#### AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO. 50-275 Diablo Canyon Unit 1 UNIT 8/2/82 DATE W.B.Scott COMPLETED BY 805/595/7351 TELEPHONE

AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
0	17	0
0	18	0
0	19	0
0	20	0
0	21	0
0	22	0
0	23	0
0	24	0
0	25	0
0	26	0
0	27	0
0	28	0
0	29	0
0		0
0	30	0

## INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

## OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-275

DATE 8/2/82

COMPLETED BY W.B.Scott 805/595/7351

OPE	RATING STATUS					
1. Unit	Name: Diablo Canyon Unit 1	Notes				
	orting Period: July, 1982					
	used Thermal Power (MWt): 166.9					
	eplate Rating (Gross MWe): 1170					
5. Desig	n Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 1084					
	mum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe):	1134				
7. Maxi	mum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe):	1084				
8. If Ch NONE	anges Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Nu	mher 3 Through 7) Si	nce Last Report, Give Re	easons:		
		uwe)0-				
	r Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net N	ided Low Power T	est License			
U. Reas	ons For Restrictions, If Any: Suspen					
		This Month	Yr. 10 Date	Cumulativ		
		Tras Month	11. To Date	Cumulativ		
1. Hour	s In Reporting Period	0	0	0		
	ber Of Hours Reactor Was Critical	0	0	0		
	tor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0	0	0		
	Generator On-Line	0	0	0		
	Reserve Shutdown Hours	0	0	0		
	s Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	0	0	0		
	s Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	0	0	0		
	Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	0	0	0		
	Service Factor	N/A				
	Availability Factor	N/A		200		
	Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	N/A				
	Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	N/A		-		
	Forced Outage Rate	N/A				
	downs Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Typ	pe, Date, and Puration	of Each):			
5. If Sh	ut Down At End Of Report Period, Estima	red Date of Startur	September, 1982	(fuel load		
	s In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Opera		Forecast	Achieved		
	INITIAL CRITICALITY		NOV. 1982			
	INITIAL ELECTRICITY	NOV. 1982				
	COMMERCIAL OPERATION		FEB. 1983			

#### UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH July 1982

50-275 DOCKET NO. Diablo Canyon Unit UNIT NAME 8/2/82 W.B.Scott DATE COMPLETED BY 805/595/7351 TELEPHONE

Nex.	Date	Type1	Duration (Hours)	Reason-	Method of Shutting Down Reactor3	Licensee Event Report #	System Code4	Component Code 5	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
8	310928	F	0	Н	4	81-002/01T	ZZ	727777	Halted fuel loading preparations upon discovery of seismic calculation errors. Reanalysis and reviews are being conducted in accordance with Commission's instructions.

F: Forced

S: Scheduled

A-Equipment Failure (Explain)

B-Maintenance or Test

C-Refueling

D-Regulatory Restriction

E-Operator Training & License Examination

F-Administrative

G-Operational Error (Explain) H-Other (Explain)

3 Method:

1-Manual

2-Manual Scram.

3-Automatic Scrain.

4-Other (Explain)

Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161)

Exhibit I - Same Source

(9/77)

# ATTACHMENT TO JULY, 1982, MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT UNIT 1

## REPORT OF CHANGES TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING PROCEDURE

In accordance with Section 6.14 of Appendix A of the Technical Specifications to the Diablo Canyon Unit 1 Operating License, these changes to the Environmental Radiological Monitoring Procedure are submitted. Revision 1 was reviewed and approved by the Plant Staff Review Committee on June 3, 1982; Revision 2 was reviewed and approved on June 18, 1982. Because PGandE controls each procedure as a unit, the approvals and date of the procedure appear on the first sheet of the procedure rather than each page. Accordingly, complete copies of both revision 1 and 2 are submitted and individual changes on each page are identified by a vertical revision bar in the left hand margin.

A detailed description of the reason for each change is presented in accordance with paragraph 6.14.1.a of the Technical Specifications.

These changes amount to additions of sample locations, clarification of sampling locations and editorial changes that do not reduce the accuracy or reliability of dose calculations or setpoint determinations.

## Changes Made in Revision 1

- Page 1, Discussion, first sentence The reference to applicable sections
  of the Technical Specifications is made more specific.
- 2. Page 1, Requirements, item 1, second paragraph, last sentence "will" changed to "should" in order to reflect good practice without implying Tech Spec requirement and to alleviate potential procedural inconsistencies. This is only a PGandE requirement.
- 3. Page 3, Requirements, item 4.a, first paragraph on page, last sentence added the phrase "along with plans for preventing a recurrence" to specify that the supplementary report should contain such information.
- 4. Page 3, Requirements, item 4.b.1, entire paragraph paragraph titled "Report of Program Variance" added to be consistent with Tech Spec 3.12.1.
- 5. Page 3, Requirements, item 4.b.2, first sentence, and Page 4, Requirements, item 4.b.3 added "Office of Inspection and Enforcement" to incorporate the correct name of the NRC Regional office.
- 6. Page 4, Requirements, item 4.b.4, entire paragraph paragraph entitled "Notification of Sample Unavailability" was added to incorporate the requirements of Tech. Spec. 3.12.1.
- Page 6, Table 1, Drinking Water Sample added I-131 analysis to the type of analysis required to include the requirements of Tech Spec Table 3.12-1.

Attachment to July, 1982 Monthly Operating Report Page 2

- 8. Page 6, Table 1, Fish and Invertebrates Sample added samples of rockfish and abalone from stations north and south of the plant in the Pacific Ocean. These sample locations are added to be consistent with requirements of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board and to meet the Tech Spec requirements for two sample stations (see Tech. Spec. Table 3.12-1).
- 9. Page 13, Table 5, Station Codes added station codes for the plant outfall, drinking water, Pacific Ocean North and Pacific Ocean South to clarify the codes and locations of the sample points.
- 10. Page 13, Table 5, Station Code footnote editorial additions clarify that certain station codes do not follow coding system listed.

## Changes Made in Revision 2

- Page 1, Scope, second sentence added reference to the Department of Engineering Research's (DER) cross-check program with the State of California that is conducted by State requirements.
- 2. Page 2, Requirements, item 3.b added entire paragraph that implements the State requirements for a cross-check program with the State of California, Department of Health Services.
- 3. Pages 3 and 4, Requirements, items 4.b.1, 4.b.3 and 4.b.4 first sentence reporting requirements made more explicit by specifying the office of the NRC to which the report is sent, and the addition of copies to NRR and the State of California.
- Page 6, Table 1, Drinking Water Sample, Sample and collection frequency corrected typographical ommission by adding the word "days".
- 5. Page 14, Table 6 added entire table titled "Summary of Cross-Check Program with State of California" to specify details of samples, stations, frequency of samples and type of analysis to be conducted under the cross-check program with the State of California.