DOCKETED

82 SEP 23 P12:00

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of)	
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY) Docket No.	. 50-322 (OL)
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)		

STATUS REPORT ON EMERGENCY PLANNING PHASE I CONTENTIONS

In response to the Board's September 7, 1982 Order, the parties are filing this status report (1) to summarize the progress of negotiations regarding emergency planning contentions, (2) to report the effect on admitted issues of the Staff's on-site appraisal report, and (3) to discuss the parties' views on filing testimony prior to October 12.

I.

LILCO, Suffolk County (SC or County), and the NRC Staff met on September 8, 1982, to discuss the emergency planning contentions.1/ Using the Board's September 7 Order as a guide,

^{1/} The Shoreham Opponents Coalition (SOC) and the North Shore Committee (NSC) were unable to attend this meeting.

the parties discussed each of the remaining contentions to determine which were susceptible to settlement. As a result, the County is now reviewing draft settlement agreements from LILCO on EP 4, Federal Resources; EP 6.C, Notification of Emergency Personnel; EP 7.A, Training of Offsite Agencies; EP 10, EOF; and EP 12, Radiological Exposure. LILCO and the County are also discussing EP 2.A, Effects of Weather on the Sirens; EP 2.C, Gaps in Siren Coverage; and EP 8, Onsite Response Organization, so that LILCO can provide information necessary to close these issues. And, LILCO will be meeting with the North Shore Committee shortly to discuss settlement of EP 17.B, Number of Personnel in EOF, and to exchange information on EP 15, Communications. (Both parties will bring technical consultants to this meeting.)2/

As a result, the parties are hopeful that EP 2.A, 2.C, 4, 6.C, 7.A, 8, 10, 12, and 17.B can be settled prior to the filing of testimony, leaving the following contentions for litigation: EP 2.B, Backup Power to Sirens; EP 3, Medical and Public Health Support; EP 5, Protective Actions; EP 6.A, Role Conflict of Offsite Response Organizations; EP 6.B, Traffic Impeding Offsite Response; EP 14, Accident Assessment and

^{2/} The meeting was scheduled for September 21 but was cancelled by NSC. LILCO hopes to reschedule the meeting for some time within the next week.

Monitoring; EP 15, Communications; EP 20, Interim SPDS; and EP 23, Dose Models.

In addition, to avoid potential appeals, the parties are pursuing settlement of certain issues denied admission by the Board, including the proposed contentions regarding tone alerts, training of LILCO personnel, and emergency planning exercises. However, the parties are concentrating efforts on settling first those contentions admitted by the Board; these additional settlement matters will in all likelihood be pursued beyond the October 12 filing date.

II.

It is the view of LILCO and the Staff that none of the admitted issues would likely be materially affected by the completion of the Staff's on-site appraisal report.

SC believes that the NRC Staff's on-site appraisal report will affect the following admitted contentions for the reasons set forth below:

EP 7.A: The draft confirmatory action letter (Items 16.A and B) suggests that training for personnel who may be called upon to act in an emergency may be inadequate.

- EP 8.A: The draft copy of the Staff's confirmatory action letter (Item 2.A) appears to note deficiencies in LILCO's organizational structure as does EP 8.A.
- EP 8.B: The draft confirmatory action letter (Item 2.B)

 appears to question LILCO's ability to augment its

 staff as required by NUREG 0654. This deficiency is
 the subject of contention EP 8.B.
- EP 12: The draft confirmatory action letter (Items 7 and 12) suggests that adequate means may not have been established for controlling radiological exposure to emergency vorkers.
- EP 14.C: The draft conf. matory action letter (Items 3.A, 14.B(i) and (j) and 17) suggests that there is not yet sufficient information available to assess the adequacy of LILCO's plant effluent monitoring equipment.

III.

As to the date for filing direct testimony, the County and the Staff indicated during the parties' September 8 meeting that they would be unable to file any testimony prior to October 12. LILCO would have been prepared to file testimony prior to October 12 on some, though not all, of the remaining

contentions. But as a practical matter, it does not appear that the other parties will be ready to file before October 12, and LILCO does not wish to file testimony earlier than the other parties.

The County, SOC, NSC, and the NRC Staff join in this status report.

Respectfully submitted,
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

Kathy E. B. McCleskey

James N. Christman

Kathy E. B. McCleskey

Hunton & Williams 707 East Main Street P.O. Box 1535 Richmond, Virginia 23212

DATED: September 21, 1982

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In the Matter of LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1) Docket No. 50-322 (OL)

I hereby certify that copies of STATUS REPORT ON EMERGENCY PLANNING PHASE I CONTENTIONS were served upon the following by first-class mail, postage prepaid, by Federal Express (as indicated by an asterisk), or by hand (as indicated by two asterisks), on September 21, 1982:

Atomic Safety and Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris**

Administrative Judge

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

Commission Atomic Safety and Licensing Washington, D.C. 20555 Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James H. Carpenter** Washington, D.C. 20555 Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Daniel F. Brown, Esq. Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Lawrence Brenner, Esq.** Atomic Safety and Licensing Administrative Judge Appeal Board Panel Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

> Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

Bernard M. Bordenick, Esq. ** David A. Repka, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Attorney Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Herbert H. Brown, Esq.**
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Karla J. Letsche, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill,
Christopher & Phillips
8th Floor
1900 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mr. Mark W. Goldsmith Energy Research Group 4001 Totten Pond Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose, California 95125

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223 David J. Gilmartin, Esq.
Attn: Patricia A. Dempsey, Esq.
County Attorney
Suffolk County Department of Law
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11787

Stephen B. Latham, Esq.*
Twomey, Latham & Shea
33 West Second Street
P. O. Box 398
Raverhead, New York 11901

Ralph Shapiro, Esq.*
Cammer and Shapiro, P.C.
9 Fast 40th Street
New York, New York 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Matthew J. Kelly, Esq. State of New York Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Kathy E. B. McCleskey
Kathy J. B. McCleskey

Hunton & Williams 707 East Main Street P.O. Box 1535 Richmond, Virginia 23212