PART AS A REGULATORY AGENCY. NOW, I B DON'T KNOW WHAT IT TAKES TO BE HEALED, BUT I KNOW A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SEE A CHANGE AS WHAT'S EXPRESSED AS A WHISTLEBLOWER. THE PROBLEM IS. I DON'T LIKE THAT IMAGE. IT NEVER, TO ME, SIGNIFIES ANY KIND OF A IMAGE THAT YOU CAN ACTUALLY SAY YOU GOT ANY PRIDE IN AMERICA OR WHO YOU STAND FOR. MR. LIEBERMAN: WE APPRECIATE THAT. 14 ANYONE ELSE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT? IT'S NOW QUARTER TILL NINE. I'LL TAKE A BREAK FOR TEN MINUTES IN CASE ANYONE COMES IN OR WANTS TO SPEAK FURTHER BEFORE WE ADJOURN OUR MEETING. THANK 18 YOU. (WHEREUPON, A RECESS ENSUED). MR. LIEBERMAN: GO AHEAD. THE SPEAKER: MY NAME IS DARLENE SUMEY, AND I WORK AT THE SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT. I'M NOT GOING INTO A LOT OF 24 DETAIL OF WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT 25

BECAUSE OF MY OWN SAFETY, BUT YOU ASKED FOR SUGGESTIONS AND THAT'S WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE.

I THINK THAT YOU SHOULD MAKE TVA COMPENSATE EMPLOYEES FOR THEIR INTERACTIONS AND THE TROUBLE THAT THEY'VE PUT ALL US THROUGH. I THINK YOU SHOULD HAVE MEETINGS FOR CONCERNED EMPLOYEES. THIS GENTLEMAN SAYS HE DON'T LIKE TO BE CALLED A WHISTLEBLOWER, WELL, A LOT OF US DON'T, AND I THINK THAT WE SHOULD BE LABELED AS CONCERNED EMPLOYEES. I'M NOT WHAT YOU CALL A WHISTLEBLOWER BECAUSE THEY PUT ENOUGH PRESSURE ON ME BY USING MY SISTER AT THE PLANT TO KEEP ME QUIET, AND SO I CAN UNDERSTAND THEIR FEELINGS. THEY SHOULD BE LABELED AS CONCERNED EMPLOYEES, NOT WHISTLEBLOWERS.

8

I THINK YOU SHOULD HAVE MEETINGS WITH EMPLOYEES THAT ARE CONCERNED AND DON'T HAVE THE MANAGEMENT THERE, BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE EMPLOYEES OPEN UP IF THEIR MANAGEMENT IS NOT THERE. I MYSELF HAD A PERSON COME IN, THEY HAVE RECENTLY LEFT, THAT WORKS IN MY DEPARTMENT. TO ME THAT WAS INTIMIDATION TO SAY KEEP QUIET. I THINK THE GENTLEMAN THAT TALKED ABOUT THE WALK DOWNS. IF AN EMPLOYEE RAISES A CONCERN, I THINK THAT PARTICULAR EMPLOYEE SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE WALK DOWN, AND I THINK THEY SHOULD HELP IN FIXING THE PROBLEM AND I THINK THEY SHOULD BE

GIVEN RECOGNITION.

2		BY GIVING THEM RECOGNITION YOU'RE SHOWING THE
3		OTHER EMPLOYEES THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BACK THEM AND
4		YOU'RE GOING TO HELP THEM. I THINK THAT WOULD HELP
5		ALL OF US, BUT MOST OF ALL I THINK YOU OUGHT TO GET
6		IT ACROSS THE TVA MANAGERS THAT THEY NEED TO LISTEN
7		TO THEIR EMPLOYEES, THE PEOPLE THAT'S OUT THERE IN
8		THE FIELD DOING THE WORK, INSTEAD OF BRINGING SOMEONE
9		ELSE IN TO VIEW THE PROBLEM.
10		THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY.
11	MR.	LIEBERMAN:
12		THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
13		ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
14		(NO RESPONSE).
15		THEN, I WANT TO EMPHASIZE AGAIN THAT WE HAVE
16		THE COMMENT FORMS TO PROVIDE COMMENTS IF YOU DON'T
17		WANT TO SPEAK AT THIS MEETING.
18		TOMORROW, AFTER THE PRESENTATION FOR TVA
19		MANAGEMENT AND TVA IG YOU WILL ALSO HAVE AN
20		OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, AND I THANK YOU ALL FOR TAKING
21		TIME TO COME HERE TONIGHT, AND I APPRECIATE THE IDEAS
22		THAT PEOPLE HAVE GIVEN US.
23		THANK YOU. THIS MEETING IS OVER.
24		(WHEREUPON, THE MEETING WAS CONCLUDED AT
25		APPROXIMATELY 9:00 p.m. THE MEETING RESUMED ON

OCTOBER 14, 1993, AT APPROXIMATELY 9:00 a.m.) MR. LIEBERMAN:

5

8

24

25

I'M JIM LIEBERMAN, THE DIRECTOR OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT AND THE CHAIRMAN OF THE REVIEW TEAM REASSESSMENT OF THE NRC PROGRAM FOR PROTECTING ALLEGERS AGAINST RETALIATION.

WITH ME TODAY FROM THE REVIEW TEAM, BEGINNING ON MY LEFT, IS BEN HAYES, THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION. JOHN JOHNSON, THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF OPERATING REACTOR IN REGION TWO. ON MY RIGHT IS BRIAN GRIMES, THE DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF OPERATING REACTOR SUPPORT IN THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION.

THIS IS THE SECOND SESSION OF THE FOURTH OF FOUR PUBLIC MEETINGS TO OBTAIN COMMENTS OF INTERESTED PERSONS OF WHOM LICENSEES AND CONTRACTORS AND THEIR EMPLOYEES. AT EACH OF THESE MEETINGS WE HAD AN EVENING SESSION AND A MORNING SESSION.

THE PURPOSE OF THESE MEETINGS IS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION TO ASSIST THE REVIEW TEAM IN EVALUATING CURRENT NRC ACTIVITIES AND MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE THE REGULATORY PROCESS.

THIS MORNINGS MEETING WILL BEGIN WITH THE PRESENTATION OF TVA'S MANAGEMENT TO PROVIDE US WITH COMMENTS IN THEIR EFFORTS TO OBTAIN EMPLOYEE

CONCERNS AND SAFETY ISSUES. FOLLOWING THAT PRESENTATION WE'VE ASKED THAT THE TVA'S OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL MAKE A SIMILAR PRESENTATION. THEREAFTER, WE WILL LISTEN TO COMMENTS FROM OTHER PERSONS.

×

5

6

14

24

THE REVIEW TEAM WAS FORMED WITH THE DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION TO CONSIDER WHETHER NRC HAS TAKEN SUFFICIENT STEPS WITHIN THE STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO CREATE AN ATMOSPHERE WITHIN THE LICENSEES ORGANIZATIONS WHERE EMPLOYEES, INCLUDING CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES, FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES WITHOUT FEAR OF RETALIATION.

BY WAY OF BACKDOWN THERE IS TWO FEDERAL AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THIS AREA: THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND THE NRC. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DOING INVESTIGATIONS AND PROVIDING PERSONAL REMEDY FOR EMPLOYEES WHO BELIEVE THAT THEY HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO DISCRIMINATION FOR ENGAGING IN PROTECTED ACTIVITIES THAT IS RAISING A SAFETY ISSUE EITHER TO A LICENSEE OR THE NRC.

THE NRC IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REGULATING A LICENSEE TO ENSURE THAT WORKERS ARE FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES.

THE REVIEW TEAM IS CONSIDERING ISSUES SUCH AS, ONE, WHETHER THE NRC IS TAKING SUFFICIENT STEPS

THROUGH REGULATIONS, POLICY STATEMENTS AND INSPECTIONS TO ENSURE THE LICENSEES ENCOURAGE THEIR WORKERS AND CONTRACTORS TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES. SECOND, WHETHER THE CURRENT NRC PROCESS FOR HANDLING ALLEGATIONS IS APPROPRIATE FROM THE PROSPECTIVE OF THE EMPLOYEES FEELING FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES. THIRD, WHETHER THE NRC IS SUFFICIENTLY PROACTIVE IN CASES WHERE EMPLOYEES RAISE CONCERNS OR EXPRESS FEARS THAT THEY MAY BECOME SUBJECT TO RETALIATION IF THEY DO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES IN THE FUTURE. FORTH, WHETHER THE NRC POLICIES ARE APPROPRIATE WHEN DISCRIMINATION MAY HAVE OCCURRED INCLUDING RELATIONS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR TREATING POTENTIAL CHILLING EFFECTS, PERFORMING INVESTIGATIONS AND TAKING ENFORCEMENT ACTION.

1

3

4

14

16

AS I NOTED, WE ARE SEEKING COMMENTS FROM BOTH WORKERS AND LICENSEES. WE HAVE PUBLISHED A FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE SEEKING FUBLIC COMMENTS. WE HAVE COPIES OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE AVAILABLE ON THE FRONT TABLE. WE ARE ACCEPTING PUBLIC COMMENTS THROUGHOUT THE PROGRAM.

WE'VE ALSO WORKED WITH ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING BOTH WORKERS AND LICENSEES IN THIS AREA.

THESE EFFORTS, INCLUDING THE MEETING TODAY, ARE INTENDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF EMPLOYEES, LICENSEES,

AND OTHER CONCERNED INDIVIDUALS LIKE YOURSELVES, TO BRING FORTH ISSUES AND IDEAS FOR OUR CONSIDERATION. FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE PUBLIC MEETINGS, AND WE REVIEW THE WRITTEN COMMENTS AND COMMENTS FROM THESE MEETINGS, WE WILL BE PREPARING A REPORT TO SUBMIT TO THE NRC COMMISSIONERS. IT IS OUR EXPECTATION THAT THIS REPORT WILL BE COMPLETED IN JANUARY OF 1994.

3

5

9

17

THE ISSUE BEFORE IS AN IMPORTANT ONE. NRC, EVEN WITH ITS MANY INSPECTORS, CAN ONLY OBSERVE A FRACTION OF LICENSEES ACTIVITIES. WE WILL NEVER HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE POSSESSED BY THE THOUSANDS OF EMPLOYEES IN THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. EMPLOYEES OF THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY HAVE CLEARLY MADE CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY BY COMING FORWARD WITH SAFETY CONCERNS.

EMPLOYEES MUST FEEL FREE TO RAISE POTENTIAL SAFETY ISSUES WITH TO THE NRC. HOWEVER, AS THE COMMISSION REVIEWED, IT IS NOT ENOUGH FOR EMPLOYEES TO FEEL FREE TO COME DIRECTLY TO THE NRC. LICENSEES HAVE THE FIRST RESPONSIBILITY OF SAFETY. EMPLOYEES MUST ALSO FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES TO MANAGEMENT.

24 WE RECOGNIZE THAT THERE IS DISSATISFACTION WITH 25 THE CURRENT SYSTEM. EMPLOYEES ARE NOT ALWAYS

COMFORTABLE IN RAISING ISSUES. THERE ARE CASES WHERE DISCRIMINATION HAS OCCURRED, WHERE EMPLOYEES HAVE ENGAGED IN PROTECTIVE ACTIVITIES.

WE APE LOOKING FORWARD TODAY TO IDEAS ON WHAT ACTIONS NRC SHOULD CONSIDER TO FORCE LICENSES TO FOSTER AN ATMOSPHERE WHERE INDIVIDUALS WITH POTENTIAL SAFETY CONCERNS ARE ENCOURAGED TO COME FORWARD WITH THOSE CONCERNS.

6

8

9

14

24

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT OUR PURPOSE TODAY IS NOT TO DEBATE OR RESOLVE SPECIFIC CASES. WE WERE ASKED TO GAIN IDEAS ON HOW TO IMPROVE THE REGULATORY SYSTEM.

THE GROUND RULES FOR THIS MEETING WILL BE THAT PERSONS WHO DESIRE TO SPEAK WILL NEED TO CHECK IN AT THE FRONT TABLE. A NUMBER WILL BE GIVE TO YOU IF YOU DESIRE TO SPEAK. YOU DO NOT NEED TO PROVIDE YOUR NAME TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. AS I SAID EARLIER, WE WILL BEGIN WITH THE PRESENTATION OF TVA AND THEN THE TVA'S IG. AFTER OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THIS PRESENTATIONS, I WILL CALL THE SPEAKERS TO THE MICROPHONE BY NUMBERS. THE SPEAKERS WILL BE INITIALLY ALLOCATED ABOUT TEN MINUTES TO MAKE THEIR PRESENTATIONS. AT THE END OF THE TIME THAT THE SPEAKER IS NOT FINISHED, I WILL ASK THE SPEAKER TO CONCLUDE HIS OR HER REMARKS. DURING OR AFTER EACH

PRESENTATION WE MAY ASK QUESTIONS TO MAKE SURE WE UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN OR ISSUE. WE DO NOT INTEND, TO DEBATE OR MERIT YOUR COMMENTS. PLEASE DO NOT TAKE OUR SILENCE TO MEAN THAT WE EITHER DISAGREE OR AGREE WITH THE COMMENTS. IF AFTER ALL PERSONS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN SPEAKING HAVE MADE THEIR INITIAL REMARKS AND THERE IS TIME LEFT OVER, PEOPLE INTERESTED IN MAKING ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, WE WILL GO THROUGH A SECOND ROUND OF COMMENTS.

5

6

9

24

WE RECOGNIZE THAT THERE MAY BE SOME HERE THIS MORNING WHO MAY NOT BE COMFORTABLE IN SPEAKING BEFORE THIS AUDIENCE. SPEAKING TODAY IS A PROTECTED ACTIVITY. I WANT TO REMIND THE SPEAKERS, AS WELL AS ANYONE ELSE THAT'S HERE TODAY, IF THEY HAVEN'T SUBMITTED COMMENTS, WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS BEING SUBMITTED TO US. WE HAVE FORMS AT THE FRONT TABLE WITH POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPES IF YOU DESIRE TO PROVIDE COMMENTS TO US.

WE WELCOME EACH OF YOU HERE TODAY, AND I APPRECIATE YOU TAKING THE TIME TO BE WITH US. I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE CLEVELAND STATE COMMUNITY COLLEGE FOR MAKING THIS FINE AUDITORIUM AVAILABLE TO US.

THIS IS A TRANSCRIBED MEETING. AS I SAID EARLIER, SPEAKERS DO NOT NEED TO IDENTIFY THEMSELVES

BUT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF EACH SPEAKER PROVIDED SOME BACKGROUND ON THEIR PAST INVOLVEMENT WITH THE INDUSTRY.

WITH THAT, WE'LL BEGIN WITH THE DISCUSSIONS, AND ASK TVA TO COME FORWARD.

THE SPEAKER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

24

25

GOOD MORNING, AND WELCOME TO TENNESSEE VALLEY. MY NAME IS MARK MEDFORD, I AM VICE PRESIDENT OF TECHNICAL SUPPORT OF TVA.

I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THE TOPIC WHICH HAS RECEIVED MUCH ATTENTION BY TVA MANAGEMENT OVER THE LAST SEVERA', YEARS; THAT TOPIC BEING FOSTERING AN ATMOSPHERE AT TVA FOR WORKERS TO FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES.

I'M GOING TO TOUCH ON SEVERAL KEY POINTS AND BRIEFLY DESCRIBE RELEVANT HISTORY, OUR RENEWED COMMITMENT TO EMPLOYEES, OUR EMPLOYEE CONCERN PROGRAM, HOW OUR CONTRACTORS FIT INTO THESE PROGRAMS AND OUR TOOLS FOR MEASURING EFFECTIVENESS.

I'VE BEEN A MEMBER OF THE TVA NUCLEAR POWER SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM FOR OVER FOUR YEARS. IN THAT TIME, I'VE SEEN SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS IN OPENING THE LINES OF COMMUNICATION BETWEEN WORKERS AND SUPERVISORS. FIVE YEARS AGO, WE HAD A LARGE NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS RAISED BY EMPLOYEES. THESE INCLUDED COMPLAINTS THAT WORKERS WERE INTIMIDATED AND HARASSED FOR RAISING SAFETY QUALITY ISSUES. OUR WAY OF ADDRESSING COMPLAINTS AT THAT TIME WAS TO TREAT EACH ONE AS IF THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE. WE DID NOT LOOK AT THE BIG PICTURE, AND THAT'S WHY WE HAD SO MANY COMPLAINTS. IN SHORT, TVA HAD NO METHOD OF DETERMINING IF OVERALL OUR EMPLOYEES WERE BEING TREATED FAIRLY. ONCE WE ANALYZED THE SITUATION, WE BEGIN TO MAKE SOME CHANGES IN THE WAY WE DO BUSINESS. WE CENTRALIZED RESPONSIBILITY RESULTING IN ALLEGATIONS OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT. WE GAVE MANAGERS AND SULERVISORS ADDITIONAL TRAINING. WE REFERRED EMPLOYEE ALLEGATIONS OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT FOR RAISING SAFETY ISSUES TO THE TVA INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR INVESTIGATION. WE DISCIPLINED SUPERVISORS WHO TREATED EMPLOYEES IMPROPERLY. WE STRESSED THAT INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT FOR RAISING SAFETY CONCERNS WOULD NOT BE TOLERATED AT TVA. WE ALSO BEGAN TO AGGRESSIVELY COMMUNICATE TO EMPLOYEES HOW IMPORTANT IT IS FOR THEM TO RAISE SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES. WE REMINDED EMPLOYEES OF THE POLICY PUT IN PLACE BY THE TVA BOARD WHICH ENCOURAGES EMPLOYEES TO BRING FORWARD ISSUES REGARDING ALL ASSETS OF TVA OPERATIONS. WE STRESSED THAT EVERY RESPONSIBLE VIEW IS VALUABLE AND SHOULD

3

21

12

14

16

24

25

BE HEARD AND CONSIDERED. WE COMMUNICATED THESE POLICIES THROUGH GENERAL EMPLOYEE TRAINING, SPECIAL BULLETINS POSTED, AND MOST IMPORTANT: DIRECTING MEETINGS BETWEEN EMPLOYEES AND SUPERVISORS.

1

3

6

9

14

18

21

24

25

WE REMINDED EMPLOYEES THAT THE PREFERRED METHOD OF RESOLVING ISSUES IS WITH SUPERVISION. THE BENEFITS OF THIS IS CLEAR, IT ALLOWS PROMPT, EFFICIENT AND EFFECTIVE CORRECTIVE ACTION. IT ALSO REINFORCES ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT RELATIONSHIPS IN THE WORK PLACE, THAT BETWEEN EMPLOYEE AND SUPERVISOR. THE RESULT HAS BEEN THAT MORE AND MORE ISSUES AT TVA ARE RESOLVED THROUGH NORMAL CHANNELS; THAT IS, AMONG CO-WORKERS AND BETWEEN EMPLOYEE AND SUPERVISOR.

EVEN THOUGH PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE, TVA IS CONTINUALLY LOOKING FOR WAYS TO IMPROVE IN THIS AREA. ONE WAY, OUR TOTAL QUALITY PROGRAM STARTED ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO. TWO ELEMENTS OF THAT PROGRAM WERE RELEVANT TO THIS SUBJECT: FIRST, EMPLOYEE POWERMENT AND SECOND, PARTICIPATED MANAGEMENT. BOTH ENCOURAGED COMMUNICATION BETWEEN EMPLOYEES AND THEIR SUPERVISORS. I'LL COME BACK TO THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EMPLOYEE SUPERVISOR RELATIONSHIP LATER.

ANOTHER WAY TO IMPROVE THE SYSTEM IS UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS. TVA SENIOR

MANAGEMENT TEAM HAS SET TVA'S PRIORITY WAS FOR COMING HERE. A PRIMARY GOAL IS TO PUT EMPLOYEES FIRST AT TVA. THE BOARD'S COMMITMENT TO THIS GOAL IS DEMONSTRATED BY THEIR RESIGNATION OF ALL THE PEOPLE PRESENTLY IN THIS ROOM IS PRO-LEADER IN THIS EFFORT.

3

4

5

6

8

11

16

18

24

BY ESTABLISHING THE GOAL OF THE EMPLOYEE FIRST, THE TVA BOARD AND ITS SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT TVA'S CORE STRENGTH ISN'T WORKING. IN A NUCLEAR PROGRAM THIS MEANS THAT WORKERS ARE EXPECTED TO RAISE SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES AND THAT WHEN THEY RAISE THOSE ISSUES, NO ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES WILL RESULT. THE BEST -- THE PREFERRED PROCESS FOR RESOLVING SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES IS THROUGH SUPERVISION AND NOT THE NEED TO TAKE THE PROBLEM ON.

ONE OF OUR MOST IMPORTANT SAFETY NETS IS HOWEVER, IS OUR EMPLOYEES IDENTIFYING ISSUES THAT COULD EFFECT THE PLANTS THROUGH OTHER AVENUES. BECAUSE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THESE ISSUES, WE HAVE AVENUES INDEPENDENT OF LINE MANAGEMENT.

FIRST, TVA HAS A DEDICATED CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF WITHIN THE GENERATING ROOM. SECOND, THE TVA INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE MAINTAINS A HOT LINE AND IS OTHERWISE AVAILABLE TO EMPLOYEES WHO WISH TO

RAISE SAFETY ISSUES ABOUT THE CONDUCT OF TVA ACTIVITIES.

