



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

IN RESPONSE, PLEASE
REFER TO: M820722C

July 22, 1982

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM FOR: Leonard Bickwit, Jr., General Counsel

FROM: *for* Samuel J. Chilk, *JCH* Secretary

SUBJECT: STAFF REQUIREMENTS - AFFIRMATION/DISCUSSION
SESSION, 3:30 P.M., THURSDAY, JULY 22, 1982,
COMMISSIONERS' CONFERENCE ROOM, D.C. OFFICE,
(OPEN TO PUBLIC ATTENDANCE)

SECY-82-270/270A - Alternative Commission Decision in Indian
Point Special Proceeding

The Commission by a vote 5-0* (Commissioners Gilinsky and Asselstine dissenting in part) approved an Order responding to several requests by parties to the Indian Point proceeding and providing additional guidance to the Board.

The Commission requested that the Order include the separate views of Commissioners Gilinsky and Asselstine and the following footnote.

"We have received letters from PASNY and UCS-NYPIRG, both dated July 19, 1982, but we have not entertained either letter."

(OGC)

(SECY Suspense: July 26, 1982)

(This also completes SECY action on SECY-82-270B.)

- cc: Chairman Palladino
- Commissioner Gilinsky
- Commissioner Ahearne
- Commissioner Roberts
- Commissioner Asselstine
- Commission Staff Offices
- ✓ PDR - Advance
- ✓ DCS - 016 Phillips

* Section 201 of the Energy Reorganization Act, 42 U.S.C. § 5841, provides that action of the Commission shall be determined by a "majority vote of the members present." Commissioner Gilinsky was not present when this item was affirmed, but had previously indicated that he would approve in part, disapprove in part. Had Commissioner Gilinsky been present, he would have affirmed his prior vote. Accordingly, the formal vote of the Commission was 4-0 in favor of the decision.

*AMB
Comm.*