1

3

d.

5

6

8

14

18

24

25

IN THE EVENT EMPLOYEES FEEL THEY CANNOT RESOLVE THEIR ISSUES WITHIN TVA, THEY ARE REMINDED THAT ISSUES CAN BE RAISED DIRECTLY WITH THE NRC, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE AGENCIES OF ANY KIND.

THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM HAS BEEN IN PLACE SINCE 1985. IT IS THE PRIMARY MEANS FOR EMPLOYEES AND CONTRACTORS WHO ARE HESITANT TO APPROACH LINE MANAGEMENT TO RAISE SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES ABOUT NUCLEAR PLANTS. TVA MANAGEMENT STRONGLY SUPPORTS THIS PROGRAM. BECAUSE OF ITS IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO THE TOPIC OF THIS MEETING, I'D LIKE TO PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF HOW IT WORKS.

THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM DEALS WITH TWO PRIMARY TYPES OF ISSUES. THE FIRST IS THE RESOLUTION OF ISSUES WHICH EFFECT THE SAFETY, QUALITY AND RELIABILITY OF OPERATIONS AT TVA NUCLEAR PLANTS. SECOND, THE PROGRAM NUCLEAR POWER'S FOCAL POINT FOR ALLEGATIONS THAT ARE MADE BY EMPLOYEES WHO HAD RAISED SAFETY OR QUALITY ISSUES. THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF IS ALSO AVAILABLE FOR EMPLOYEES TO DISCUSS OTHER ISSUES. THE STAFF LISTENS AND ASSISTS THE EMPLOYEE OF FINDING THE RIGHT CHANNEL FOR RESOLVING THE ISSUE. AN EXAMPLE OF THIS LAST CATEGORY IS EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY. THE SIMPLE STRUCTURE AND REPORTING CHAIN OF THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF HAS PROVEN TO BE EFFECTIVE. THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF IS A FULL TIME STAFF INDEPENDENT OF LINE MANAGEMENT. THE STAFF REPORTS DIRECTLY TO ME AND HAS DIRECT ACCESS TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE GENERATOR.

1

3

6

7

24

25

THERE ARE ON SITE STAFFS HEADED BY SITE REPRESENTATIVES WHO REPORT TO MANAGERS OF THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF. SITE REPRESENTATIVES INTERACT DIRECTLY WITH SENIOR SITE MANAGEMENT, JUST AS THE MANAGER OF THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF INTERACTS DIRECTLY WITH THE SENIOR NUCLEAR POWER MANAGEMENT.

ANOTHER KEY FOR EFFECTIVENESS IS THE STAFF WE EMPLOY. WE HAVE FOUND THAT THE NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE, LINE MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE, AND INVESTIGATIVE EXPERIENCE ARE THE TARGET OF BACKGROUNDS FOR PERSONNEL EVALUATING THE VARIETY OF ISSUES RAISED. THROUGH THE STAFF OF ROTATION METHOD WE FOUND THIS HELPFUL BECAUSE IT BRINGS NEW IDEAS IN THE PROGRAM AND ENHANCES THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE INDIVIDUALS. THE PROGRAM WAS SET UP IN SUCH A WAY TO HELP EMPLOYEES FEEL COMFORTABLE IN BRINGING

ISSUES FORWARD. FOR EXAMPLE, EMPLOYEES CAN EXPRESS ISSUES TO THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF IN CONFIDENCE, OR IF THEY CHOSE EVEN ANONYMOUS. THE CONCERNS RESOLUTIONS STAFF RECEIVES ISSUES THROUGH MANY SOURCES SUCH AS DIRECT INTERVIEWS, PHONE CONTACT, MAILERS AND EXIT INTERVIEWS.

6

14

15

21

THE METHOD OF INVESTIGATING TECHNICAL ISSUES IS DEPENDED ON THE ISSUE AND THE EMPLOYEE INVOLVED. SOME ISSUES ARE INVESTIGATED DIRECTLY BY THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF. OTHER ORGANIZATIONS ARE OFTEN CALLED UPON TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATIONS IN ORDER TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE BREATH OF TECHNICAL EXPERTISE AVAILABLE WITHIN TVA. WHEN SUCH REFERRALS ARE MADE, THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE INVESTIGATION ARE SIGNIFICANT CONSIDERATIONS.

DECISIONS ON HOW BEST TO INVESTIGATE ISSUES ARE MADE CASE BY CASE FROM THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF USING ESTABLISHED GUIDELINES. ALLEGATIONS OF INTIMIDATION OR HARASSMENT ARE REFERRED TO TVA'S OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR INVESTIGATION. THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF INVESTIGATION HAS PROVEN VALUABLE TO THE NUCLEAR CONFIDENCE. ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT WAY THE PROGRAM MAINTAINS EMPLOYEE CONFIDENCE AND TRUST IS THROUGH FEEDBACK

FROM THE EMPLOYEE. IF REQUESTED BY THE EMPLOYEE, FEEDBACK IS PROVIDED ON THE PROGRESS AND INVESTIGATION AS WELL AS ADVERSE CONCLUSIONS.

3

R

14

24

25

TVA RECOGNIZED THAT ALL PERSONNEL WORKING ON TVA NUCLEAR PROJECTS MUST HAVE THE FREEDOM AND CONFIDENCE TO REPORT SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES. THIS APPLIES TO CONTRACT EMPLOYEES AS WELL AS TVA EMPLOYEES. THEREFORE, TVA INSTITUTED THE NUMBER OF ISSUES REGARDING OUR CONTRACTORS IN 1986. RELEVANT CONTRACTORS CONTAINED SPECIFIC LANGUAGE REGARDING EMPLOYEE PROTECTED ACTIVITIES. SPECIFICALLY. CONTRACTS WHICH SERVICES THE TVA NUCLEAR PLANTS REQUIRE THE CONTRACTOR TO APPLY THE SECTION 211 TO THE ENERGY REORGANIZATION ACT. TO AGGRESSIVELY PURSUE AND INVESTIGATE ANY EMPLOYEE ALLEGATION OR DISCRIMINATIONS FOR RAISING SAFETY OR QUALITY ISSUES HAVE AND TO COMPLY WITH TVA'S CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM. REQUIRING CONTRACTORS TO COMPLY WITH OUR CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM IS SIGNIFICANT. CONTRACTORS ARE REQUIRED TO, FIRST, MAINTAIN A WORK ENVIRONMENT FREE OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT. AND SECOND. TO RESPOND QUICKLY TO SAFETY OR QUALITY ISSUES RAISED BY EITHER THEIR EMPLOYEE OR SUB CONTRACT EMPLOYEE.

CONTRACTORS PROVIDING TECHNICAL SUPPORT ARE

ALSO REQUIRED TO PROVIDE A MECHANISM TO THEIR EMPLOYEES AND SUBCONTRACT EMPLOYEES TO REPORT SAFETY OR QUALITY ISSUES, TO CONDUCT AN ORIENTATION TO THESE EMPLOYEES AND TO KEEP TVA INFORMED OF TECHNICAL ISSUES AND ALLEGATIONS OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT.

1

2

4

7

8

19

24

25

AS AN ADDED MEASURE OF ASSURANCE, CONTRACT EMPLOYEES HAVE ALSO CONTACTED TVA'S CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF DIRECTLY. TVA DOES NOT STOP THEM. WE ALSO MONITOR THE CONTRACTING PERFORMANCE AGAINST TVA'S HIGH STANDARDS OF EXPECTATIONS.

TVA'S CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF OVERSEES IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITIES. THIS IS ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH ROUTINE INTERFACES, PERIODIC REPORTS AND FORMAL REVIEWS. TVA HAS A NUMBER OF TOOLS FOR ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM. THESE INCLUDE THE RESULTS OF ASSESSMENTS, TRENDS OF ISSUES AND FEEDBACK FROM EMPLOYEES. AS I MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION REASSESSES THE CONTRACTING PROGRAM. TVA CONDUCTS OTHER SELF ASSESSMENTS THROUGH NUCLEAR QUALITY ASSURANCE AND THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

IN ADDITION TO INTERNAL ASSESSMENTS, THE NRC ALSO INSPECTS THE PROGRAM. THE TRENDS OF EMPLOYEE

CONCERNS ARE MONITORED BY THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF. THE TRENDS ARE ANALYZED AND ARE PROVIDED TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT ON A MONTHLY BASIS ALONG WITH SUMMARIES OF SIGNIFICANT ISSUES. IN ADDITION, WE MONITOR THE TOTAL COMPLAINTS DOCUMENTED IN ALL EMPLOYEE PLACEMENTS SUCH AS THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM, UNION GRIEVANCES, EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPFORTUNITY, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND THE MERIT SYSTEM PROTECTION. FEEDBACK FROM EMPLOYEE'S IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. WE SOLICIT EMPLOYEES VIEWS DURING THE EXIT PROCESS BY WRITTEN QUESTIONNAIRES. THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF CONDUCTS RANDOM SURVEYS FROM EMPLOYEES DURING REVIEWS. TVA'S OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL AND THE NRC HAVE ALSO CONDUCTED SURVEYS DURING THEIR AUDITS OF INSPECTIONS. THE FEEDBACK DATA IS ASSESSED TO HELP MEASURE PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS AND IDENTIFY POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENTS. THE INDICATORS POINT TO SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS. ASSESSMENTS CONSTANTLY INDICATE THAT THE SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES ARE ADEQUATELY RESOLVED THROUGH OUR PROGRAM. THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF TOTAL COMPLAINTS FOR EMPLOYEES FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS HAS BEEN ABOUT A TENTH OF THE AVERAGE OF THE PREVIOUS FIVE.

1

4

6

7

14

18

24

25

IN RECENT NRC SURVEYS OF TWO OF OUR SITES WE HAVE FOUND THAT GREATER THAN NINETY-FIVE PERCENT OF

OUR EMPLOYEES WOULD RAISE SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES TO THEIR SUPERVISORS. WE ATTRIBUTE THIS PROGRESS TO THE IMPROVEMENTS WHICH I MENTIONED EARLIER: MORE SENIOR MANAGEMENT INVOLVEMENT, CENTRALIZATION OF RESPONSIBILITY, TRAINING, COMMUNICATION OF EXPECTATIONS, AND BETTER INVESTIGATIVE TECHNIQUES. WE HAVE, HOWEVER, RECOGNIZED THAT IMPROVEMENTS IN THIS AREA MUST BE ONGOING. WE CONTINUE TO LOOK FOR WAYS TO ENHANCE OUR PROGRAM. OUR ULTIMATE GOAL IS A WORKING ENVIRONMENT WHERE ALL ISSUES ARE RESOLVED THROUGH LINE MANAGEMENT.

3

4

5

8

24

EARLIER I NOTED THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EMPLOYEE SUPERVISOR INTERFACE IN MAKING PROGRESS TOWARD THE ULTIMATE GOAL OF RESOLVING ISSUES THROUGH LINE MANAGEMENT. I ALSO NOTED THAT THERE'S EXTREMES OF THE EMPLOYEE, SUPERVISOR REMEDIES. THESE EXTREMES CAN BE CHARACTERIZED ON THE ONE END THE MANAGERS DO NOT MAINTAIN HEALTHY WORKING ENVIRONMENTS; AND AT THE OTHER EXTREME INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEES WHO ABUSE THE PROCESS. WE MUST CONTINUE TO WORK ON THESE EXTREMES. THERE IS, HOWEVER, A LARGE AREA BETWEEN THE TWO EXTREMES. IT IS IN THIS AREA THAT TVA AND THE NRC HAVE A SIGNIFICANT OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE PROGRESS. MANY OF OUR INITIATIVES HAVE BEEN FOCUSED TOWARD RETURNING THE RESOLUTION OF ISSUES TO LINE

MANAGEMENT. THE NRC CAN DO MUCH TO SUPPORT THIS GOAL BY ALLOWING UTILITIES FLEXIBILITY TO CONTINUE TO MAKE PROGRESS ON THEIR OWN, AND BY ENCOURAGING EMPLOYEES TO USE INTERNAL PROCESSES.

I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE MY THOUGHTS WITH YOU ON THIS IMPORTANT SUBJECT. MR. LIEBERMAN:

3

6

24

25

THANK YOU. WE HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS. THESE QUESTIONS ARE FOCUSED IN SOME DEGREE ON TVA. BUT WITH THE LICENSEES IN GENERAL. WHAT SPECIFICALLY, CAN LICENSEES DO TO HAVE THEIR SUPERVISORS ENCOURAGE WORKERS TO RAISE ISSUES AND HAVE THESE SUPERVISORS EXPECT PEOPLE WHO HAVE A QUESTION TO ADD OR TO RAISE ISSUES? THE SPEAKER:

I MENTIONED EARLIER THE ENVIRONMENT THAT WE FACED FIVE YEARS AGO AND THE IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED AT THAT TIME, AND THE FIRST AND FOREMOST THING THAT UTILITIES CAN DO TO PROVIDE SUPERVISORS WITH THE APPROFRIATE AMOUNT OF TRAINING IS HOW TO DEAL WITH PERSONNEL. I THINK OFTEN, PARTICULARLY, IN TECHNICAL FIELDS, WE BRING PEOPLE IN TO SUPERVISION AND MANAGEMENT WHO ARE EXTREMELY WELL QUALIFIED TECHNICALLY AND CANNOT NECESSARILY RECEIVE THE PROPER AMOUNT OF TRAINING IN MANAGEMENT AND

SUPERVISION. SO THAT'S THE FIRST THING THAT THE UTILITY OUGHT TO DO.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

3

A

5

8

7

8

9

14

18

19

24

25

WOULD YOU PROVIDE INCENTIVES OR DISINCENTIVES TO SUPERVISORS? FIRST LINE SUPERVISORS PROBABLY HAVE THE MOST DIFFICULT JOB OF ANYONE. THEY HAVE TO BE TECHNICAL. EVERYTHING FOCUSES ON THE FIRST LINE SUPERVISORS. THE SUPERVISOR HAS A LOT OF ISSUES TO DEAL WITH. THIS IS ONE ISSUE. WHAT DO YOU DO BESIDE SCREENING TO HELP THE SUPERVISOR DO THEIR JOB?

THE SPEAKER:

WE RECENTLY IMPLEMENTED WHAT I THINK IS A FIRST RATE PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM FOR THE SUPERVISORS AND MANAGERS. INCLUDED IN THE TRACE THAT WE EVALUATE PEOPLE, AND I'M TALKING ALL THE WAY FROM FIRST LINE SUPERVISION TO OFFICERS, ARE A NUMBER OF HUMAN RESOURCES RELATED CHARACTERISTICS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE ABILITY TO COACH AND DEVELOP INDIVIDUALS. I THINK THAT PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM IS THE KEY IN REINFORCING THE TRAINING THAT I TALKED ABOUT EARLIER.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

HOW ABOUT WITH WORKERS. WHAT INCENTIVES, IF ANY, DO YOU PROVIDE THE WORKERS, OTHER THAN DOING THE JOB RIGHT, TO ENCOURAGE THESE PEOPLE WHO RAISE ISSUES?

THE SPEAKER:

1

2

34

4

5

6

14

18

24

THE PROGRAM IS LAID OUT TO ENCOURAGE THE RESOLUTION OF ANY ISSUE THAT'S BROJGHT IN THE PROGRAM TO PROTECT TO THE EXTENT THE EMPLOYEE WANTS IT WITH THEIR ANONYMITY. ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH SINGLING PEOPLE OUT IN RECOGNIZING THEM IS IT KIND OF RUNS COUNTER TO THE CONFIDENTIALITY IN ANONYMITY THAT WE WANT TO ALLOW IS NOT ENCOURAGED IN THE PROGRAM. SO THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION, THE SUCCESSFUL RESOLUTION OF THE ISSUE IS THE PRIMARY ENCOURAGEMENT.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

SO DO YOU HAVE A NEWS LETTERS OR REPORTS WHERE YOU'VE SAID AN EMPLOYEE, RATHER THEY GIVE YOU A NAME OR NOT, HAVE RAISED AN ISSUE AND WE ARE ALL BETTER OFF BECAUSE OF IT?

THE SPEAKER:

WE HAVE DONE THAT IN THE PAST AND WILL CONTINUE TO DO SO WHERE WE RECOGNIZE SUCH A RESOLUTION. IN FACT, I'LL MENTION ONE HERE THAT I THINK THE WHOLE MANAGEMENT TEAM WOULD ACKNOWLEDGE, IT WAS A GREAT BENEFIT OF THE PROGRAM. WE WERE DOING SOME WORK IN SEQUOYAH OUTAGE ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF AGO THAT INVOLVED ASBESTOS. WE HAD INADEQUATE PROTECTION OF THOSE WORKERS WHO WERT DOING THAT WORK. THE ISSUE OF THAT INADEQUATE PROTECTION WAS RAISED THROUGH OUR EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM AND IT WAS PROMPTLY RESOLVED, AND THAT WAS COMMUNICATED WIDELY. MR. LIEBERMAN:

SO DO YOU USE AN AWARD PROGRAM AT ALL? DO YOU FROVIDE MONETARY REWARDS OR EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH REWARD, OR ARE THERE AWARDS THAT INVOLVE THESE ISSUES?

THE SPEAKER:

3

14

24

WE DO NOT PROVIDE REWARDS SOLELY FOR THE IDENTIFICATION ISSUE. WHERE AN ISSUE IS IDENTIFIED AND APPROPRIATELY RESOLVED THE WORKING GROUP THAT WINDS UP RESOLVING THAT PROBLEM, THERE IS A REWARD PROGRAM THAT INCLUDES MONETARY REWARDS FOR THAT. MR. LIEBERMAN:

18I KNOW YOU HAVE LOTS OF PROGRAMS ON PAPER AND I19KNOW YOU HAVE TRAINING PROGRAMS. HOW DOES A WORKER20KNOW THE MANAGEMENT REALLY SUPPORTS THIS EFFORT, THE21MANAGEMENT REALLY ENCOURAGES IT?

22 THE SPEAKER

A VARIETY OF WAYS. WE COMMUNICATE STARTING WITH THE EMPLOYEES INITIAL TRAINING AND GOING ON TO THE SITE. OUR POLICES WITH REGARD TO THE FACT THAT

INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. WE PROVIDE FREQUENT REMINDERS OF THAT AND WE HAVE FREQUENT VARIETY OF AVENUES FOR PEOPLE TO IDENTIFY ISSUES RELATED TO INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT. EMPLOYEES ARE ENCOURAGED FREQUENTLY TO BRING PROBLEMS FORWARD.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

5

6

DOES THE TOP MANAGEMENT MEET WITH EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYEE GROUPS TO TALK WITH EMPLOYEES WHO DEVELOP ISSUES AND TO DEMONSTRATE -- TALK ABOUT THESE ISSUES?

THE SPEAKER:

YES WE DO. AS A MATTER OF FACT, OLIVER
 KINGSLEY IS CONDUCTING A SERIES OF MEETINGS AT WATTS
 BAR TOMORROW. THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HE'LL
 TALK ABOUT.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

 18
 YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU HAVE VARIOUS WAYS TO

 19
 MEASURE WHETHER EMPLOYEES FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY

 20
 ISSUES. CAN YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC ON THE TECHNIQUES

 21
 USED?

22 THE SPEAKER:

25

23 COULD YOU REFEAT THE QUESTION FOR ME? 24 MR. LIEBERMAN:

HOW DO YOU GET THE EVIDENCE TO FORM A VIEW THAT

EMPLOYEES FEEL FREE TO RAISE SAFETY ISSUES, TO UTILIZE THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM AND UTILIZE LINE MANAGEMENT?

THE SPEAKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

R

9

PROBABLY THE MOST OBJECTIVE WAY IS THE SURVEYS WE DO THROUGH OUR OWN CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM, SURVEYS THAT THE INSPECTOR GENERAL DOES AND THE SURVEYS THAT THE NEC DOES. AS I MENTIONED IN MY TALK, THE NEC WITHIN THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS HAS DONE SURVEYS AT A FEW SITES. BOTH OF THOSE SURVEYS INDICATE -- ACTUALLY, IT'S NOW THREE SITES. ALL THREE OF THOSE INDICATED A STRONG DEGREE OF WILLINGNESS THAT EMPLOYEES BRING ISSUES FORWARD TO THEIR SUPERVISION.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

16FRANKLY, I'M SOMEWHAT CONCERNED -- WHEN NRC17DOES INTERVIEW THE PEOPLE. WE, THE NRC, REVIEW18THESE PEOPLE, THAT YOU MIGHT NOT HAVE ANY SPECIAL19RELATIONSHIP WITH -- AND WE ASK THEM, "ARE YOU20COMFORTABLE RAISING THE ISSUES WITH MANAGERS OR21WHOEVER?" IF THE EMPLOYEE IS REALLY UNCOMFORTABLE22RAISING AN ISSUE, IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME THEY ARE23GOING TO TELL NRC, A STRANGER, THAT THEY ARE, IN24FACT, UNCOMFORTABLE. DO YOU KNOW OF ANY WAY BY25WRITTEN SURVEYS OR OTHER TECHNIQUES THAT DOESN'T

DEPEND ON THE COMMUNICATION OF INDIVIDUALS FACE TO FACE?

THE SPEAKER:

WE DON'T DO WRITTEN SURVEYS ON A PERIODIC BASES FOR EXAMPLE, EVERY YEAR. WE HAVE IN THE PAST DONE 5 AT LEAST ONE WRITTEN SURVEY, AND THAT INTENDED TO CONFIRM THE RESULTS OF THE FACE TO FACE RESULTS WE GET. THE OTHER THING I MENTIONED IS WE DO EXIT INTERVIEWS WITH EVERY EMPLOYEE, FOR WHATEVER REASON, 9 LEAVES TVA OR AT LEAST WE OFFER THAT INTERVIEW THROUGH THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM. THAT, I THINK, IS A VALUABLE SOURCE. HOW WILLING PEOPLE ARE TO BRING FORWARD ISSUES BECAUSE CERTAINLY. THE INDIVIDUAL WHATEVER THEIR REASON FOR LEAVING. WHETHER IT IS THROUGH A REDUCTION IN FORCE OR THEY'RE GOING TO DO ANOTHER JOB AT THEIR ON ACCORD SHOULD FEEL FREE TO EXPRESS THEIR VIEW. THOSE RESULTS TEND TO CONFIRM THE OTHERS THAT I MENTIONED. 18 MR. LIEBERMAN:

GOING BACK TO THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM, DO YOU APPLY THESE STANDARDS TO RESOLVE THE ISSUES AS YOU WOULD IN A TECHNICAL ISSUE THAT MIGHT BE RAISED?

24 THE SPEAKER:

IF YOU MEAN DO WE COVER THE DOCUMENTATION WORK

	그 이는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것 같은 것은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은
1	BY THE SAME CRITERIA; THE ANSWER IS NO. IF YOU MEAN
2	DO WE HOLD THE RESOLUTION OR THE ISSUE TO A HIGH
3	LEVEL OF PROVE AND A HIGH LEVEL OF CERTAINTY; THE
4	ANSWER IS YES. IN ADDITION, I'LL MENTION MANY IN
5	FACT, MOST OF THE TECHNICAL ISSUES RAISED ARE
6	DOCUMENTED, AMONG OTHER THINGS, IN ADDITION TO
7	THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM, ARE DOCUMENTED IN
8	FILES COVERED BY OUR QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM SUCH
9	AS THE SIGNIFICANT CORRECTIVE ACTIONS.
10	MR. LIEBERMAN:
11	WHAT WOULD THE DIFFERENCE BE BETWEEN HOW A QA
12	WOULD RESOLVE AN ISSUE AS OPPOSED TO THE EMPLOYEE
13	CONCERN PROGRAM?
14	THE SPEAKER:
15	FROM A TECHNICAL FUNCTIONAL PROSPECTIVE THE
16	ANSWER IS ESSENTIALLY NONE. FROM A DOCUMENTATION
17	STANDPOINT YOU WOULD PROBABLY FIND FEWER SIGNATURES.
18	MR. LIEBERMAN:
19	IS THERE AN NEED FOR THE EMPLOYEE CONCERN TYPE
20	PROGRAM?
21	THE SPEAKER:
22	I THINK THERE'S CLEARLY A NEED FOR EMPLOYEE
23	CONCERNS PROGRAM AT SOME UTILITIES AND I WOULD
24	INCLUDE TVA IN THAT CATEGORY TODAY. AS I MENTIONED
25	EARLIER IN MY TALK, IT MIGHT BE THE ULTIMATE COAL

FOR THE INDUSTRY AND FOR EACH UTILITY INDIVIDUALLY IS TO GET TO A POINT IN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SUPERVISION AND EMPLOYEES WHERE SUCH PROGRAMS ARE NOT NECESSARY. I SHOULDN'T SAY THAT. WE'RE DEDICATED TO THE STAFF CONCERNS. IT'S DIFFICULT FOR ME TO ENVISION THE DAY WHEN WE WOULDN'T WANT TO HAVE A PROGRAM, BUT AS YOU ARE PROBABLY AWARE THERE ARE SOME UTILITIES THAT IMPLEMENT THEIR PROGRAM THROUGH NON-DEDICATED ORGANIZATION SUCH AS QA OR HUMAN RESOURCES. IN MY VIEW, THAT'S THE ULTIMATE GOAL. THERE ARE SOME UTILITIES WHICH ARE A WAYS AWAY FROM THAT.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

8

18

24

A RECENT TVA IC REPORT NOTED -- I CALL IT SIZABLE PERCENT DEVENTEEN PERCENT OR SO EMPLOYEES THOUGH'S THAT THE CONCERNS PROGRAM WAS INEFFECTIVE. ABOUT TWENTY-TWO PERCENT OF THE CONTRACTING EMPLOYEES WERE NOT EVEN AWARE OF THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM. WHAT'S YOUR RESPONSE TO THAT?

THE SPEAKER:

LET ME COMMENT ON THE SECOND STATISTIC FIRST. I THINK TVA IN TERMS OF ITS OWN CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM HAD DONE A GOOD JOB IN MAKING IT'S EMPLOYEE BASE AWARE OF THE PROGRAM IN IMPLEMENTING THE

PROGRAM. WE HAVE VARYING DEGREES OF MATURITY PROGRAMS AMONG OUR CONTRACTORS AND WE'RE GETTING THEM IN PLACE. IN FACT, THEY ARE IN PLACE ACROSS THE BOARD. BUT THOSE PROGRAMS ARE NOT AS MATURE AS OURS. THEY'RE NOT AS GOOD AS OURS, AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF THEIR WORKERS IS THAT THAT PROGRAM IS NOT AS GOOD AS IT IS AT OURS. THAT'S ONE OF THE CHALLENGES THAT BOTH THE ASSESSMENTS YOU'VE DONE AND THE ASSESSMENTS THAT WE'VE DONE INTERNALLY POINT OUT TO US.

WITH REGARD TO THE PERCENTAGE, SEVENTEEN PERCENT THAT YOU INDICATED THAT DO NOT THINK WE HAVE AN EFFECTIVE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM, THAT TROUBLES ME AS WELL. I THINK THAT SEVENTEEN PERCENT IS WRONG, AND I THINK WE NEED TO WORK ON THE ACCEPTANCE BY THE EMPLOYEES OF THE PROGRAM, BUT I FIND THAT PERCENTAGE HIGH AS WELL.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

1

8

15

18

25

WE HEARD LAST NIGHT AND WHEN WE RECEIVE COMMENTS ON THE ISSUE OF TVA IG, AND THE PERCEPTION OF THAT IS THAT IT'S NOT INDEPENDENT. YOU MENTIONED IN YOUR EARLIER REMARKS THAT YOU THOUGHT EMPLOYEES HAD CONFIDENCE IN TVA IG. WHY DO YOU FEEL THAT? THE SPEAKER:

FIRST, LET ME GIVE THE TESTIMONIAL FOR THE

INDEPENDENCE. FROM MY PROSPECTIVE THEY ARE QUITE INDEPENDENT. IN FACT, BECAUSE OF THAT INDEPENDENCE THE RESULTS THAT I'VE SEEN FROM IG INVESTIGATIONS HAVE RUN THE GAMUT. IN SOME CASES THEY 'VE EXONERATED MANAGEMENT, AND IN SOME CASES THEY INDICT THEM. WE HAVE MANAGERS WHO ARE NO LONGER WITH US IN LARGE PART BECAUSE OF THE FINDINGS OF THE TVA IG. MY BELIEF IS THAT THEY ARE VALUABLE AND THAT THEY ARE INDEPENDENT AND ARE A KEY PART OF THIS OVERALL FUNCTIONS THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE TODAY IS BASED ON HAVING WATCHED THEIR WORK AND HAVING SEEN THE RESULTS OF THEIR WORK. THEY ARE EXTREMELY PROFICIENT, AND I HAVE THE UTMOST RESPECT FOR THEM. MR. LIEBERMAN:

9

24

ONE OF THE ISSUES IN THIS AREA WE'RE DEALING WITH IS PERCEPTION, NOT JUST WHAT POLICIES ARE THERE OR HOW WELL IT WORKS, RATHER HOW THE EMPLOYEES FEEL A SYSTEM WORKS, AND WHETHER THE EMPLOYEES FEEL COMFORTABLE. ONE THING WE'RE STRUGGLING WITH IS HOW DO WE REALLY MEASURE HOW EMPLOYEES FEEL ABOUT THESE? ARE THERE ANY THOUGHTS ON HOW WE CAN GET A BETTER HANDLE ON THAT, OTHER THAN WHAT YOU SAID EARLIER? THE SPEAKER:

I CAN'T ANSWER THAT. THE ONE CONCEPT I'LL MENTION TO YOU, THOUGH, IS I THINK WE HAVE TO BE A

LITTLE CAREFUL IN WHAT WE DO IN ADDITION TO WHAT I'VE DESCRIBED. LIKE I SAID, I CANNOT SPEAK MORE HIGHLY OF THE IG, AND I WISH OUR EMPLOYEE BASE UNANIMOUSLY -- AGREEABLY, I RECOGNIZE THAT THEY DON'T. I WILL ALSO SAY AND AM CERTAIN, THOUGH, THAT I THINK THE VAST MAJORITY OF EMPLOYEES SHARE THE CONFIDENCE THAT I HAVE IN THE IG.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

4

5

6

8

9

14

18

24

25

BRIAN.

MR. GRIMES:

COUPLE OF BACKGROUND QUESTIONS. MAYBE YOU CAN PUT IN PROSPECTIVE FOR US, A NUMBER OF ITEMS THROUGH THE CONCERNS PROGRAM VERSUS THE NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT GO THROUGH THE NORMAL QUALITY PROCESSES. WHAT'S THE RELATIONSHIP THERE?

THE SPEAKER:

I CAN ONLY GIVE A PARTIAL ANSWER TO THAT. FIRST, LET ME TALK ABOUT THE NUMBERS THAT ARE NOW GOING THROUGH THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM. IN 1972, FOR THAT CALENDAR YEAR, WE HAVE SEVENTY-THREE ISSUES RAISED THPOUGH THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM. THIS YEAR WE -- I DON'T HAVE THE PRECISE NUMBER, BUT IT'S SLIGHTLY SMALLER THAN THAT. MR. GRIMES:

YOU MEANT '92?

THE SPEAKER:

2	I'M SORRY, '92. FOR THE 1993 TO DAT	E, IT'S A
3	SOMEWHAT SMALLER NUMBER THAN SEVENTY-THRE	E, BUT I
4	DON'T REMEMBER THE PRECISE NUMBER. IT'S	IMPOSSIBLE
5	FOR ME TO QUANTIFY THE NUMBER OF ISSUES T	HAT RESOLVE
6	THROUGH THE NORMAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROG	RAM. IT
7	WOULD BE MANY, MANY TIMES THAT, BUT I DON	'T HAVE A
8	QUICK WAY OF MEASURING.	
9	MR. GRIMES:	
10	YOUR NON-CONFORMANCES MOST BE MANY T	HOUSANDS?
11	THE SPEAKER:	
12	OH, THAT'S TRUE. THAT'S TRUE. BUT	ACTUALLY,
13	WHAT I THOUGHT YOU WERE LOOKING FOR IS A	NUMBER
14	THAT'S EVEN LARGER THAN THAT AND THAT'S T	HE ISSUES
15	THAT ARE ESSENTIALLY RESOLVED ON THE SPOT	. THEY ARE
16	RESOLVED BETWEEN THE EMPLOYEES AND THE SU	PERVISOR.
17	YES. I DON'T HAVE GOOD NUMBERS FOR THE T	OTAL NUMBER
18	OF ISSUES IN THE REGULAR ACTION PROGRAMS,	BUT IT'S
19	MANY, MANY TIMES FIFTY OR SIXTY OR SEVENT	Υ.
20	MR. GRIMES:	
21	YOU'VE MENTIONED THAT THE RATE IN TH	E LAST
22	COUPLE OF YEARS IS HALF OF THAT IN THE PR	EVIOUS
23	YEARS, IS THAT ALSO APPLY TO THE INTIMIDA	TION AND
-24	HARASSMENT CONCERNS?	
25	THE SPEAKER:	

YES, IT DOES. I CAN'T GIVE YOU THE NUMBER FOR THE PREVIOUS FIVE YEARS, BUT I CAN TELL YOU WHAT THE NUMBER IS FROM THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. IN 1992 WE HAD THIRTEEN ALLEGATIONS OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT. IN 1993 TO DATE, WE'VE HAD THIRTEEN. I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBERS FOR THE PREVIOUS FIVE YEARS, BUT THAT IS A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER.

MR. GRIMES:

2

3

5

6

8

14

16

24

LAST NIGHT WE HEARD SOME SUGGESTIONS FROM SOME EMPLOYEES THAT IT WOULD BE BETTER, IN TERMS OF THEIR PERCEPTION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROGRAMS, IF THE EMPLOYEES THAT RAISE THE CONCERNS WERE SOMEHOW INVOLVED IN THE RESOLUTION OF THESE CONCERNS? DO YOU HAVE ANY MEANS OF BRINGING IN THE PEOPLE THAT EXPRESSED CONCERNS IN WITH THE RESOLUTION PROCESS? THE SPEAKER:

THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL INVOLVEMENT ALREADY, OR AT LEAST A VEHICLE FOR IT. THE CONCERNED EMPLOYEE IS OFFERED THE OPPORTUNITY OF FEEDBACK, NOT ONLY AT THE END OF THE PROCESS BUT AS THE RESOLUTION IS ONGOING. IF THE -- A LOT DEPENDS ON THE NATURE OF THE EMPLOYEES REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY. IF WE HAVE A CASE WHERE THE EMPLOYEE SAYS, "I DON'T NEED CONFIDENTIALITY, YOU CAN USE MY NAME. YOU CAN REFER THIS BACK TO THE WORK GROUP THAT I'M A PART OF. I

WANT YOU, THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM, TO FACILITATE RESOLUTION OF THIS ISSUE WITHIN MY WORK GROUP." THEN, THERE'S EVERY OPPORTUNITY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL TO BE INVOLVED. OBVIOUSLY, IF THE INDIVIDUAL EVOKES CONFIDENTIALITY, ANYTHING BEYOND ADVISING THE INDIVIDUAL OF THE STATUS OF RESOLUTIONS ARE CLOSED.

MR. GRIMES:

2

3

4

6

8

9

ONE LAST QUESTION ON THE NRC INVOLVEMENT, NOT ONLY TVA BUT ACROSS THE NATION, WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ON HOW THE NRC SHOULD MEASURE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THESE PROGRAMS?

THE SPEAKER:

14WHAT I SEE IS THAT YOU'RE EFFECTIVE IN WHAT YOU15DO TODAY, AND THAT IS, YOU HAVE ACTIVE AUDITS. I16MENTIONED THE AUDITS OR ASSESSMENTS YOU'VE DONE AT17THREE OF OUR SITES IN THE RECENT MONTHS. I THINK18ALSO, AS YOU DO NOW, FOLLOWING THE INTERNAL19ASSESSMENTS THAT UTILITIES PERFORM. FOR THE REASON20I MENTIONED EARLIER, I AM HESITANT TO SUGGEST THAT21YOU DO A LOT MORE THAN THAT. ONE POSSIBILITY WOULD22BE SOME SORT OF MASS MAILING OF QUESTIONNAIRES FROM23THE NRC TO ALL NUCLEAR WORKERS OR ALL NUCLEAR24WORKERS AT CERTAIN UTILITIES. I'M NOT STRONGLY25OPPOSED TO THAT, BUT I THINK IT DOES HAVE THE

POSSIBILITY OF THROWING IN THE QUESTION, "WHY IS THE NRC DOING THIS? WHAT DOES THIS MEAN ABOUT

MANAGEMENTS WILINESS TO DEAL WITH ISSUES, ETCETERA?" MR. GRIMES:

THAT MIGHT HAVE SOME ADVANTAGES FROM THE STANDPOINT OF BEING OBSERVED AS MORE OBJECTIVE OR MORE OR LESS LIKELY TO IDENTIFY A PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL. DO YOU THINK THAT SORT OF INFORMATION WOULD THEN GET BACK TO THE UTILITY MANAGEMENT TO BE USED IN ANY CONSTRUCTIVE WAY TO IMPROVE THE PROGRAM? THE SPEAKER:

CERTAINLY ANY TYPE OF DATA DRIVEN ACTIVITY THAT COVERS THE ENTIRE EMPLOYEE BASE AS OPPOSED TO DEALING WITH SPECIFIC HANDLING OF IT, HAS SOME POTENTIAL FOR MANAGEMENT TO GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROGRAM.

MR. GRIMES:

1

3

1

5

6

14

24

ONE OTHER THING THAT JUST OCCURRED TO ME, YOU MENTIONED THE NEED FOR NRC TO ALLOW UTILITIES FLEXIBILITY. COULD YOU SPEAK SPECIFICALLY THE NEED FOR THE NRC REGULATIONS TO REQUIRE AN EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM OR THE NEED FOR A POLICY OR AN UNDESIRABILITY OF THE CONCERNS PROGRAM? THE SPEAKER:

I THINK THAT IT WOULD BE UNDESIRABLE FOR THE

NRC TO SPECIFY REQUIREMENTS IN THIS AREA EVEN STRONG SUGGESTIONS, AND THE REASON IF YOU LOOK ACROSS THE COUNTRY NOW, YOU'LL FIND A WIDE VARIATION IN THE NATURE IN THE WAY UTILITIES DEAL WITH THE NEED FOR A EMPLOYEE CONCERNS TYPE PROGRAM, AND I THINK THE REASON FOR THAT IS THE NEEDS VARY GREATLY FROM ONE UTILITY TO ANOTHER. I SUSPECT WHAT YOU'VE HEARD AT THE FOUR SITES WHERE YOU'VE HAD YOUR MEETINGS IS PROGRAMS OF QUALITY, AND MAKE SURE THAT SOME CHARACTERISTICS ARE VERY DIFFERENT IN THE WAY THEY ARE IMPLEMENTED, AND I THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE. MR. JOHNSON:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS, TO FOLLOW-UP ON SOME OF THE ONES THAT HAVE BEEN ASKED. YOU WERE GIVEN SOME STATISTICS ON SOME TYPICAL NUMBERS OF COMPLAINTS. ARE THOSE JUST TVA EMPLOYEES OR ARE THEY ALL COMPLAINTS INCLUDING THOSE OF THE CONTRACTORS? THE SPEAKER:

THE NUMBERS THAT I GAVE ARE ONLY FOR TVA
 EMPLOYEES. HOWEVER, AS I MENTIONED IN MY TALK, ONE
 OF THE THINGS THAT WE FOLLOW IS THE RATE I.E., THE
 THE NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS PER THOUSAND EMPLOYEES.
 THAT NUMBER FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS WAS HALF OF WHAT
 IT WAS IN THE PREVIOUS FIVE. THAT TAKES INTO
 ACCOUNT THE FACT THAT WE HAVE ON THE OUTSIDE SOMEONE

IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS.

WE ALSO LOOK AT TOTAL COMPLAINTS, INCLUDING COMPLAINTS REGISTERED BY CONTRACTORS, AND THOSE ARE GOING DOWN AS WELL. THE LAST TWO YEARS THE EXACT STATISTIC, I DON'T REMEMBER, IS FLAT. IT HASN'T GONE DOWN OVER THE 1993 TIME PERIOD, BUT COMPARING THE TOTAL -- I CALL IT THE TOTAL NUCLEAR WORKER BASE COMPLAINTS -- HAVE GONE DOWN COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS NUMBER.

MR. JOHNSON:

5

6

9

14

16

24

I THINK THAT BECOMES MORE IMPORTANT TO -- FOR YOU SPECIFICALLY. I GUESS YOUR POLICY HAS REEN TO SHIFT SOME CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES MORE TO CONTRACTORS AS OPPOSED TO TVA EMPLOYEES. SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT YOU REQUIRE TO CONTRACTORS THAT CERTAIN CONTRACTORS MUST HAVE AN EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAMS. WHAT ABOUT THE ONES THAT DON'T? THE SPEAKER:

THE ONES THAT DON'T, THEIR EMPLOYEES ARE FAMILIARIZED WITH THE TVA EMPLOYEE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM THAT IS GIVEN AS PART OF THEIR INITIAL TRAINING WHEN THEY COME ON TO THE NUCLEAR SITE.

MR. JOHNSON:

DO ALL PEOPLE THAT WORK ON SAFETY RELATED

ACTIVITIES GET THIS TRAINING?

THE SPEAKER:

1

4

5

6

7

9

3 I WON'T SAY ALL, BUT VIRTUALLY THEY ALL DO. MR. JOHNSON:

SO HOW DO YOU DEAL WITH THOSE WHO DON'T? THE SPEAKER:

> WE ALSO PUBLICIZED THE AVAILABILITY BOTH TO EMPLOYEES AND CONTRACTORS OF THE TVA CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM.

MR. JOHNSON:

OKAY. A DIFFERENT QUESTION, THE NRC -- CERTAIN ACTIVITIES THE NRC CONDUCTS ARE DIRECT FEE BILLABLE TO THE LICENSEE THAT THEY ARE WORKING ON: CERTAIN 14 INSPECTIONS AND CERTAIN LICENSING ACTION. WE DON'T DO THAT FOR ALLEGATIONS OR INVESTIGATIONS. WHAT ARE 16 YOUR VIEWS ON THAT ASPECT? DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE NRC SHOULD CHARGE UTILITIES FOR THE TIME THEY SPEND ON THE FOLLOWING UP ON ALLEGATIONS OR INVESTIGATIONS TO THAT DOCUMENT, TO THAT LICENSEE?

THE SPEAKER:

I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION. THE -- I HAVE A STRONG AVERSION TO THE NRC'S FEE SYSTEM TO BEGIN WITH, SO I HAVE DIFFICULTY ANSWERING THE QUESTION. 24 MR. JOHNSON:

WELL, GIVEN THAT DURING THE ENTIRE PROCESS WE

DO RECOUP OUR BUDGET FROM THE LICENSEES BASICALLY. THERE ARE CERTAIN ACTIVITIES THAT ARE DIRECTING PEOPLE, WHILE OTHERS ARE PUT INTO A GENERAL CHARGE THAT GOES TO OVERHEAD AND; THEREFORE, EVERYBODY IS CHARGED.

THE SPEAKER:

1

4

6

9

I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION ALL TO WELL, I ALSO UNDERSTAND YOUR FEE STRUCTURE ALL TO WELL. I GUESS, I DON'T SEE A HUGE PROBLEM WITH MAKING INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS A DIRECT BILLABLE ACTIVITY.

MR. JOHNSON:

I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND IT. SO YOU FEEL THAT WE SHOULD CHARGE AS AN EXAMPLE --

THE SPEAKER:

I DIDN'T SAY WE SHOULD. I SAID I DIDN'T HAVE A HUGE PROBLEM WITH IT.

18 MR. JOHNSON:

I GUESS THE LAST QUESTION I HAVE IS YOU
INDICATED THAT IN SOME INSTANCES WHERE YOU DO FIND A
PROBLEM WITH A SUPERVISOR OR A MANAGER YOU HAVE
TAKEN SOME ACTION IN THIS AREA. MY QUESTION IS DO
YOU PUBLICIZE THAT TO THE OTHER SUPERVISORS AND
EMPLOYEES OR DO YOU CONSIDER THAT TO BE A PRIVATE
TYPE OF PERSONNEL MATTER AND; THEREFORE, DO NOT



DISCRIMINATE THAT PUBLICLY?

THE SPEAKER:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

WE DON'T DISCRIMINATE INFORMATION CONCERNING SPECIFIC CASES. HOWEVER, WE DO MAKE VERY CLEAR IN COMMUNICATING WITH OUR SUPERVISORS AND MANAGEMENT AND CONSEQUENCES FOR INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT. THE REASON WE DON'T COMMUNICATE THE DETAILS IN SPECIFIC CASES IS THAT IT'S TOO EASY TO COMPROMISE THE INDIVIDUAL'S RIGHT TO PRIVACY. CONCERNED INDIVIDUALS HAVE A RIGHT TO PRIVACY AS DO MANAGERS INVOLVED.

MR. JOHNSON:

OKAY. THANK YOU.

MR. HAYES:

MR. MEDFORD, THE USE OF STATISTICS OFTEN ALLOW ROOM FOR A LOT OF PROBLEMS. THE STATISTICS OR THE ACTUAL COUNT OF THIRTEEN IN '92, ONE COULD LOOK AT THAT AND SAY THAT THE REASON THE RATIO IS GOING DOWN PER EMPLOYEES IS BECAUSE THE EMPLOYEES DON'T HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THE SYSTEM AND NO LONGER AVAIL THEMSELVES IN THAT SYSTEM AS OPPOSED TO THE SYSTEM IS BETTER. HOW DO YOU HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT YOUR SYSTEM IS BETTER AS OPPOSED TO THE EMPLOYEES JUST SAYING I NO LONGER HAVE ANY CONFIDENCE IN THE TVA MANAGEMENT? THE SPEAKER:

1.

I THINK THAT'S AN EXCELLENT QUESTION AND I APPRECIATE THE ABILITY TO ANSWER IT. ONE REASON 3 THAT I THINK THAT ONE REASON IS -- I TAKE A FAIR 4 AMOUNT OF OWNERSHIP IN WHAT WE DO IN THIS AREA. 5 ABOUT A YEAR AGO WE STARTED TRACKING TOTAL CONCERNS 6 RAISED, NOT JUST CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM ISSUES. I MENTIONED IN MY SPEECH A VARIETY OF OTHER ISSUES 8 INCLUDING UNION GRIEVANCES AS AN EXAMPLE. IN ADDITION TO THAT WE ALSO ATTEMPT TO TRACK. IT'S HARD 11 TO GET DATA, BUT WE ATTEMPT TO TRACK THE ALLEGATIONS RAISED IN THE NRC. IT'S MY OPINION THAT IF YOU TAKE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF NRC ALLEGATIONS, EMPLOYEE 14 CONCERNS AND CONCERNS RAISED THROUGH THESE OTHER FORMS THAT I'VE TALKED ABOUT, AND IF YOU SEE A DOWNWARD TREND IN THAT TOTAL NUMBER. THAT MEANS YOU'RE MAKING IMPROVEMENTS, AND WHEN WE DO THAT, WE SEE IMPROVEMENTS. MR. HAYES: ARE YOU SAYING YOU COLLECT THE DATA ON THE

UNIVERSE TO MEASURE AGAINST PER EMPLOYEE? THE SPEAKER:

23 THAT'S COKRECT. AND THAT'S GOING DOWNWARD?24 MR. HAYES:

AND THAT'S ALSO GOING ON THE LAST FIVE YEARS?

1	THE	SPEAKER:
2		THAT'S CORRECT.
3	MR.	HAYES:
4		THANK YOU.
5	MR.	LIEBERMAN:
6		I HAVE A FEW MORE QUESTIONS. CAN WE GET COPIES
7		OF YOUR CONTRACT LANGUAGE THAT YOU USE WHEN YOU
8		CONTRACT?
9	THE	SPEAKER:
10		WE'D BE GLAD TO PROVIDE THEM TO YOU.
11	MR.	LIEBERMAN:
12		I'M NOT LOOKING FOR THE NAMES OF YOUR
13		CONTRACTORS .
14	THE	SPEAKER:
15		YOU'D LIKE A COPY OF THE STANDARD CONTRACT
16		LANGUAGE?
17	MR.	LIEBERMAN:
18		RIGHT.
19		LAST NIGHT WE HEARD A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE
50		NRC SHOULD HAVE AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MADE UP OF
21		EMPLOYEES, WORKERS IN GENERAL, INCLUDING THOSE WHO
22		HAVE FILED A 211 COMPLAINTS AND HAVE BEEN
23		DISCRIMINATED AGAINST WHICH IS SOMETHING FOR US TO
24		CONSIDER. HAS TVA EVER CONSIDERED HAVING AN
25		ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF WORKERS TO HELP THEM GIVE YOU

INPUT ON YOUR PROGRAMS?

THE SPEAKER:

14

24

25

WE HAVE CONSIDERED THAT, AND WHILE WE DON'T USE COMMITTEES WE TRY TO OBTAIN THE INPUT FROM EMPLOYEES IN THIS AREA. THE REASON WHY WE HAVE AND WHY I WOULD ADVOCATE THE NRC THAT THEY MIGHT CONSIDER IT IS THAT WE ALREADY HAVE A FAIRLY COMPLEX SYSTEM OF PROTECTION IN THIS AREA IN A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT ENTITIES INVOLVED. YOU MENTIONED IN YOUR OPENING REMARKS THE DOL AND NRC. HOWEVER, THERE ARE A LARGE NUMBER OF OTHER AVENUES FOR EXPRESSION OF WRITING CONCERNS THAT GOES BEYOND THOSE TWO AGENCIES. IT'S OBVIOUS THAT WE HAVE SUBSTANTIAL AVENUES WITHIN TVA FOR EXPRESSING AN ISSUE. EVERY TIME WE HAVE ANOTHER AGENCY WE FURTHER RUN THE RISK OF REACHING THAT VERY IMPORTANT RELATIONSHIP THAT I MENTIONED IN MY TALK, BETWEEN THE EMPLOYEE AND SUPERVISOR. IT PUSHES THEM FURTHER AND FURTHER APART. IN MY VIEW THAT'S NEITHER GOOD FOR THE EMPLOYEE NOR FOR THE SUPERVISORS NOR, IN FACT, THE PUBLIC AND SAFETY. MR. LIEBERMAN:

ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE CURRENT SYSTEM OF PROTECTING EMPLOYEES? THAT'S SOMEWHAT OF A LOADED QUESTION, I'LL JUST GIVE IT TO YOU FROM OF MY PROSPECTIVE AND THEN YOU CAN RESPOND TO THAT: IS

THAT NRC ENCOURAGES A WORKERS TO RAISE ISSUES AND PROVIDE THEM TO THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMITTEE. AND WHEN THEY PERCEIVE THEY HAVE BEEN DISCRIMINATED AGAINST, AND THEY ARE CASES WHERE DISCRIMINATION HAS OCCURRED, THEY GO TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR DOES AN INVESTIGATION AND THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE EMPLOYEES NOT SATISFIED WITH THE HEARING. IT'S UP TO THE EMPLOYEE TO GET AN ATTORNEY; ATTORNEYS ARE EXPENSIVE. LICENSEES HAVE ATTORNEYS, AND THEN THAT ISSUE IS ADJUDICATED AND TAKES A YEAR OR SO -- A YEAR AND A HALF TO GET A DECISION BY THE ALJ. THEN IT TAKES ANOTHER SEVERAL YEARS FOR THE SECRETARY OF LABOR TO MAKE A FINAL DECISION. SOME PEOPLE FEEL THAT SUCH A PROCESS MEANS THAT THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T CARE, OTHERS FEEL THAT LITIGATION TAKES A LONG TIME ANYWAY. WHAT DO YOU THINK?

143

THE SPEAKER:

5

6

9

16

18

24

I SYMPATHIZE WITH YOUR FRUSTRATION WITH THE LENGTH OF TIME REQUIRED BY THE CURRENT SYSTEM AND SHARE THAT FRUSTRATION. IT IMPACTS US AS WELL. SPEAKING MORE AS MANAGERS, ONE OF OUR DESIRES IS PROMPT RESOLUTION OF ISSUES AND RESOLUTIONS AT THE LOWEST LEVEL POSSIBLE. IN FACT, THAT'S ONE REASON, BY THE WAY, WHY WE AGGRESSIVELY ATTEMPT WHERE WE SEE A BASIS FOR DOING SO IT ALL TO SETTLE THE EMPLOYEES EARLY IN THE PROCESS.

I DON'T, YOU KNOW, SHORT OF ASKING THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR TO WORK HARDER AND FASTER, I DON'T SEE A SOLUTION FOR THAT. I THINK FOR BOTH THE UTILITY AND FOR THE EMPLOYEE YOU NEED DUE PROCESS. I THINK THE PROTECTION IS IMPORTANT FOR BOTH SIDES IF NECESSARY. AGAIN, OTHER THAN ASKING DOL TO WORK FASTER, I DON'T SEE A SOLUTION.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

14

18

24

25

HOW ABOUT HAVING OR PROVIDING IMMEDIATE REINSTATEMENT INTO THE AREA OF ALL THESE FINDINGS OF DISCRIMINATION PENDING ANY ADJUDICATED ISSUE? THE SPEAKER:

I THINK THAT WOULD BE A MISTAKE. I THINK IT'S CERTAINLY APPROPRIATE FOR A UTILITY -- TO REQUIRE THEM WOULD BE A MISTAKE. I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR A UTILITY TO STRONGLY CONSIDER IT MAKING A STATEMENT OF SUCH A FINDING, BUT IF THE UTILITY, BASED ON ITS UNDERSTANDING OF THE FACTS, TRULY BELIEVES THAT REINSTATEMENT IS NOT APPROPRIATE, AND I THINK SUCH A MANDATE WOULD BE WRONG.

MR. GRIMES:

YOU MENTIONED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS, WE HEARD LAST NIGHT ONE CONCERN THAT PERHAPS A SETTLEMENT

AGREEMENT PREVENTED PEOPLE FROM RAISING SAFETY CONCERNS, THIS IS A WAY FOR PROVIDING SILENCE OF THE INDIVIDUAL. CAN YOU SPEAK A LITTLE BIT OF THE LANGUAGE IN YOUR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS WHEN YOU DO SETTLE?

THE SPEAKER:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

I'M NOT -- I WON'T CLAIM TO BE PRIVY TO EVERY DETAIL OF EVERY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WE'VE EVER SIGNED, BUT I'M GENERALLY FAMILIAR WITH THE TERMS OF IT, AND WITH A CERTAINTY OF PARTICULARLY FOR THOSE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS REACHED IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS, YOU WILL NOT FIND ANY LANGUAGE IN THOSE AGREEMENTS THAT PREVENTS EMPLOYEES OR FORCES EMPLOYEES FROM RAISING SAFETY ISSUES.

MR. GRIMES:

16 DO YOU THINK THE EMPLOYEES THAT DO ENTER THE 17 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS UNDERSTAND THAT THEY ARE STILL 19 ADVISED TO BRING FORWARD SAFETY CONCERNS? 19 THE SPEAKER:

I DON'T HAVE MUCH OBJECTIVE DATA ON THAT. I WOULD OFFER THE OPINION THAT IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A CONCERN.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

25

WELL, THERE CERTAINLY WAS A PERCEPTION LAST NIGHT OF THAT OCCURRING, AND I'M SURE YOU ARE AWARE

145

OF HAVING STRICT LANGUAGE WOULD BE SUBJECT TO A VIOLATION, THAT BEING THE ISSUE ONE WOULD WANT TO DEAL WITH.

4

6

8

9

MY LAST ISSUE RAISED IS THAT YOU SUGGESTED THAT NRC NOT HAVE SPECIFIC REGULATIONS OF EMPLOYEE CONCERN TYPE PROGRAMS. OBVIOUSLY THERE ARE SOME LICENSEES THAT HAVE PROGRAMS AND SOME LICENSEES DON'T HAVE PROGRAMS. THE REAL ISSUE IN OF ITSELF IS COMMUNICATION, AND I ADD TO THAT IF MANAGEMENT DESIRES TO HAVE A QUESTION ANSWERED, I THINK YOU WOULD FIND A BETTER LICENSEE, A MORE SUCCESSFUL LICENSEE, WHEN MANAGEMENT REALLY BELIEVES IN HAVING THE QUESTION ADDED TO AND REALLY ENCOURAGES WORKERS TO HAVE THAT QUESTION ASKED.

WHAT CAN THE NRC DO TO ENCOURAGE FOR THAT, OR ARE WE DEALING WITH THE SOLUTION BEFORE SEEKING THE PROBLEM? IS THERE A PROBLEM HERE THAT NRC SHOULD BE ADDRESSING, AND IF SO, HOW SHOULD NRC ADDRESS IT? THE SPEAKER:

LET ME ANSWER THAT TWO WAYS. I FIND THE CURRENT NRC ABILITY TO INSPECT AND AUDIT THE CONCERNS RESOLUTIONS PROGRAM AND EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM -- I FIND THAT YOU HAVE ADEQUATE BASIS FOR INSPECTION THERE AND THAT WHEN YOU FIND THE PROBLEMS YOU HAVE ADEQUATE BASIS FOR ENFORCEMENT. NOW, SO IF

THE QUESTION IS ARE THE CURRENT REQUIREMENTS AND PROGRAMS IN PLACE ON PART OF THE NRC AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ADEQUATE; MY ANSWER IS YES. 3 DOES THAT MEAN THAT ACROSS THE INDUSTRY AND 4 SPECIFICALLY TVA THAT I'M SATISFIED WITH WHERE WE ARE TODAY; THE ANSWER IS NO. AS I INDICATED IN MY 6 EARLIER REMARKS I THINK THAT WE ARE DEFINITELY ON AN IMPROVEMENT TREND. I WOULD EXPECT THAT IMPROVEMENT TREND TO CONTINUE, AND WE'RE GOING TO WORK VERY HARD 9 TO DO SO. I AGREE WITH YOUR REMARKS ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF A GOOD NUCLEAR MANAGEMENT IN TERMS OF QUESTIONING, ATTITUDE AND IN TERMS OF BEING 13 ACCEPTED FOR THOSE DISPUTES. MR. LIEBERMAN: 15 DOES THAT MEAN THAT YOU DON'T THINK THAT THE NRC SHOULD DO ANYTHING DIFFERENTLY THAN WHAT WE DO NOW? THAT'S CORRECT. MR. GRIMES: I GUESS I'M INTERESTED IN FOLLOWING IT CLOSELY WHERE THE NRC. AS A RESULT OF ITS INSPECTIONS AND KNOWLEDGE, FEELS THAT THERE ARE INADEQUACIES. 24 WHAT'S THE MOST EFFECTIVE WAY FOR THE NRC TO GET

THAT ACROSS THE LICENSEES AND MANAGEMENT?

THE SPEAKER:

3

8

14

24

25

THE CURRENT METHODS THAT YOU USE, AS YOU WELL KNOW, ARE NOTICES OF VIOLATION. IN SOME CASES CIVIL PENALTIES, DEPENDING ON THE NATURE OF THE FINDING. I THINK THAT CARRIES A VERY STIFF MESSAGE OVER TO THE UTILITY, ITS MANAGEMENT TEAM AND TO ITS EMPLOYEES.

MR. GRIMES:

SHOULD WE, FOR EXAMPLE, BE EMPHASIZING MORE MEETINGS WITH TOP NRC MANAGEMENT WITH BOARD'S OF DIRECTORS OR DO YOU THINK CIVIL PENALTIES ARE THE MOST EFFECTIVE?

THE SPEAKER:

I THINK, WHERE APPROPRIATE, CIVIL PENALTIES DELIVER THE MESSAGE WELL. NOW, I'M NOT ENCOURAGING YOU TO GO OFF ON A CIVIL PENALTY RAMPAGE.

THERE IS ONE THING THAT YOU COULD DO DIFFERENTLY THAN CURRENTLY THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL, AND THAT IS THE MORE OPEN COMMUNICATION OF STATISTICS IN REGARD TO ALLEGATIONS RAISED IN THE NRC BY THE EMPLOYEE BASE IN THE UTILITY. I SEE NO UTILITY IN SHARING THAT DATA WIDELY WITH THE WORLD. I DON'T HAVE A BIG HUGE PROBLEM WITH IT, EXCEPT THAT RAW DATA BY ITSELF CAN BE MISLEADING. BUT FOR THE REASON I MENTIONED EARLIER IN TERMS OF HAVING AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE TOTAL CONCERNS RAISED BY OUR EMPLOYEE BASED CAN BE VERY VALUABLE TO TVA AND TO FOR OTHER UTILITIES TO KNOW ON, SAY, A QUARTERLY BASIS THE NUMBER OF ALLEGATIONS RAISED AT EACH OF THEIR SITES.

MR. HAYES:

2

5

8

MR. MEDFORD, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE NEW RULE THAT MR. LIEBERMAN AUTHORED?

THE SPEAKER:

YES.

MR. HAYES:

WHICH, IN ESSENCE, ALLOWS THE COMMISSION TO
HOLD INDIVIDUALS ACCOUNTABLE TO A FINE OR REMOVAL.
WHAT IS YOUR OPINION OF THE NRC EXERCISING THAT
PARTICULAR RULE IN A MORE AGGRESSIVE FASHION?
UNDERSTANDING THAT IT'S A NEW RULE, AND WE HAVE
SUFFICIENT DATA TO EXERCISE THAT RULE. DO YOU THINK
THAT WOULD GO ALONG THE WAY IN EVEN MAKING A MORE
STRIKING MESSAGE TO THOSE MANAGERS THAT MIGHT NOT BE
INTIMIDATED ALSO?

2 THE SPEAKER:

24

I FOUND OVER THE YEARS THE NRC WILL NOT BE SHY , BOUT EXERCISING ITS ENFORCEMENT POWERS, SO I'M ALWAYS HEGITANT TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO EXERCISE THOSE

MORE VIGOROUSLY. I WILL, HOWEVER, SAY THAT THAT PARTICULAR RULE IN MY MIND APPLIES, AT LEAST THEORETICALLY, MORE IN THIS AREA THAN ADMITTED. DEPENDING ON THE LEVEL OF THE INDIVIDUAL INVOLVED AND THE VIOLATION, DEPENDING ON THE NATURE OF THE VIOLATION, WILLFUL MISCONSCIOUSNESS WOULD BE KEY ELEMENTS. I'M NOT OPPOSED TO THE USE OF THE USE OF THE RULE IN THIS AREA.

MR. HAYES:

4

5

8

8

10ONE OTHER QUESTION I HAVE IS I THINK A COMMON11THREAD THAT WE ALL RECOGNIZE IS THE LENGTH OF TIME12FOR THE RESOLUTION ONCE IT GETS INTO THE SYSTEM13THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, TVA AND THE NRC14PROCESSES, AND AS IT WORKS NOW WE HAVE THE15DEPARTMENT OF LABOR GOES THIS WAY, NRC IS GOING THIS16WAY. WOULD YOU BE AN ADVOCATE OF ADDING EITHER THE17DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OR THE NRC ASSUMING FULL AND18TOTAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESOLUTION OF19INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT CONCERNS?20THE SPEAKER:

 1
 THE PROBLEM WE HAVE HERE IN MY MIND IS THERE

 2
 ARE TWO DIFFERENT AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY, AND TWO

 3
 AGENCIES ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COVER THOSE

 4
 RESPONSIBILITIES. THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IS NOT

 5
 WELL EQUIPPED TO DEAL WITH THE TECHNICAL END OF THE

GIVEN PROBLEM, AND ON THE OTHER HAND, THE DEPARTMENT 1 OF LABOR HAS SOME CLEAR RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEALING WITH THE BEHAVIORAL INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT END OF THE PROBLEM. I'M NOT SAYING THAT THERE'S NO DIFFERENCE IN THE MIX OF RESPONSIBILITIES THAT CAN'T EE HANDLED IN APPROVED RESULTS AND THERE PROBABLY 6 IS. I DON'T HAVE THAT IN MIND, BUT I WOULD NOT BE AN ADVOCATE OF SAYING EITHER THE NRC OUGHT TO R TOTALLY PURSUE THIS AREA, OR IN TURN THE DOL. MR. LIEBERMAN: HAVING SAID THAT, DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS WITH DOL AND NEC INVESTIGATING THE SAME ISSUE AT MORE OR LESS THE SAME TIME? 14 THE SPEAKER: IT WOULD DEPEND ON THE COORDINATION OF THE ACTIVITY. I THINK HAVING BOTH TO INVESTIGATE, FOR 16 EXAMPLE. THE INTIMIDATION OR HARASSMENT ASPECTS OF A GIVEN CASE WOULD RUN THE GREAT RISK OF HAVING THE 18 TWO AGENCIES, DESPITE THE BEST INTENTIONS, STUMBLING ALL OVER EACH OTHER, AND WOULD PROBABLY GET A SUBSTANDARD RESULT COMPARED WITH WHAT ONE COULD DO ON HIS OWN. MR. LIEBERMAN:

> YOU MENTIONED IN RESPONSE TO ONE OF BRIAN'S QUESTIONS THAT YOU THOUGHT CIVIL PENALTIES WERE

24

25

EFFECTIVE. WE'VE HEARD A LOT OF PEOPLE TELL US THAT CIVIL PENALTIES OF A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IS ESSENTIALLY PENNIES COMPARED TO THE CAPITAL INVESTMENT OR THE INCOME OF UTILITIES. HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO THAT?

THE SPEAKER:

٩.

6

7

8

18

24

IT IS RELATIVELY SMALL COMPARED TO THE TOTAL INVESTMENT OF NUCLEAR POWER, THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT. BUT LARGE CIVIL PENALTIES -- FOR THAT MATTER, SMALL CIVIL PENALTIES ARE TAKEN EXTREMELY SERIOUS BY UTILITY MANAGEMENT. I'VE BEEN PART OF A MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE AT TWO UTILITIES. I CAN ASSURE YOU BOTH OF THOSE PAID A GREAT DEAL OF ATTENTION. YOU MENTIONED A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS. IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS. PENALTIES FAR LESS THAN THAT, TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS. FIFTY-THOUSAND DOLLARS, ANY VIOLATION INVOLVING CIVIL PENALTY -- IN FACT, LEVEL THREE VIOLATIONS THAT DON'T INVOLVE CIVIL PENALTIES ARE TAKEN VERY SERIOUS. I DON'T THINK -- THE PURPOSE IS NOT TO BRING THE UTILITY FINANCIALLY TO ITS KNEES. THAT SHOULD NOT BE THE PURPOSE OF THE ENFORCEMENT PROCESS. THE PURPOSE IS TO GET MANAGEMENT'S ATTENTION, AND I WILL ASSURE YOU THAT WHEN YOU HAVE A CIVIL PENALTY OF A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, YOU

HAVE OUR ATTENTION.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

WHY DO WE HAVE MORE ATTENTION TO THE CIVIL PENALTY TO ANY OTHER NRC VIOLATION THAT WOULD BE ISSUED?

THE SPEAKER:

3

4

6

YOU GET MORE BECAUSE, EVEN IN YOUR OWN
STRUCTURE, IT'S AN ESCALATED IMPORTANCE. WE PAY
ATTENTION TO LEVEL FOUR'S AND FIVE'S AS WELL, BUT
THEY ARE MORE FREQUENT, THEY RECEIVE LESS PUBLICITY,
THERE'S LESS OF A MESSAGE SENT. THE PURPOSE OF
HAVING A TIER ENFORCEMENT POLICY SPEAKS FOR ITSELF.
AN NRC LEVEL FIVE IS NOT OF THE SAME SIGNIFICANCE AS
AN NRC LEVEL THREE.

MR. HAYES:

I HAVE ONE LAST QUESTION, PROMISE. DO YOU
THINK IT'S GENERALLY UNDERSTOOD AND KNOWLEDGEABLE
WITHIN THE INDUSTRY THAT WHEN THE OFFICE OF
INVESTIGATION FINDS THAT SOMEONE HAS BEEN HARASSED
OR INTIMIDATED THAT THAT INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS, IN
FACT, THE HARASSER IS NOW GOING TO BE REPORTED TO
THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION?
THE SPEAKER:

IT IS KNOWN, BUT HOW WIDELY KNOWN IT IS I DON'T KNOW.

MR. HAYES:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

THANK YOU.

(WHEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS ENSUED.) MR. LIEBERMAN:

SHALL WE RESUME NOW. WOULD THE REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE IG'S OFFICE?

THE SPEAKER:

GOOD MORNING. MY NAME ID DON HICKMAN. I'M THE MANAGER OF INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS FOR THE TVA OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL. ON BEHALF OF BILL HENSHAW, THE TVA IG, I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS MY APPRECIATION FOR YOU ALLOWING US TO APPEAR BEFORE THIS HEARING TODAY, AND TO PROVIDE COMMENTS.

14 I'D LIKE TO TAKE MY TIME TO ADDRESS TWO GENERAL
15 TOPICS. THE FIRST TOPIC IS HOW DOES THE TVA OFFICE
16 OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL ASSIST IN CREATING A
17 CLIMATE WHERE EMPLOYEES FEEL FREE TO EXPRESS SAFETY
18 CONCERNS FOR TVA WITHOUT FEAR OF RETALIATION OR
19 REPRISAL, AND I'D LIKE TO TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO TALK
20 ABOUT OUR VIEW OF WHAT IMPROVEMENTS WE WOULD
21 RECOMMEND TO THE CURRENT PROCESS FOR HANDLING THESE
22 KINDS OF COMPLAINTS.

THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL HELPS TVA MANAGEMENT CREATE THE ATMOSPHERE OF EXPRESSING SAFETY CONCERNS BY PROVIDING FACTUAL INFORMATION FOR



MANAGEMENT TO BASE ITS DECISION. ACTION IS TAKEN BY THE IG TO ASSIST IN PROMOTING AN ENVIRONMENT WHEREIN EMPLOYEES ARE FREE TO EXPRESS SAFETY CONCERNS OR QUALITY CONCERNS, INCLUDE A FEW OF THE THINGS THAT WE ARE INVOLVED IN: FIRST OF ALL, AND I THINK MANY PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THIS FACT, THE IG MAINTAINS AN ADVERTISING HOT LINE FOR EMPLOYEES AND TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC WHO WISH TO RAISE CONCERNS OF FRAUD. WASTE OR ABUSE. THE HOT LINE IS A VEHICLE FOR EMPLOYEES AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC TO RAISE SAFETY OR QUALITY CONCERNS OUTSIDE THE NORMAL MANAGEMENT CHAIN. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE PROVIDES CONFIDENTIALITY TO CALLERS WHERE IT IS APPROPRIATE. THE IG EMPLOYS A PROFESSIONALLY TRAINED STAFF OF INVESTIGATORS AND AUDITORS. ALL CONCERNS, ESPECIALLY TECHNICAL AND SAFETY CONCERNS. ARE CAREFULLY REVIEWED AND REFERRED TO EITHER FOR INVESTIGATION, AUDIT -- OR IN THE CASE OF TECHNICAL AND SAFETY CONCERNS, THEY ARE PROMPTLY REFERRED FOR MANAGEMENT ACTION. THE IG INVESTIGATES ALLEGATIONS OF INTIMIDATION, HARASSMENT, RETALIATION AND REPRISAL INVOLVING TVA EMPLOYEES OR ITS CONTRACTORS. THESE ISSUES ARE DESIGNATED AS PRIORITY ONE MATTERS BY OUR INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS DEPARTMENT.

6

9

16

18

24

OUR GOALS IN THESE INVESTIGATIONS, SIMPLY PUT,

IS TO PROVIDE A TIMELY, OBJECTIVE AND INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF THE CONCERNS IN A SHORT TIME FRAME. TO ASSIST THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL MAKES ITS FILES AVAILABLE TO BOTH AGENCIES. REGARDLESS OF OUR FINDINGS, OUR FILES ARE AVAILABLE TO PLAINTIFFS AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS PURSUANT TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS. UNLIKE THE NRC AND THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR INVESTIGATORS, OIG EMPLOYEES TESTIFY AT DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS. OUR STAFF OFFERS TESTIMONY AT THESE HEARINGS, IF REQUESTED, EVEN THOUGH THE INVESTIGATION FINDINGS SOMETIMES ADVERSELY EFFECT TVA.

意

3

8

18

24

THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDITS THE PERFORMANCE OF TVA'S CONCERNS RESOLUTION STAFF. THIS AUDIT WAS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS AND THE EFFICIENCY OF THE CONCERNS RESOLUTION PROGRAM. IN AN ATTEMPT TO APPROVE THE ACCESSIBILITY OF THE IG, WE RECENTLY OPENED SATELLITE OFFICES. THE OFFICES HAVE BEEN LOCATED AT CHATTANOOGA, HUNTSVILLE AND IN MEMPHIS, AND, OF COURSE, WE STILL MAINTAIN OUR HEADQUARTERS OFFICE IN KNOXVILLE.

THE IG'S STAFF IS ALSO ACCESSIBLE TO EMPLOYEES

THROUGH ITS PARTICIPATION IN VARIOUS TEAINING PROGRAMS IN THE AGENCY. TWO THAT COME TO MIND ARE COMPLETENESS AND ACCURACY TRAINING WHERE WE ARE INVOLVED IN A DISCUSSION OF CFR 50.5, 50.7 AND 50.9 REGULATIONS. IN THE PAST WE HAVE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN THE PRESENTATION OF TRAINING TO EMPLOYEES ENTITLED, "HOW TO MAINTAIN A WORK ENVIRONMENT FREE OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT."

1

4

14

IN SUMMARY, THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL WANTS EMPLOYEES TO FEEL THAT, IF NECESSARY, THEY HAVE A PLACE THAT THEY CAN BRING CONCERNS WHERE THEY WILL GET A FAIR AND OBJECTIVE ASSESSMENT. THE IG SUPPORTS TVA'S POLICY AGAINST DISCRIMINATION BY INVESTIGATING ALLEGATIONS OF DISCRIMINATION. OIG INVESTIGATIONS ARE NOT LIMITED TO DOL CASES. WE WILL CONSIDER ANY ALLEGATION OF INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT OR DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT. GENERALLY. AN ALLEGER DOES NOT HAVE TO ESTABLISH A PROTECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR THE OIG TO INSPECT AN ALLEGATION FOR INVESTIGATION. THE OIG IDENTIFIES ACTS OF DISCRIMINATION SO THAT MANAGEMENT CAN TAKE ACTION AND ENSURE THAT THE EMPLOYEES KNOW THAT THE DISCRIMINATION OR DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED.

PRIOR TO FEBRUARY, 1990, THE OIG DID NOT

CONDUCT PARALLEL INVESTIGATIONS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND SECTION 211 ISSUES. WE ARE INVOLVED IN CONDUCTING PARALLEL INVESTIGATIONS TODAY. PRIOR TO 1990, OUR VIEW WAS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND THE NRC HAVE PRIMARY JURISDICTION IN THIS AREA. THE OIG WOULD INITIATE AN INVESTIGATION OF AN EMPLOYEES CONDUCT ONLY IN THOSE INSTANCES WHERE THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ISSUED A FINDING THAT DISCRIMINATION WAS A FACTOR IN THE ADVERSE TREATMENT OF AN INDIVIDUAL AT TVA. AT THE REQUEST OF THE TVA MANAGEMENT TEAM IN 1990, WE BEGAN CONDUCTING A PARALLEL INVESTIGATION CALLED DOL SECTION 211 COMPLAINTS. THE REASON WE ACCEPTED THIS ROLE ARE THREEFOLD; FIRST OF ALL, THERE WAS A NEED FOR PROMPT, RELIABLE AND FACTUAL INVESTIGATION.

I THINK YOU HAVE HEARD MANY PEOPLE SAY, AND WE AGREE, THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR PROCESS IS VERY SLOW. IN FACT, OUR EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN IN SOME INSTANCES IT CAN TAKE SEVERAL YEARS. THERE'S ALSO A NEED FOR MANAGEMENT TO KNOW UP FRONT IF THERE IS A PROBLEM, IF THERE'S NOT A PROBLEM OR IF A SETTLEMENT IS WARRANTED. AND THIRDLY, THERE'S ALSO AN IMPORTANT NEED FOR MANAGEMENT TO TAKE SWIFT DISCIPLINARY ACTION IF DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT WAS INVOLVED IN AN ADVERSE ACTION. NOW, WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT THE

16

24

INVESTIGATORS PART IN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION IS NECESSARY AND THE INVESTIGATIONS MUST BE CREDIBLE.

A.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

18

24

25

IT IS MY CONTENTION THAT MANY OF THE CONCERNS THAT YOU'VE HEARD EXPRESSED HERE TODAY OR LAST NIGHT REVOLVE AROUND THE ISSUE OF CREDIBILITY. WE BELIEVE THAT IF AN INVESTIGATION IS NOT PROMPT, OBJECTIVE AND INDEPENDENT, THEN YOU GET QUESTIONS OF CREDIBILITY. WITH QUESTIONS OF CREDIBILITY, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER YOU ARE THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, THE NRC OR THE IG, EMPLOYEES COME TO FEEL THAT YOU ARE NO LONGER A PART OF THE SOLUTION, BUT YOU ARE PART OF THE PROBLEM, AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE QUESTIONS OF CREDIBILITY RAISED BY MANY EMPLOYEES TODAY.

THE IG, THROUGH ITS INVESTIGATIONS, ATTEMPTS TO COMPLIMENT TVA'S POLICY AGAINST DISCRIMINATION. WE BELIEVE, HOWEVER, THAT IT IS PREFERABLE FOR MANAGEMENT TO RESOLVE CONCERNS BEFORE THEY ARISE TO THE LEVEL OF FORMAL COMPLAINTS. MANAGEMENT MUST ESTABLISH THE ATMOSPHERE WHERE EMPLOYEES FEEL FREE TO RAISE CONCERNS WITHOUT FEAR OF RETALIATION OR REFNIMAL. IN THAT REGARD, THE TVA'S OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL IS MERELY AN INDEPENDENT FACT FINDER REGARDING WHETHER THERE HAS BEEN MISCONDUCT IN A SPECIFIC CASE. THE OIG PROVIDES MANAGEMENT

WITH FACTUAL INFORMATION TO ASSIST IN DECIDING AT AN EARLY STAGE WHAT ACTION THEY SHOULD TAKE IN AN INDIVIDUAL DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ALLEGATION. MANAGEMENT BASICALLY HAS TWO CHOICES: WHETHER TO SETTLE, TO LITIGATE OR WHETHER DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST AN EMPLOYEE IS NECESSARY.

3

4

14

24

HAVING SAID ALL THAT, I'D LIKE TO ADDRESS WHAT IMPROVEMENTS WE THINK WE WOULD RECOMMEND IN THIS AREA. WE SUPPORT A VIEW THAT THE MOST EFFECTIVE WAY TO RESOLVE SAFETY AND QUALITY ISSUES IS BETWEEN THE EMPLOYEE AND THE FIRST LINE SUPERVISOR AT THE WORKMAN LEVEL. HOWEVER, WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL FEELS THE NEED TO RAISE AN INTIMIDATION ISSUE OUTSIDE THE ESTABLISHED MANAGEMENT CHAIN, WE BELIEVE THAT A PROCESS SHOULD BE IN PLACE TO CONCILIATE THE ISSUE IN AN ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THE PROBLEM BEFORE A FORMAL DEPARTMENT OF LABOR SECTION 211 COMPLAINT IS FILED. I SUPPOSE I'M RECOMMENDING A PROCESS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STAFF USES PRESENTLY. THERE IS A COUNSELING PERIOD, AND DURING THAT SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME, MANAGEMENT AND THE EMPLOYEE ARE MANDATED TO ATTEMPT TO ACHIEVE SOME TYPE OF SATISFACTORY RESOLUTION. IF THAT PROCESS BREAKS DOWN. THEN THE EMPLOYEE IS FREE TO FILE A FORMAL COMPLAINT.

WE ALSO BILIEVE THAT AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION AT THE BEGINNING OF THE FORMAL DOL. SECTION 211 PROCESS WOULD BE USEFUL TO ALL PARTIES. HOWEVER, THE INVESTIGATION MUST BE PROMPT. THE INVESTIGATION MUST BE INDEPENDENT AND CONDUCTED BY INDIVIDUALS WHO DO NOT CEPORT TO LINE MANAGEMENT. WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT INVESTIGATORS MUST HAVE WHAT I'LL CALL REASONABLE CREDIBILITY, BECAUSE WITHOUT REASONABLE CREDIBILITY I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT YOU WILL VIEWED AS A PART OF THE PROBLEM. I BELIEVE AN UP FRONT INVESTIGATION WOULD ENABLE MANAGEMENT TO MAKE INFORMED DECISIONS REGARDING WHAT ACTION IS NECESSARY AND WHAT ACTIONS THEY SHOULD TAKE. HOWEVER, THE ROLE OF AN INDEPENDENT FACT FINDER CANNOT AND SHOULD NOT SUBSTITUTE FOR MANAGEMENTS RESPONSIBILITY FOR ENSURING THAT EMPLOYEES ARE REE TO RAISE SAFETY OR QUALITY CONCERNS WITHOUT THE FEAR OF RETALIATION OR REPRISAL.

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

9

THANK YOU.

I'D LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION CONCERNING THE POWER OF INVESTIGATION, BECAUSE THAT'S AN ISSUE THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE RAISED THROUGH VARIOUS MEANS AND COMMENTS. YOU SEEM TO DO IT WITH DOL, DO YOU FIND

		106
1		THAT PRESENTS ANY PROBLEM WHEN YOU HAVE TWO GROUPS
2		DOING INVESTIGATIONS ON THE SAME ISSUE?
3	THE	SPEAKER:
4		I BELIEVE THAT THE PROCESS WORKS. HOWEVER,
5		THERE IS A PROBLEM THAT I SHOULD IDENTIFY AND THAT
6		IS AND I'M TRYING TO BE KIND, MR. LIEBERMAN,
7		BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU'RE AN ATTORNEY.
8	MR.	HAYES:
9		JUST TELL IT LIKE IT IS.
10	THE	SPEAKER
11		THE PROBLEM THAT WE SOMETIMES RUN INTO IS THAT
12		OUR EMPLOYEES ARE ADVISED BY THEIR ATTORNEYS NOT TO
13		COOPERATE WITH THE IG IN A PARALLEL INVESTIGATION.
14		NOW, THE REASONING FOR THAT ADVISE THERE IS
15		SOMETIMES THE ATTORNEY RIGHTFULLY BELIEVES THAT THE
16		EMPLOYEE HAS A GOOD CASE AND HE CERTAINLY DOES NOT
17		WANT TO PREVIEW HIS CASE BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF
18		LABOR OR THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE OR WHATEVER
19		FORUM THEY ARE GOING TO BE IN. SOMETIMES, HOWEVER,
20		THERE APPEARS TO BE A DISINGENUOUS MOTIVE OF NOT
21		PRESENTING YOUR CASE BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT THE TVA
22		IG TO CONDUCT A REVIEW OF THE MATTER BEFORE IT GOES
23		TO COURT BECAUSE THERE MAY BE PROBLEMS WITH THE
24		CASE. AM I MAKING MY POINT?
25	MR.	JOHNSON:

I DON'T UNDERSTAND.

THE SPEAKER:

З	3 IF I'M GOING TO TAKE YOU T	COURT, IF I'M GOING
4	4 TO SUE YOU, WHY SHOULD I TELL Y	OU WHAT I'M GOING TO
5	5 SUE YOU ABOUT BEFORE I GET TO (COURT, THAT'S THE
6	6 POINT I'M MAKING. I DON'T WANT	TO PREVIEW MY CASE.
7	7 THE IG'S OFFICE IS SOMETIMES V	EWED AS AN INTERVENER
8	8 FOR MANAGEMENT. CERTAINLY, I I	DISAGREE WITH THAT
9	9 VIEW. MY VIEW IS THAT WE'RE IN	TERESTED IN PROVIDING
10	10 AN OBJECTIVE, TIMELY ASSESSMENT	OF THE FACTS, AND
11	11 I'M NOT INTERESTED IN PLAYING I	AWYER GAMES.
12	12 MR. JOHNSON:	
13	13 I UNDERSTAND THAT. YOU'RE	E RIGHT, WE DID HEAR
14	14 SEVERAL COMPLAINTS ABOUT THAT I	AST NIGHT.
15	15 MR. LIEBERMAN:	
16	16 HOW OFTEN DOES THE TVA IG	WANT TO DO AN
1.Ź -	17 INVESTIGATION AT THE SAME TIME	THE DOL IS DOING AN
18	18 INVESTIGATION AND YOU REACH DI	FFERENT RESULTS?
19	19 THE SPEAKER:	
20	20 AT THE WAGE AND HOUR OR A	T THE ADMINISTRATIVE
21	21 LAW JUDGE?	
22	22 MR. LIEBERMAN:	
23	WAGE AND HOUR.	
24	24 THE SPEAKER:	
25	25 IT IS POSSIBLE THAT OUR IN	VESTIGATION AND OUR

FINDINGS DISAGREE WITH THE WAGE AND HOUR. HOWEVER, WE RECOGNIZE THAT THAT IS POSSIBLE BECAUSE WE USE A DIFFERENT STANDARD. WE RECOGNIZE THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION, IS INTRUSTED TO MAKE A SECTION 211 FINDING. THEIR FINDING -- THEY HAVE TO ESTABLISH, OF COURSE, THAT THERE IS A PRIMA FACIE -- THE EMPLOYEE HAS TO MAKE A PRIMA FACIE SHOWING THAT ADVERSE TREATMENT OCCURRED. HE ALSO HAS TO SHOW THAT ADVERSE TREATMENT OCCURRED BECAUSE OF A PROTECTED ACTIVITY. THAT IS NOT THE CASE IN A TVA OIG INVESTIGATION. WE ARE NOT REQUIRED TO SHOW A FROTECTED ACTIVITY IN ORDER FOR US TO MAKE A FINDING THAT DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT OCCURRED. SO IT IS POSSIBLE THAT OUR FINDING DOES, IN FACT, DISAGREE WITH WAGE AND HOUR, BUT PLEASE UNDERSTAND THE OIG INVESTIGATION IS NOT CONDUCTED TO MAKE A SECTION 211 FINDING. WE ARE LOOKING AT THE SAME SET OF FACTS. WE MAY REACH A DIFFERENT CONCLUSION, HOWEVER, BECAUSE OF THE STANDARD APPROVAL.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

3

4

8

14

18

FROM WHAT YOU SAID, IS IT MORE LIKELY THAT THE
 IG WILL FIND A PROTECTION PROBLEM THAN DOL BECAUSE
 YOU USE DIFFERENT STANDARDS?

THE SPEAKER:

IT IS MORE LIKELY THAT WE WOULD FIND THAT DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT BECAUSE OF THE EXPRESSION OF A SAFETY CONCERN DID NOT OCCUR. HOWEVER, WE MIGHT ALSO FIND THAT CERTAIN OTHER MANAGEMENT DEFICIENCIES LEAD TO THE PERCEPTION THAT THE ADVERSE TREATMENT OCCURRED.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

3

5

R

9

24

25

YOU INDICATED THAT PEOPLE COME TO THE IG OR YOU LOOK INTO H AND I ISSUES WHERE EMPLOYEES DO NOT GO TO DOL?

1 THE SPEAKER

YES. THAT IS CORRECT.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

YOU CAN YOU OFFER ANY PERCENTAGE OR AN ESTIMATE AND PERCENTAGE AS TO WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE ISSUES THAT LOOK INTO CONCERN H AND I WHERE EMPLOYEES DO NOT GO TO DOL?

18 THE SPEAKER:

 9
 I'M NOT SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION.

 20
 ARE YOU ASKING ME WHY DIDN'T AN EMPLOYEE COME TO US

 21
 RATHER THAN GOING TO DOL?

MR. LIEBERMAN:

THE PERCENTAGE -- IS IT SEVENTY-FIVE PERCENT OF PEOPLE COMING TO THE IG AND NOT GOING TO DOL? IS IT NINETY-NINE PERCENT OF THE TIME THAT THEY GO

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

ONE LAST QUESTION, LAST NIGHT WE HEARD CONCERNS ABOUT THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE IG'S FERCEPTION. ARE YOU CONCERNED ABOUT THAT, AND IF SO, DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS ON WHAT YOU MIGHT DO TO TRY TO REMEDY THAT CONCERN?

THE SPEAKER

2

3

I ASKED THE BOSS ABOUT IT, I THINK THE FIRST THOUGHT THAT COMES TO MIND IS THAT ISSUE OF CREDIBILITY. WE ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE ISSUE OF CREDIBILITY BECAUSE AS I SAID, IF YOU'RE NOT CREDIBLE, YOU ARE VIEWED AS PART OF THE PROBLEM RATHER THAN PART OF THE SOLUTION.

ONE OF THE REASON THAT WE'VE HAD CREDIBILITY PROBLEMS IS -- LET'S FACE IT, THE STANDARD OF PROOF IN THESE CASES IS VERY HIGH. SOMETIMES WE RECOGNIZE THAT A VIOLATION OCCURRED AND WE SIMPLY CAN'T PROVE IT. THERE ARE OTHER TIMES WHEN EVIDENCE DOESN'T SUPPORT THE ALLEGATION THAT IS RAISED. OBVIOUSLY. 18 FOR THE ALLEGER, THAT CREATES A CREDIBILITY PROBLEM WITH US AND WE RECOGNIZE THAT. WE TRY TO DEAL WITH THAT BY ADHERING TO A VERY HIGH INVESTIGATIVE STANDARD, AND I'M WILLING TO DISCUSS THAT WITH YOU OR PROVIDE YOU WRITTEN COMMENTS ABOUT OUR INVESTIGATIVE STANDARDS IN THIS AREA. 24 ANOTHER THOUGHT THAT COMES TO MIND IS THAT 25

1.67

BECAUSE OF TIMELINESS CONSIDERATIONS WE ARE PRECLUDED FROM PUBLICIZING OUR SUCCESS STORIES. I WONDERED, AS I SAT HERE LAST NIGHT, WHERE WERE ALL THE EMPLOYEES WHO FILED COMPLAINTS, THE IG CONDUCTED AN INVESTIGATION AND AS A RESULT OF THAT INVESTIGATION SOMETHING GOOD HAPPENED; AND THE REASON THEY AREN'T HERE IS BECAUSE THOSE STORIES, BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE BUSINESS WE'RE IN, WE'RE PRECLUDED FROM PUBLICIZING THOSE SUCCESS STORIES.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

8

9

14

18

WE RECOGNIZE THE PRIVACY ACT, AND WE DON'T WANT YOU TO DISCLOSE YOUR INFORMATION, BUT BASED ON THE PRIVACY ACT, CAN'T YOU TALK ABOUT GENERIC OF ISSUES IN A WAY THAT DOESN'T DISCLOSE THE NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS, DISCUSS THE CONCEPTS OF THE ISSUES AND WHAT TYPE OF ACTION TAKEN IN GENERAL? THE SPEAKER:

WE DO THAT IN OUR SEMIANNUAL REPORT. I BELIEVE
IT WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR TVA MANAGEMENT TO
REDACT OUR FORMAL REPORT AND MAKE ANY SORT OF
PUBLICITY REGARDING THE OIG INVESTIGATION. WE
SIMPLY ARE PRECLUDED FROM DOING THAT IN OUR NORMAL
EVERYDAY WORK.

MR. HAYES:

MR. HICKMAN, I'M SURE YOU ARE AWARE OF THE VARIOUS FEDERAL STATUTES THAT OUTLINE THE CONDUCT OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE. AT THE NRC WE HAVE AN INSPECTOR GENERAL AND OBVIOUSLY THE TVA HAS AN INSPECTOR GENERAL. AM I CORRECT TO ASSUME THAT OUR INSPECTOR GENERAL AND TVA'S INSPECTOR GENERAL IS MANDATED BY FEDERAL STATUTES OPERATING IN THE SAME FASHION IN TERMS OF INDEPENDENCE, OBJECTIVITY, PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS, ETCETERA; IS THAT CORRECT? THE SPEAKER:

3

5

8

YES, SIR. THAT IS CORRECT. BOTH OFFICES --CERTAINLY IN OUR OFFICE -- THE TVA'S OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL WAS CREATED IN 1985 BY TVA BOARD RESOLUTION AND, OF COURSE, YOUR INSPECTOR GENERAL WAS CREATED IN 1988. THE IG ACT AMENDMENT OF 1988 COVERS THE RESPONSIBILITIES THAT MANDATE BOTH OFFICES. YOU ARE CORRECT IN THAT ASSUMPTION. MR. HAYES:

SO IN TERMS OF STANDARDS, AND ACCEPTABLE
 PERFORMANCE THERE'S NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TVA S
 INSPECTOR GENERAL AND A TVA INSPECTOR GENERAL
 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,
 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OR THE NRC?
 THE SPEAKER:

THAT IS CORRECT. WE OPERATE UNDER THE SAME

STANDARD.

MR. HAYES:

3

14

THEN YOU ARE REQUIRED ON A SEMIANNUAL BASIS TO PRODUCE A REPORT OUTLINING YOUR SUCCESSES AND YOUR FAILURES, AND THAT REPORT GOES TO CERTAIN MEMBERS OF CONGRESS AND IT'S AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL FUBLIC? THE SPEAKER:

THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. HAYES:

CAN YOU GIVE US SOME IDEA AS TO THE MAKEUP IN TERMS OF THE INVESTIGATIVE SIDE OF THE TVA IG, THE LEVEL OF EXPERIENCE AND ALSO IN GENERAL TERMS THE BACKGROUND OF THOSE INVESTIGATORS?

THE SPEAKER:

15THE INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS OR THE TVA16INSPECTOR GENERAL HAS A STAFF OF APPROXIMATELY17THIRTY-FIVE INDIVIDUALS, INCLUDED ON THAT STAFF ARE18INVESTIGATORS WITH VARIOUS BACKGROUNDS. A LARGE19NUMBER OF OUR STAFF ARE FORMER EIGHTEEN ELEVEN20SERIES FEDERAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS. WE ALSO HAVE21A NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS FROM VARIOUS STATE AND LOCAL22LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES. THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT WE23HIRE AS ENTRY LEVEL EMPLOYEES THEY ARE ALL REQUIRED24TO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE A EIGHT WEEK COURSE OF25BASIC CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS AT THE FEDERAL LAW

ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER HERE IN GEORGIA. THAT 1 TRAINING IS SUPPLEMENTED BY A THREE WEEK COURSE 2 CALLED "THE INSPECTOR GENERAL BASIC TRAINING." ALL OF OUR EMPLOYEES HAVE HAD THIS TRAINING. ONCE THOSE 4 EMPLOYEES COMPLETE THAT TRAINING. THEY GENERALLY ARE 5 MENTORED DURING THE FIRST YEAR, TWENTY-FOUR MONTHS. 6 IN OUR OFFICE UNTIL THEY UNDERSTAND THE INTERNAL POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF OUR OFFICE. 8 MR. HAYES: 9 SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THE LEVEL OF TRAINING AND EXPECTATIONS IS THE SAME AS WITH ANY OTHER STATUTORY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES? THE SPEAKER: THAT IS CORRECT. YES, SIR. 14 MR. HAYES: SAME COURSE, SAME LOCAL TRAINING, SAME NECESSARY REQUIREMENTS? THE SPEAKER: YES. THAT IS TRUE. MR. HAYES: HOW MANY INVESTIGATORS DO YOU HAVE THAT BASICALLY YOU UTILIZE ON THE 211 AREA? THE SPEAKER: WE HAVE A STAFF OF -- IT WAS EIGHT, IT HAS BEEN 24 SUPPLEMENTED NOW. I THINK IT'S NINE INVESTIGATORS 25

1 ON MY STAFF THAT SPEND A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THEIR TIME SPECIALIZING IN PARALLEL INVESTIGATION OF 3 SECTION 211 COMPLAINTS OR INVESTIGATION OF 4 INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT ISSUES GENERALLY. MR. HAYES: 8 I HAVE NO OTHER QUESTIONS. MR. LIEBERMAN: 8 BRIAN. MR. GRIMES: -9 I WAS INTERESTED IN YOUR REMARKS ON THE CONCILIATION PROCESS. COULD YOU SPEAK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HOW THIS WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE TIME LIMITS OR DOL TIME LIMITS OR DO YOU HAVE ANY EXTENSION ON 14 THE PERIOD OF TIME --THE SPEAKER: 16 WELL, I THINK YOU'VE CALLED FOR SOME RECENT CHANGES IN THE LAW. AN INDIVIDUAL NOW HAS SIX MONTHS TO FILE A COMPLAINT ANYWAY. IN OUR VIEW, IF YOU USED A PORTION OF THAT TIME TO DO A CONCILIATION AND I'M NOT TALKING MONTHS AND MONTHS, AND I'M TRYING NOT TO BE CRITICAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR PROCESS, BUT LET'S FACE IT, WE ALL KNOW THAT IT DOES TAKE A GREAT DEAL OF TIME. BUT WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING IS THAT YOU CONSIDER USING A PORTION OF THAT TIME IN THE FRONT END AND I WOULDN'T ATTEMPT TO

TELL YOU WHAT THAT TIME, BUT I THINK IT SHOULD BE A REASONABLY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME WHEREIN MANAGEMENT AND THE EMPLOYEE AND ANY OTHER HUMAN RESOURCES, NRC, DEPARTMENT OF LAFOR EXPERTS SIT DOWN AROUND THE TAELE AND ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE "A," FIRST OF ALL, FIRST AND FOREMOST, THE TECHNICAL AND SAFETY CONCERN WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT IS DONE NOW, BY THE WAY, BY TVA'S EMPLOYEES CONCERNS PROGRAM; AND SECONDLY, LET'S DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF THE ADVERSE TREATMENT: DID IT OCCUR? IF IT DID OCCUR, WHAT IS THE FIX SO THAT WE CAN MAKE THIS INDIVIDUAL A PRODUCTIVE EMPLOYEE SHORT OF SENDING IT ACROSS THE STREET TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND GETTING INTO THAT PLACE. MR. GRIMES:

IN THE TVA CASE, WOULD THE IG HAVE A ROLE IN
 THAT PROCESS, IN THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM? HOW
 DID YOU INTEND FOR THAT TO WORK, LINE MANAGEMENT?
 THE SPEAKER:

GENERALLY, WE BELIEVE THAT THAT CONCILIATION PROCESS SHOULD BE HEADED BY A PROGRAM THAT REPORTS TO A SENIOR LINE MANAGER.

MR. GRIMES:

4

6

14

24

IN TERMS OF THE IG ROLE, CERTAINLY YOU HAVE EXPLAINED THE INVESTIGATIVE ROLE ON PARTICULAR CASES, WHAT ABOUT THE OVERALL PROGRAMMATIC VIEW, YOU

SPOKE ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OR HOW IMPORTANT CLIMATE IS WITHIN LINE ORGANIZATION. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS OR DO YOU FOCUS ON WAYS SO THAT TVA AND LINE MANAGEMENT CAN IMPROVE THAT CLIMATE? IS THE IG ROLE INCLUDED LOOKING AT THE WAY IN WHICH TVA MANAGEMENT SHOULD BE TRYING TO IMPROVE THAT BASIC CLIMATE IN ADDITION TO THE ROLE OF INVESTIGATIONS? THE SPEAKER:

GENERALLY, THAT KIND OF INFORMATION WOULD BE PRESENTED AS A FINDING IN AN OIG INVESTIGATION. RECENTLY OUR OFFICE CREATED A INSPECTION STAFF THAT IS DESIGNED TO DO JUST THAT, IS TO PROVIDE A SERVICE TO MANAGEMENT WHERE WE COME OUT AND LOOK AT SPECIFIC PROGRAMMATIC AREAS AND MAKE RECOMMENDED SOLUTIONS IN A PROGRAMMATIC WAY.

MR. GRIMES:

1

4

8

16

24

25

DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS FOR TVA OR IN TERMS IN GENERAL ON HOW LINE MANAGEMENT SHOULD FOCUS ITS TRAINING OF SUPERVISORS? WHAT ARE THE KEY THINGS THAT NEED TO BE LOOKED AT?

THE SPEAKER:

HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU SAY YOU WANTED TO SPEND HERE? SERIOUSLY, I'M NOT SURE THAT I'M PREPARED TO GIVE YOU A SPECIFIC ANSWER AS TO WHICH BUTTON NEEDS TO PUSHED TO MAKE THAT PROBLEM GO AWAY. I THINK

IT'S A VERY COMPLEX PROBLEM AND INVOLVES A LOT OF AREAS, MANY OF WHICH OVERLAP. I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SIT DOWN WITH YOU AND TALK ONE ON ONE ABOUT SOME AREAS THAT -- OF CONCERN THAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED WITH TVA MANAGEMENT THAT ARE POTENTIAL PROBLEM AREAS.

175

MR. GRIMES:

6

7

8

9

14

I GUESS, THE LAST THING THAT YOU MENTIONED WAS THAT YOUR HOT LINE AND THAT THEY PROVIDED CONFIDENTIALITY WHERE APPROPRIATE. CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE MORE ON WHAT AREAS ARE APPROPRIATE? THE SPEAKER:

YES. I SURE CAN. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S ACT REQUIRES US TO GRANT CONFIDENTIALITY TO ANY EMPLOYEE WHO RAISES A CONCERN OF FRAUD, WASTE OR ABUSE TO OUR HOT LINE. NOW, AS A GENERAL PRACTICE, IF THE CALLER TO OUR HOT LINE IS ALSO THE VICTIM OF THE ADVERSE TREATMENT, WE RECOGNIZE THAT IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO CONDUCT AN INVESTIGATION OF AN INDIVIDUAL WITH WHOM YOU HAVE A CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP. THE FIRST THING WE HAVE TO DO IN THOSE SITUATIONS IS REQUEST THAT THE EMPLOYEE WAIVE THAT CONFIDENTIALITY. MANY OF THESE INVESTIGATIONS BECOME SINGULAR ISSUES: JOE DOE RAISED THIS ALLEGATION AGAINST EMPLOYEE "A." THEREFORE, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO GRANT CONFIDENTIALITY TO A CALLER IF THE CALLER IS THE VICTIM. HOWEVER, IF THE COMPLAIMANT OR THE CALLER TO HOT LINE IS A THIRD PARTY, THEN CERTAINLY IT'S VERY EASY FOR US TO INITIATE AN INVESTIGATION AND AT THE SAME TIME TO HONOR THAT CONFIDENTIALITY. WITH RESPECT TO NON-EMPLOYEES WE HAVE A -- FOR LACK OF A BETTER WORD I'LL CALL IT A CONTRACT. WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO OFFER CONFIDENTIALITY TO NON-EMPLOYEES. IF WE GRANT CONFIDENTIALITY TO AN INDIVIDUAL, HIS IDENTITY AND INFORMATION THAT MIGHT LEAD TO HIS IDENTIFICATION, WE WOULD EXCLUDE THAT FROM OUR INVESTIGATIVE REPORT.

MR. GRIMES:

А.

3

4

8

9

14

ONE LAST QUESTION, WITH REGARD TO THE NRC, TVA'S MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ON WHAT WE HEARD LAST NIGHT WITH THE CONCERNS ABOUT THE PERCEPTION OF NRC, GIVEN WHERE THE EMPLOYEES RESPONSIBILITY TO TVA IS CONSIDERED. DO YOU HAVE ANY SUGGESTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING? IS THERE ANYTHING TO CHANGE THE PERCEPTION OF THESE ROLES?

> I HAVE TWO OR THREE THOUGHTS. FIRST OF ALL, I BELIEVE THE MEMO OF UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU ARE REFERRING TO DOCUMENTS A LEGITIMATE RELATIONSHIP

BETWEEN TWO GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. I AM NOT DENYING THAT THAT RELATIONSHIP EXISTS. IN FACT, I HEARD IT CALLED A SECRET MEMORANDUM; IT CERTAINLY WASN'T SECRET. THE REASON THE MEMORANDUM WAS SIGNED IS SO THAT THE RELATIONSHIP THAT EXISTS CAN BE DOCUMENTED WHEN QUESTIONS ARISE. AND CERTAINLY, WE ARE MORE THAN WILLING -- IF IT WERE SECRET, WHY WOULD WE HAVE TOLD FEOPLE THAT WE HAVE THE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE NRC. IT DOCUMENTS A RELATIONSHIP THAT EXISTED BEFORE THE MOU WAS ETAL SIGNED. THAT'S MY FIRST THOUGHT.

3

4

5

6

8

14

16

24

MY SECOND THOUGHT IS WITH RESPECT TO THAT RELATIONSHIP, BECAUSE THE TVA OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL IS AN OFFICE CREATED BY CONGRESS WITH THE IG ACT AMENDMENT OF 1988, WE HAVE CONCURTENT AND OVERLAFPING JURISDICTION WITH THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S OFFICE OF INVESTIGATION. THAT'S THE SECOND REASON THAT THE MEMO OF UNDERSTANDING IS IMPORTANT. THERE WAS A NEED TO DOCUMENT IT, I THINK IF YOU'LL LOOK AT THE MOU CLOSELY, IT SUGGEST THAT THE NRC CLEARLY IS THE LEAD ORGANIZATION AND HAS THE PRIMARY JURISDICTION FOR NUCLEAR SAFETY RELATED TYPES OF INVESTIGATIONS, AND WE HAVE ATTEMPTED TO DOCUMENT THAT THAT WAS, IN FACT, THE CASE.

AND I GUESS MY THIRD REACTION IS IF YOU LOOK AT

THE MOU VERY CLOSELY, IT SAYS THAT THE NRC WILL NOT REFER ANY ISSUES TO TVA UNLESS THE ALLEGER AGREES TO WAIVE HIS OR HER CONFIDENTIALITY. ASSUMING THAT THAT IS, IN FACT, THE CASE THE ISSUES THAT WE RECEIVE FROM THE NRC ARE ONLY THOSE ISSUES WHICH THE NRC OBVIOUSLY HAS CHOSEN NOT TO INVESTIGATE, AND THEY ARE ISSUES THAT FALL WITHIN THE JURISDICT ON OF THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

MR. GRIMES:

4

5

6

8

9

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

I HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION. TALKING ABOUT CONCILIATION BEFORE DOING THE INVESTIGATION, CAN YOU REALLY FOCUS ON THE CONCILIATION WITHOUT HAVING AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE FACTS ARE WITHOUT CONDUCTING AN INVESTIGATION FIRST?

THE SPEAKER:

I THINK THAT'S A QUESTION THAT CAN PROBABLY BETTER BE ANSWERED BY THE PEOPLE THAT DO IT ALL THE TIME, THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE BASIS FOR A CONCILIATION IS IN THE CURRENT PROCESS. I BELIEVE THAT YOU -- MY PERSONAL VIEW IS THAT IF YOU SIT EXPERTS AROUND THE TABLE, YOU CAN PROBABLY COME UP WITH AS GOOD AS AN AGREEMENT THAT WE NOW GET.

MY PERSON VIEW IS MANY OF THE PEOPLE THAT YOU HEARD FROM LAST NIGHT, ARE VICTIMS OF BAD SETTLEMENTS, BAD IN THE SENSE THAT WE MIGHT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO A BETTER JOB OF MAKING THOSE PEOPLE PRODUCTIVE EMPLOYEES IF WE HAD SAT DOWN BEFORE THE FORMAL SECTION 211 PROCEEDING OCCURRED, BECAUSE MY EXPERIENCE HAS TAUGHT ME THAT ONCE THE FORMAL SECTION 211 PROCESS BEGINS, LIKE IT OR NOT, YOU END UF WITH SOME TYPE OF ADVERSARIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE EMPLOYEE AND THE EMPLOYER.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

4

6

8

OKAY. JOHN.

MR. JOHNSON:

14YES. I WANT TO ASK ONE QUESTION ABOUT THIS15PUBLICIZING THE SUCCESS STORIES. I DON'T UNDERSTAND16THE PROBLEM. OBVIOUSLY CERTAIN THINGS ARE PRIVATE,17BUT WHEN YOU DETERMINE THAT A DISCRIMINATION DID18TAKE PLACE BY AN EMPLOYEE WHETHER ITS A SUPERVISOR19OR MANAGER, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU CAN'T20PUBLICIZE THAT AND STILL MEET THE STATUTORY21REQUIREMENTS OF THE PRIVACY ACT. YOU INDICATED THAT22THE LINE MANAGEMENT COULD TAKE OF YOU REPORTS AND23REDACT IT AND DISTRIBUTE IT. I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY24YOU CAN'T DO THAT. REGARDLESS WHETHER IT'S YOU OR25LINE MANAGEMENT, I'M NOT THAT CONCERNED. I DON'T

UNDERSTAND WHY YOU CANNOT PUBLICIZE CERTAINLY THE ISSUES, ACTS THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE SO THAT PEOPLE ARE REMINDED WITH SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF UNACCEPTABLE CONDUCT.

THE SPEAKER:

6

I THINK YOURS IS A VALID POINT, AND I AGREE WITH YOU. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN TALKING WITH YOU AND MAYBE WE COULD DO THAT IN A MANNER SIMILAR TO HOW YOU ALL DO IT. AND I'M NOT BEING A WISE GUY IN ANSWERING THAT WAY, BUT AS I SAID, WE ARE BOUND BY SOME OF THE SAME KIND OF RESTRICTIONS THAT YOUR OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS AND YOUR OIG ARE, AND I AM CERTAINLY OPEN TO ANY SUGGESTIONS THAT YOUR AGENCY CAN PROVIDE AS TO HOW YOU ALL DO THAT, BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND, AND I'M AWARE THAT BEN'S STAFF HAS THE SAME KIND OF PROBLEM WITH --

MR. JOHNSON:

I ASKED OUR OIG THE SAME QUESTION. HE CAME DOWN AND TALKED TO US A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO, AND ASKED HIM THE SAME QUESTION THAT I ASKED YOU, HE PUBLISHES SEMIANNUAL REPORTS, TOO, THAT I FIND SOMEWHAT VAGUE, AND I THINK WOULD BE OF MORE VALUE TO OUR EMPLOYEES TO SEE MORE DETAILS, AND STILL MAINTAIN WHAT ARE A REQUIRED FRACTICE.

25 MR. LIEBERMAN:

24

	181
1	WE THANK YOU VERY MUCH MR. HICKMAN.
2	THE SPEAKER:
3	THANK YOU.
4	MR. LIEBERMAN:
5	AT THIS POINT ARE THERE ANY INDIVIDUALS WHO
6	DESIRE TO MAKE A PRESENTATION? I THINK WE GAVE OUT
7	NUMBER ONE AND NUMBER TWO. SO IF NUMBER ONE WOULD
8	COME FORWARD.
9	SPEAKER NUMBER ONE:
10	MY NAME IS TREY BURCHFIELD. I'D LIKE TO SAY
11	THAT OUR EX-PRESIDENT DIDN'T BELIEVE WE HAD A
12	RECESSION. TVA DON'T BELIEVE WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
13	INDIVIDUALS BRINGING UP CONCERNS FORWARD.
14	I KNOW FOR ME, I WAS THE FIRST TO HAVE PROBLEMS
15	WITH IG'S TRANSITION OF A PARALLEL INVESTIGATION.
16	MAYBE THAT'S WHY THEY, IN MY VIEW, WHEN THEY
17	QUESTIONED ME THEY HAD A LIST OF APPROXIMATELY A
18	HUNDRED QUESTIONS, AND THEY ALREADY HAD ANSWERS FROM
19	OTHER TVA PEOPLE. I GOT A LITTLE BIT DESET BECAUSE
20	IT SEEMED BIAS. THAT CAUSED THE IG TO GET VERY
21	VERBAL AND CURSE ME OUT PRETTY STRONGLY THAT WAS
22	EQUAL TO MY DRILL INSTRUCTOR WHILE I WAS IN BOOT
23	CAMP IN THE MARINE CORP. I GUESS IT'S PRETTY
24	DIFFICULT FOR ME TO KNOW HOW SOMEONE THAT THEY KNOW,
25	OR BELIEVE AND TRY TO REPRESENT THEIR AGENCY. AND

MAYBE THEY DO OR DON'T ENOW, CIRCUMSTANCES THAT HAVE HAPPENED TO INDIVIDUALS BECAUSE THE PEOPLE AT THE TOP REPRESENT THE WHOLE AGENCY. YET, THAT INFORMATION IS NOT AVAILABLE, ONLY WHEN IT IS AVAILABLE TO THE TOP, THEN THEY REALLY CAN'T MAKE AN HONEST VIEW TO WHAT REALLY IS HAPPENING. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THE TOP KNOWS OR DON'T KNOW. I KNOW FOR MYSELF, I KNOW THAT THE PROBLEM I THINK FOR TVA AND FOR ME IS THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE DONE THE MOST FOR ME IS KNOWLEDGE. NOW, IF I HAD BEEN ABLE TO DO MY JOB AND HADN'T GOT CAUGHT UP IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT'S LEAD ME TO BE HERE AND GO TO WASHINGTON AND EVERYPLACE, I WOULDN'T HAVE THIS KNOWLEDGE. AND KNOWLEDGE IS FEAR.

٩.

4

8

11

24

I'VE ALWAYS WONDERED, LIKE, I DID NOT KNOW AND I DO NOW. I KNOW THE REAL PROCESS AND DETAIL ABOUT THE DOL AND THE THINGS THAT WE CAN DO ABOUT CONCERNS AND ISSUES. I KNOW THAT GLENN WALTON, THE SITE INSPECTOR TOLD ME, ENCOURAGED ME, THAT HE FELT MY ONLY RIGHT LEFT WAS TO GO TO THE DOL. THE PROBLEM I HAD WAS I DID NOT KNOW HOW TO GO TO THE DOL. THE TVA OR NOTHING IN THE SYSTEM HELPED TO ME KNOW WHAT TO DO TO GO FORWARD. WHAT HAPPENED WAS MY ONLY RESOURCE WAS TO GO TO SOMEONE ELSE WHO HAD ALREADY BEEN THERE, AND THAT WAS ANN HARRIS, BECAUSE SOMEONE

HAD MENTIONED HER NAME HAD BEEN THROUGH THIS BEFORE. TVA DID NOT PROVIDE ANY KIND OF MEANS TO SAY, "IF YOU'RE NOT COMFORTABLE WITH ANY OF THE SYSTEM, HERE IS A SYSTEM YOU CAN GO TO." I KNOW WHERE ALASKA IS, BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW TO GET THERE. AND THE PROBLEM IS THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE HERE, YOU KNOW, IN THE SYSTEM THAT KNOW OF THE NAME OF THE SYSTEM, BUT DON'T KNOW HOW TO USE THE SYSTEM. EVEN NOW, I'M NOT REAL COMFORTABLE WITH KNOWING WHAT I NEED TO DO MYSELF, TO BRING THIS FORWARD FOR OTHER PEOPLE TO KNOW THAT THERE IS A SYSTEM THERE, BUT WHAT GOOD IS A SYSTEM BY NAME IF YOU REALLY DON'T KNOW HOW TO USE IT? AND EVEN FOR ME NOW, I DON'T REALLY KNOW HOW TO USE THE SYSTEM, AND I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN THE SYSTEM.

5

8

16

24

25

I'D LIKE TO EXPRESS HERE SOMETHING THAT I WROTE WHILE THE OTHER PERSON WAS SPEAKING. EVERY TIME TVA HELD AN OPEN MEETING FOR EMPLOYEES VIEWS, I EXPRESSED MY VIEWS TO THE MEETING, AND AFTER THE MEETING I GOT CALLED IN AND ASKED WHAT WAS MY PROBLEM. THEY WOULD ASK, "DON'T YOU LIKE IT HERE? IF NOT, WHY DON'T YOU LEAVE?" I WOULD ASK WHY IS IT I'M ASKED TO COME TO THE MEETING, AND THEN ASK IF I'M NOT HAPPY, YOU KNOW, WHY DON'T I LEAVE. I SAID I SPOKE BECAUSE I WAS CONVINCED BY THEM THAT THEY

DIDN'T WANT TO HEAR MY VIEWS. YET, I DON'T BELIEVE THEY REALLY WANTED TO HEAR MY VIEWS OR EVERY TIME I WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN CALLED IN.

3

4

5

6

8

14

18

IN 1991 TVA MANAGEMENT STATED THAT THEY SENT THEIR MOST PASSIVE TVA SUPPORTIVE PEOPLE TO SOME OF THE SITE MEETINGS, AND EVEN THEY EXPRESSED STRONG VIEWS. TVA MANAGEMENT SAID THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED IF OTHERS GOT TO GO. TVA MANAGEMENT SELECTED THOSE TO GO. THOSE WHO WANTED TO EXPRESS VIEWS WERE NOT ALLOWED TO PARTICIPATE. A PROGRAM IS NOT A PROGRAM IF YOU ARE NOT REALL? LETTING EVERYBODY EXPRESS HOW THEY REALLY FEEL ABOUT ALL THE THINGS INVOLVED. TVA IS BIG ON HAVING PROGRAMS, AND THEY BELIEVE THE PROGRAM WORKS.

IT REALLY OFFENDS ME THAT MARK MEDFORD, AND WHAT REALLY BOTHERS ME IS HE REALLY BELIEVES WHAT HE'S SAYING. I REALLY BELIEVE THAT HE CAN TAKE A LIE DETECTOR TEST AND PASS IT. BUT ME ON THE OTHER SIDE, I CAN'T SEE HOW HE SEES WHAT HE SEES, EXCEPT I WAS BLINDED BEFORE I GOT INVOLVED IN THE SYSTEM, TOO. I DID NOT KNOW WHAT WAS THERE, BUT TVA THROUGH THE SYSTEM BROUGHT ME INTO THE SYSTEM AND CAUSED ME TO TAKE A TRIP TO WASHINGTON, BEING AT ATLANTA MEETINGS, AT WATTS BAR MEETINGS. I'VE LEARNED MORE ABOUT GOVERNMENT THAN I REALLY WANTED TO KNOW. AND

THE SAD PART IS EVEN NOW, I DON'T NECESSARILY CONSIDER THAT A VALUABLE ASSET WITH ANYTHING THAT I CAN DO.

3

6

7

8

9

24

I KNOW I EVEN TOOK MY WIFE AND MY CHILDREN TO ONE OF THE MEETINGS AT WATTS EAR, AND MY SON, HE'S A SENIOR THIS YEAR, BACK IN THE SUMMER, HE LISTENED TO A TVA, NRC SPEECH. AND EVEN HE -- HE DON'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT THIS EECAUSE I'VE NEVER SHARED THIS REAL STRONGLY WITH MY CHILDREN, AND HE SAID HE WAS AMAZED TO SEE TVA SPEAK FOR APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR AND A HALF TO TWO HOURS AND HE SAID THAT ALL HE THEY HAD WAS REPETITIOUS WORDS. HE SAID A SECOND GRADER COULDN'T HAVE UNDERSTOOD THAT. THEY DON'T SAY ANYTHING, AND I WAS QUITE SHOCKED TO FIND OUT THAT MY SON SEEN AT HIS YOUNG AGE WHAT I DIDN'T SEE IN MY OLDER AGE; THAT I ACTUALLY DID NOT SEE THAT THEY HAD A PROGRAM THAT I THOUGH THAT I BELIEVED THAT I TRUSTED, BUT YET IT DID NOT HELF ME.

NOW, THEIR IDEA OF -- I GUESS, WHAT THEY CALL A GOOD SYSTEM IS WHEN THE NUMBERS ARE DOWN. WELL, I BELIEVE IF THEY HAD ZERO NUMBERS, THE PEOPLE WERE SUPPRESSED FNOUGH THAT THEY NEVER WOULD COME FORWARD, THEN THE ZERO WOULD BE GOOD FOR THEM, AND THEY COULD SAY THE SYSTEM IS PERFECT, AND THE SYSTEM WORKED BASED ON THAT ZERO NUMBER. THE PROBLEM THAT

I HAVE IS THE FACT THAT IN THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM SURVEY, I AGREE WITH WHAT MARK MEDFORD SAID ABOUT THE NINETY-FIVE PERCENT OF THE PEOPLE WILL COME TO LINE MANAGEMENT. ORIGINALLY, I TALKED WITH SOME OF MY FRIENDS THAT ARE STILL EMPLOYED AT TVA, I WAS CONCERNED BECAUSE THEY HAD CALLED ME TO KNOW WHAT STEPS THEY COULD TAKE BEYOND LINE MANAGEMENT, BECAUSE LINE MANAGEMENT THEMSELVES DID NOT HAVE ANOTHER STEP. IT'S EVEN EXPRESSED TO LINE MANAGEMENT THAT LINE MANAGEMENT IS EXPECTED TO RESOLVE IT AT THAT LEVEL. THE PROBLEM IS THAT THERE'S SEEMS TO BE NO LEVEL BEYOND LINE MANAGEMENT. THE LINE MANAGEMENT LEVEL IS GOOD. THE PROBLEM IS NOW THEY HAVE CONVINCED EMPLOYEES THAT THEY HAVE DONE THEIR PART, AND NO MATTER WHAT HAPPENED THE EMPLOYEE CAN GO HOME AND SLEEP BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOW PARTICIPATED IN THE PROGRAM. THEY HAVE TAKEN IT TO LINE LEVEL, AND IF LINE LEVEL IS NOT DONE ANYTHING WITH IT, THE QUOTE TO ME WAS "IT'S NOT MY PROBLEM ANYMORE, BECAUSE I'VE DONE WHAT THEY'VE ASKED ME TO DO. " AND THAT REALLY SCARES ME TO KNOW THAT IF PEOPLE HAVE CONCERNS, AND WHAT HAPPENS IS THESE ISSUES ARE RESURFACING, AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT TO DO BECAUSE IF LINE LEVEL PUSHES THEM ASIDE OR DECEIVES PEOPLE LIKE MARK MEDFORD THAT THEY WOULD

÷.

3

4

6

8

24

BELIEVE THAT THE LINE MANAGERS IS TAKING CARE OF IT AND THEY ARE NOT. WELL, OF COURSE, THEY ARE GOING TO BELIEVE THE PERSON, BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO EVIDENCE TO PROVE OTHERWISE. THE PROBLEM IS THE SYSTEM'S AIN'T WORKING. WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN DOWN THE ROAD?

1

5

6

8

9

14

24

I DON'T KNOW, I GUESS I FEEL REALLY STRONG THAT THE NRC RECOMMEND ME TO GO TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. I WAS NOT REALLY HAPPY WITH THAT, BUT AT THE SAME TIME, IT SEEMS LIKE I DON'T BLAME PEOPLE FOR ONLY KNOWING WHAT THEY KNOW. I KNOW FROM ME GETTING CAUGHT UP IN THE MIDDLE OF THIS WHOLE PROCESS, FROM BEING ONE OF THE FIRST GUINEA PIGS IN THE SYSTEM, I KNOW THAT WITH ME LEARNING THE PROCESS THE THING IS I THINK THAT TVA IS AFRAID OF THE MUSCLE. IF PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF IS THERE TO SAY, "HERE'S WHAT YOU'VE GOT TO DO. HERE'S WHERE YOU HAVE TO GO. AND THIS IS HOW IT WORKS." TO ME THAT'S WHAT TVA IS AFRAID OF. THEY'RE AFRAID FOR SOMEONE TO BE ABLE TO KNOW AND EXPRESS WHAT YOU CAN DO TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE. THE PROBLEM IS PEOPLE, I THINK CAN ALSO EXPRESS WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO YOU IF YOU DO EXPRESS THOSE VIEWS. THE PROBLEM IS THAT EVERY TIME THAT I EVER EXPRESSED A VIEW TO TVA. IT WAS ALWAYS ASKED OF ME BY TVA. AND EVERY TIME I GOT PUNISHED FOR PARTICIPATING IN THE

ACTIVITY THEY REQUESTED. THAT'S WHAT I HAVE A HARD TIME LIVING WITH.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

Ŧ

3

4

6

9

11

14

24

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SPEAKER NUMBER TWO.

SPEAKER NUMBER TWO:

MY NAME IS ANN HARRIS. I'D LIKE TO COMMIT ON THE THINGS THAT WE'VE HEARD HERE EARLIER THIS MORNING THROUGH EXTENSIVE AMOUNT OF TIME I MIGHT ADD.

THE CURRENT PRACTICE BY TVA MANAGERS IS TO TELL IT ALL IN COURT PROCEEDINGS IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE. THEY ADMIT TO FALSIFICATION OF QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS, THEY ADMIT TO LYING TO THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, AND THEY ADMIT TO THE ABUSE OF EMPLOYEES. NOTHING OR NO ONE FROM THE NRC SITS IN ON THOSE HEARINGS. AT THE VERY LEAST, I WOULD THINK THAT IF THE NRC REALLY IS INTERESTED IN HEARING THIS THAT THEY WOULD AT LEAST SHOW UP AT THE HEARINGS AND GIVE IT SOME CREDIBILITY, IF THEY DO INTEND TO DO THE JOB.

THE NRC IS AWARE, AS THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR IS AWARE, AND THE TVA EMPLOYEES ARE AWARE, AND I SUSPECT THAT SHORTLY THE PUBLIC WILL BECOME AWARE THAT THE TVA IS INFAMOUS FOR COOKING THE BOOKS USING THE TVA TAKES THE POSITION THAT IF THERE ARE NO DOL CASES ON THE BOOKS, THE NUMBER IS ZERO, SO THERE "ORE, THERE IS NOT A PROBLEM. THEY DO NOT CONTEND WITH ANY ITEM THAT DOES NOT GET A NUMBER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR. THAT DOESN'T MEAN THERE'S NOT A PROBLEM, IT MEANS THERE'S NO NUMBER ON THE BOOKS, AND YOU PROBABLY COULD FIND ANY LOCAL BETTING BOOKIE. HE USES THE SAME SYSTEM IF THE INTERNAL REVENUE COME TO LOOK AT HIS BOOKS.

2

8

G.

16

THE FIRST MANAGER AT WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT IN 1987 THAT ABUSED ME AND TOOK ACTION AGAINST ME FOR RAISING SAFETY ISSUES THAT AS WE SIT HERE THIS MORNING STILL ARE NOT FIXED, HE HAS NEVER RECEIVED A REPRIMAND AND HAS NEVER RECEIVED ANY ABUSE OF HIS FUTURE, TO HIS CAREER, AND AS FAR AS I CAN TELL AS OF YESTERDAY HE STILL HAS HIS JOB WITH THIS AGENCY AND HAS HAD SEVERAL PROMOTIONS FOR HIS CONTENTION THAT ANN HARRIS IS THE PROBLEM, THE SAFETY PROBLEMS ARE NOT A PROBLEM.

IN AUGUST OF THIS YEAR, REGION TWO REGULATORY GROUP IN ATLANTA TOLD TVA THAT THE EIGHT THOUSAND EMPLOYEE ISSUES FOR 1985 HAD NOT BEEN ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED, HARDWARE IS STILL NOT FIXED AND IN SOME CASES THEY WERE CLOSED OUT AND STILL IS NOT ADDRESSED. NOW, THAT DOESN'T SAY WE HAVE A PROGRAM

INTACT AT TVA THAT'S WORKING, OR AT LEAST ADDRESSES THE SAFETY HARDWARE PROBLEM, AND THAT REALLY CONCERNS ME THAT I LIVE INSIDE THE MAGIC CIRCLE FROM WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT.

ANOTHER ISSUE, CONTRACTORS ONLY INSTITUTED RECENTLY A PROGRAM FOR EMPLOYEE CONCERNS. INSPECTION REPORTS FROM REGION TWO SHOW THAT THE PROGRAM MAY HAVE BEEN IN PLACE, BUT THE CONTRACTORS, THEIR ONLY EMPLOYEES, OVER FIFTY PERCENT OF THOSE THAT WERE QUESTIONED DID NOT EVEN KNOW THAT IT EXISTED. AND THE INSPECTION REPORT ALSO NOTED THAT SOME OF THOSE CONTRACTOR PROGRAMS, NOT ONLY WERE THEY NOT KNOWN ABOUT, BUT SOME OF THEM OF LONG TERM LARGE CONTRACTORS HAD ONLY BEEN INSTITUTED THE WEEK PRIOR TO THE NRC INSPECTION. TVA HAS HAD MASSIVE INSIDE CONTRACTORS AT WATTS BAR SINCE 1986 AND '87. SO THAT'S AN UNACCEPTABLE POSITION AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. THE PEOPLE RAISING THE ISSUES THROUGH THE EMPLOYEES CONCERNS PROGRAM, THE MAJORITY OF THEM, I AM SORRY TO SAY, ARE AT HOME OR WE WOULDN'T BE HOLDING THESE HEARINGS WHICH IS FURTHER EVIDENCE OF A SYSTEM THAT IS CLEARLY NOT WORKING AT TVA.

1.4

16

18

24

TVA IS USING THE NRC IN TRACKING NRC ALLEGERS. MR. MEDFORD STATED THAT EARLIER THIS MORNING. ALONG, WITH THAT SMALL CONTRACTORS, SHORT TERM

CONTRACTORS, THEIR NUMBERS WON'T SHOW UP IN THE EMPLOYEE CONCERNS PROGRAM, BECAUSE THEY DID NOT HAVE ACCESS TO IT, BECAUSE IT ISN'T A REQUIREMENT IN THEIR CONTRACT.

3

6

8

18

THIS CURRENT SYSTEM THAT MR. HICKMAN TALKED ABOUT EARLIER, THE EEO PROCESS TAKES LONGER THAN THE 211 SECTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR DOES, SO WHY WOULD BE PUT ANOTHER INSTITUTION IN PLACE THAT'S NOT WORKING ANY BETTER THAN WHAT WE ALREADY HAVE.

I SAT AND COUNTED THIS MORNING, AND I NEVER HAVE DONE THAT, AND I'M SORRY THAT I HAD NOT, BECAUSE IT PROBABLY MIGHT HAVE SAVED ME A LOT OF GRIEF. TVA HAS FIVE IN HOUSE ORGANIZATIONS TO HANDLE EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYEES ISSUES, AND WE'RE STILL HERE TODAY SHOWING ON THE TOP OF THE LIST ON THE GRADING OF ONE TO A HUNDRED AND TEN AS BEING THE TOP IN LEADING THE NATION IN EMPLOYEE ABUSE, AND I THINK THAT SPEAKS FOR ITSELF.

THE INFAMOUS MOU WAS SIGNED ON JANUARY THE 11TH, 1991. IF THERE WAS NO PROBLEM WITH IT, AND IT WAS CLEARLY AND ETHICAL THING TO DO, AND THERE WAS NO ABUSE INTENDED, WHY DID IT TAKE ALMOST THREE YEARS FOR IT TO COME TO LIFE? THE INSPECTOR GENERAL REPRESENTATIVE THIS MORNING SAYS THAT HE DIDN'T HIDE IT. WELL, IT WAS ONLY RECENTLY WAS PUT IN HIS

REPORT THAT WAS PUBLISHED AFTER THE FACTS CAME TO Ť. LIFE THIS SUMMER. AND HE SAYS THAT GOING THROUGH THE SYSTEM, GOING THROUGH THE ORGANIZATION WILL 3 HANDLE THESE ISSUES, IF THERE IS NO OUTSIDE ALTERNATIVE. I HAVE PERSONALLY HAVE GIVEN DOCUMENTS. TO THE NRC, TO THE SITE DIRECTOR, TO ALL OF THE NRC, 8 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, ALL THE WAY THROUGH CONGRESS SHOWING AND PROVING THAT I WENT THROUGH 9 TVA'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS RIGHT UP THROUGH THE CHAIN OF COMMAND BEFORE I WAS EVER FORCED OUTSIDE OF THE AGENCY OVER THE ABUSE THAT HAPPENED TO ME. THANK YOU. 13 MR. LIEBERMAN: THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY OTHER SPEAKERS? I DON'T HAVE A NUMBER, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY SOMETHING. MR. LIEBERMAN: NO PROBLEM. I PERCEIVE THAT YOU ARE HERE ASKING FOR IDEAS ON HOW TO CHANGE THE SITUATION. THIS IDEA HAS VERY 24 DEEP RAMIFICATIONS IN THAT IT CHANGES THE LAW, BUT I BELIEVE THAT YOU AND MEMBERS OF CONGRESS THAT YOU

WILL BE REPORTING TO HAVE THE FOWER TO CHANGE THE LAW.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR A WHISTLEBLOWER CASE ALLOW A WHISTLEBLOWER CAN ONLY GO BEFORE AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE. THIS GENTLEMAN OR LADY HAS THE SOLE DISCRETION TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST A WHISTLEBLOWER. I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THAT THE LAW BE CHANGED TO A JURY SYSTEM TO ALLOW AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TO IMPANEL A JURY TO HEAR THIS CASE.

THE MATTERS THAT I BROUGHT UP TO YOU YESTERDAY ENTAIL CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES WITH DISCRETION OF TVA WORK PRODUCT WORTH MULTI-MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. YOU CAN GO ON A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PROJECT, AND THEY ALL HAVE FENCES AROUND THEM. POSTED ON THESE FENCES ARE SIGNS THAT IF YOU STEAL FROM THIS PROJECT YOU'RE SUBJECT TO IMPRISONMENT OR TEN THOUSAND DOLLAR FINE. THAT MEANS A WHEEL BARREL OR A WORK PRODUCT.

MR. LIEBERMAN, WHAT IS YOUR STATUS WITH THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION?

MR. LIEBERMAN:

3

4

6

8

9

14

18

24

YOU MEAN MY POSITION?

THE SPEAKER:

YES, SIR.

25 MR. LIEBERMAN:

I'M THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT. THE SPEAKER:

A

5

9

14

18

25

YESTERDAY, MR. LIEBERMAN, I MADE YOU AWARE OF STATUTORY TIME FRAME CONSIDERATIONS. ALL THE DESTRUCTION OF SIX THOUSAND HANGER RECORDS WHICH ARE A LIE OF THE PLANT, FALSE INSURANCE RECORDS; AND I CHALLENGE YOU, MR. LIEBERMAN, TO CERTIFY THIS TO JANET RENO WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR INVESTIGATION. I HAVE MADE THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE AWARE OF THIS. THERE ARE AT LEAST FIFTEEN PEOPLE THAT SHOULD BE BEHIND BARS FOR THE DESTRUCTION OF THESE WORK PRODUCT WORTH MULTI-MILLIONS OF DOLLARS. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PROSECUTED AND I DON'T KNOW IF THEY EVER WILL, BUT MY EXPERIENCE WITH THE IG'S OFFICE CONSISTED OF TELLING THEM OF THIS CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES: THEY FIRED ME. I CAME OUT INTO THE PUBLIC AND SOUGHT EMPLOYMENT AT THE BECKTAL CORPORATION. A NOTORIOUS VIOLATOR OF WHISTLEBLOWER RIGHTS WAS MY EMPLOYER. I WAS THERE SIX MONTHS WHEN TWO IG AGENTS APPEARED ON THE JOB TRYING TO FIND EVIDENCE THAT THEY COULD CONVICT ME OR HELP CONVICT ME OF A VIOLATOR OR WORKERS' COMPENSATION LAWS. THIS IS THE EXTENT THAT THE TVA IG'S OFFICE WAS INTERESTED. THEY ARE INTERESTED IN SHOOTING THE MESSENGER. THEY TRIED UNSUCCESSFULLY

TO DO IT TO THIS PERSON. I'M SURE THEY ARE STILL TRYING, BUT THE IG'S OFFICE IS NOT BENEFICIAL TO A WHISTLEBLOWER, AND A WHISTLEBLOWER WILL NEVER, UNLESS THEY ARE A FOOL, TO THEM AND TO LET THEM KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON, AND THEY SIMPLY NEED TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE NRC PROCEDURES.

THAT'S THE END OF MY STATEMENT. MR. LIEBERMAN:

THANK YOU.

ARE THERE ANY MORE SPEAKERS?

(NO RLSPONSE).

THEN, WE'LL TAKE A BREAK UNTIL A QUARTER OF TWELVE, AND IF THERE ARE ANY INDIVIDUALS WHO DESIRE TO SPEAK, I'LL LET THEM GO AT THAT TIME.

(WHEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS ENSUED.)

MR. LIEBERMAN:

WE JUST HAVE ONE PERSON WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A QUICK COMMENT.

THE SPEAKER:

4

5

6

8

14

18

MR. LIEBERMAN AND I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THIS STATEMENT SO WE COULD GET IT ON THE RECORD. THERE WAS A MISCONCEPTION -- A PERCEPTION THAT'S NOT TRUE ABOUT SETTLEMENT OF WHISTLEBLOWERS. MY SETTLEMENT, AND ALL THOSE THAT ARE HERE, AND ANY AND ALL I'VE BEEN ABLE TO CONTACT AND QUESTION THEM ABOUT IS THAT THE VERY FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THAT MUTUAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE WHISTLEBLOWER AND THE TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY STATES THAT ALL THOSE PEOPLE BRINGING FORTH ISSUES AND THAT AS A PART OF THIS SETTLEMENT IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT THEY HAVE THE LEEWAY TO GO TO ANY AGENCY TO BRING FORTH ANY SORT OF ABUSE OR ANY SORT OF DISCRIMINATION, TO CONGRESS OR ANYBODY THAT THEY DEEM NECESSARY TO GET THEIR ACTIONS DEALT WITH.

MR. LIEBERMAN, AND YOUR TASK FORCE, I APPRECIATE AS DO THE OTHER MEMBERS THAT YOU'VE HEARD FROM HERE LAST NIGHT, WE APPRECIATE AND WE THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN HERE IN THE SOUTH AND LISTEN TO US TALK SLOW.

THANK YOU.

MR. LIEBERMAN:

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

14

THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY COMMENTS?

(NO RESPONSE).

THEN I WOULD LIKE TO CLOSE THIS MEETING, BUT BEFORE I DO THAT WE WANT TO THANK EVERYONE, AND WITH THAT THIS MEETING IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING NEWBERRY & COMPANY!

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA) COUNTY OF FULTON)

5

13

1.4

24

I, MARY PARHAM, DEPOSITION OFFICER, BEING A NOTARY PUBLIC AND CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF GEORGIA AT LARGE, CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND COMPLETE R CORD OF THE TESTIMONY GIVEN, THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES, NOR A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, NOR FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THE ACTION.

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL AT ATLANTA, FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA. THIS 19TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 1993.

Mary Parkam

MARY PARHAM, CCR CERTIFICATE NO. B-1727 (CCR SEAL - NOTARY SEAL)

NNSN

National Nuclear Safety Network

October 13, 1993

Public Comments

Cleveland, Tennessee

James Lieberman

Review Team for Teassessment of the NRC Program for Protecting Allegers Against Retaliation

Good evening, I am Ann Harris. I am addressing you tonight as the spokesman for the National Nuclear Safety Network. NNSN is a coalition of whistleblowers, nuclear safety and public interest organizations, as well as interested individuals across America, dedicated to pursuing safe operation of nuclear power plants and adherence to regulations by all nuclear licensees.

On September 25, 1993 representatives of NNSN met with James Lieberman. Director of the Office of Enforcement and two other representatives of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Althought this meeting was not, by design, an official meeting of this review team, the coalition did discuss with the NRC its views on issues related to whistleblower protection. Prior to the meeting, NNSN entered into a written agreement with the NRC representatives that both NNSN and NRC staff would prepare respective memorandum reflecting both parties "sense of the meeting". Both parties agreed that the respective memorandum would be submitted to the Review Team and become part of the agreement. The coalition intends to fully honor the agreement. My statement tonight is not to be considered a preemption of that agreement.

In 1985 the Tennessee Valley Authority was denied an operating license at Watts Bar Nuclear Plant. The TVA told the NRC that "we'll do better, trust us." In 1987 the TVA's Nuclear program was in ashes. In 1988 TVA told the NRC to " trust us, we'll do better, let us restart Sequoyah and we'll fix all of those old safety problems later." March of this year saw Chairman Selin at Seguoyah for a public relations visit. Sequoyah was shut down. Chairman Selin stated that Sequoyah should not have been permitted to restart. Again, the NRC trusted the TVA to ensure the public health and safety. In 1990, the TVA was forced to stop work at the twenty-two (22) year old Watts Bar nuclear construction site. One month before shutdown, Region 2 Administrator Ebneter was quoted as saying that "Watts Bar is the best I've ever seen it." One month later Watts Bar, on December 21, 1990, work stopped. In January 1991, one month after the stop work Mr. Ebneter stated that " Watts Bar is the worst that I've ever seen." I submit to you that these are but a few of the items that gives a clear indication that the NRC is killing the nuclear industry in the United States. The NRC has become an agency of cooperation instead of regulation.

In January 1991, the NRC went even further to cooperate instead of regulate. The NRC's Office of Investigation, Mr Ben Hayes signed a formal agreement with the TVA's Inspector General, Mr Norm Zigrossi. TVA's IG is not independent.

This Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) has been used as a direct line to the TVA management, further distancing the NRC from safety issues and employee abuse at the TVA. Using this MOU to betray people, their jobs, their families and their futures is outright negligent. And if not oriminal it certainly borders on oriminal. Since the TVA used the information gathered through the MOU to cut over six thousand (6,000) TVA construction jobs in 1991, the NRC should hang its head in shame. Such a large price the people of this valley have paid because the NRC cannot regulate, it can only cooperate. The MOU is a clear indication that the NRC does not, cannot and is not regulating the nuclear industry.

The use of the MOU is a clear message to nuclear employees nation wide that the NRC is leading the " chilling effect" against nuclear employees identifying safety issues. The TVA has no better lobby or advocate than the NRC, where abuse of employees is an issue. I am told that the MOU will stay in force because the NRC trust the TVA!

In 1988 I filed with the Department of Labor and the NRC for discrimination for identifying safety problems at the Watts Bar site. The NRC stated that until all legal avenues were exhausted, the NRC would not get involved. Those issues were confirmed and I made the first settlement with TVA in November 1988. Now I'm told that too much time has lapsed and

> Harris page 4 apt

Harris - page +

the NRC will not get involved, and that the TVA has changed. The NRC has trusted TVA again!

Since the 1988 settlement I filed three (3) more actions with the DOL and I settled those issues with TVA in an agreement reached in October 1990. DOL reacted quickly and investigated the issues. All of the initial rulings were in my favor. The NRC could not make the same determinations even after performing an investigation on one case. BUT the NRC turned that same action over to TVA's IG who " could not find any misconduct" by TVA management.

Misconduct by TVA management was not and is not the issue! Intimidation and Harassment of employees for identifying safety issues is the issue. The Whistleblower law does not state that the TVA must be found guilty of misconduct. We are all aware that the policies, programs and procedures of TVA are in conflict with the law. TVA's IG does not look at the safety issue or how wrong doing by a TVA manager can affect safety. As you are aware, the TVA Inspector General gets his job just like every other TVA employee, through a buddy or through the office of human resources. TVA's IG is the management muscle of the TVA and is not independent of TVA management.

When the NRC does not become involved early in the process, the NRC forces the allegers to use resources that we do not have. Look what happened in Connecticut to Maggie and

Harris Daye s

Arnie Gundersen. Listen to peoples here tonight. How would you like to swap places with one of us for a week? How can the NRC have a conscience when it forces families out of their home. The Gundersen's, or anyone else, and myself included, do not have access to the monies that these utilities have. Over five (5) million dollars was spent trying to keep Ann Harris guite. The safety issues were never denied by TVA, just the abuse. The NRC now tells me that too much time has lapsed, TVA has changed and we must trust TVA: The TVA feels very comfortable indeed, with the cooperation from the NRC.

TVA has one of the oldest employee concerns program in the nuclear industry and TVA continues to have the worst record for employee abuse. This task force should go back and tell Chairman Selin that since the programs have no regulation and are yet, another conduit back to the abusing manager the programs are not and have not been working. He should try putting a program in place at the NRC that starts the NRC into the regulation of the nuclear industry, not cooperation. The chairman is out of touch with what employees are willing to endure when they are being abused and when the public health and safety are at risk.

Mr. Leiberman, you have ask us to comment on your work product. And so I shall. In March of this year, the Office of Enforcement held an enforcement conference in Atlanta over TVA's abuse and discrimination of employees over safety issues. The meeting was closed to any whistleblower and or

their advocates. TVA senior management came, human resources came, But no involvement from the poor employee that was so mistreated. The NRC drew a line in the sand and only considered those cases within a specific time frame. The time frame enabled TVA to cover up from the the ratepayers over one hundred fifty cases of abuse by TVA over employees and public safety. This is the new TVA, and the TVA that the NRC OI trusts to handle its own problems of abuse. In that conference it was identified that TVA had settled sixty-four (64) cases of these some one hundred fifty people. How the office of Enforcement and the Office of Investigation justifies that kind of cooperation clearly shows the NRC's inability to regulate. The TVA senior management thanks you, TVA public relations thanks you, TVA lawyers thank you and TVA contractors thank you for aiding them in this cover up with the " new TVA". You have certainly saved many TVA managers jobs, futures and homes.

All of this abuse has generated a total fine by the office of enforcement of \$240,000 against the TVA. That amount covers . er two hundred (200) whistleblowers at the TVA. That equals to \$1200.00 'er person. The NRC's OI and OE have put a cheap price on people.

Mr. Lieberman as I stated to you when you met with the National Nuclear Safety Network in Connecticut recently, I cannot comment on a work product where nothing exists that would generate a comment. No action has been generated and I

am sure that you are waiting for the time to run out so that you do not have to deal with these people that you helped abuse.

On August 3, 1993, this task force published a press release from the NRC announcing these hearings. That press release said public comments are being sought on the NRC's whistleblower protection program. As you are aware, Mr Lieberman, I attempted to find that program and how it works. Chairman Selin told the Senate hearings in July about the program and in your press release you talk about the program again. I wanted to be able to use the program if I should need it. It should be easily accessed by nuclear employees. David Lamb, formerly of Houston Light and Power, could certainly have used it. Allan Mosbaugh, formerly of the Georgia Power Company, would have been delighted to use it. BUT as you are aware and you confirmed to us in that meeting, no such program exists.

I think that the chairman of the NRC is misleading congress, the media and nuclear employees into believing that the NRC will provide some sort of protection to employees raising safety issues.

Chairman Selin is asking us to trust the NRC. The NRC has abdicated its regulatory responsibilities to the agency that leads the nation in abuse of employees. Sure TVA has less problems with employees at nuclear sites. Word is out that

the NRC will identify the person and the issue back to TVA. TVA Employees aren't willing to take a chance when TVA terrorized the nuclear employees in May 1991, with the full knowledge and concurrence of the NRC. The message came through loud and clear, don't go to the NRC, they cooperate not regulate. The NRC knows full well that a TVA employee coming forward with a safety issue will cost him/her their job.

The continued use of the MOU between the NRC and TVA is a clear indication of the contempt that the NRC has for the public safety and health. The NRC is encouraging a nuclear accident in this country by stopping whistleblowers from identifying safety problems. The nuclear industry could be made safe if a regulatory agency was in place to regulate.

One way that the NRC could show that it is not cooperating is to put two members of the national coalition on this task force. It would ensure that congress and the commission hears what is said by the whistleblowers who have absolute credibility and not the utilities continual promise to do better.

Another way would be to create a citizens advisory board. Two members from the national coalition should serve on the board at all times. The members of the commission, including the chairman, should resign effective immediately. Without leadership that can be trusted, the NRC is just as useless as the utilities want it to be. Mr.Lieberman and Mr. Hays, you

Harris page 9.

two men have known that what you were practicing in your offices was not correct. Where is your self respect? Where is your concern for the public health? Where is your conscience. I think that they are all right where you put them . In your hip pocket, right where you can touch them when you have an attack of conscience, and the evidence is overwhelming that your hip pocket was removed several years ago from non use.

Before I step down, I want to ask if these hearings are a protected activity for people making statements that do not have jobs with the utilities.

tig fullis

1- The NEC should remove the MOU 2-2 people on Frsk Jone