D. Hood

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
EDO Reading
WDircks
TRoe
TRehm
VStello
GCunningham
JNGrace
HDenton/DEisenhut
HThompson/MJambor
DCrutchfield/CSchum
EButcher

EDO#000505

TSRG Reading
PPAS
KBowman
T Speis
R Bernero

APR 12 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman Palladino

FROM: William J. Dircks
Executive Director for Operations

SUBJECT: MCGUIRE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Reference: Memorandum, Palladino to Dircks, "McGuire Technical Specifications," dated April 1, 1985

This is in response to your request in the referenced memorandum that we provide our schedule for completing the ongoing McGuire Technical Specification DPO review. You specifically requested that we establish a target date for final resolution and inform the Commission immediately if information becomes available which causes a revision to our previous no imminent safety problem finding.

The enclosed memorandum describes the process we are using to achieve final resolution of the DPO. Consistent with this process the Division of Licensing, NRR is in the final stages of completing its review and categorizing the remaining issues as either: (1) plant specific requiring a licensee response; (2) generic requiring cost benefit analysis and review by CRGR; or (3) closed requiring no further action by the staff or licensee. To date approximately 32% of the remaining issues have been categorized as plant specific 11% as generic and 57% are still under review. Of the 57% still under review we estimate that at least half will be categorized as closed. The review of all the issues has not produced any information which causes us to revise our previous finding of no imminent safety concern.

Our schedule for completing the categorization process is May 1, 1985. This part of the review was delayed for several months due to the more pressing NTOL licensing schedules. At the completion of categorization the licensee will be requested to respond to the plant specific issues within three months.

CONTACT:
E. Butcher, NRR
49-28947

8504230010 XA

The generic issues will be processed as a part of our ongoing generic issues program described in NUREG-0933. Our target date for final resolution of all the issues is the end of this year.

(Signed) William J. Dircks

William J. Dircks
Executive Director for Operations

Enclosure:
Memo R. Bernero to D. Eisenhut
dated August 30, 1984

cc: Commissioner Roberts
Commissioner Asselstine
Commissioner Bernthal
Commissioner Zech
OGC
OPE
SECY

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File Docket No: 50-369/370
EDO #000505 w/orig green ticket
EDO Reading File
WDircks
TRoe
TRehm
VStello
GCunningham
JNGrace
HDenton/DEisenhut
HThompson/MJambor
DCrutchfield/CSchum
Butcher
TSRG R/F
PPAS
KBowman, P-428

*SEE PREVIOUS PAGES FOR CONCURRENCE

DL:TSRG	DL:DSI	DL:AD	DSI:DIR*	DST:DIR*	NRR	NRR	EDO	
EButcher:jc	DCrutchfield	HThompson	RBernero	TSpeis	DEisenhut	HDenton	WDircks	
4/3/85	4/3/85	4/4/85	4/4/85	4/4/85	4/ /85	4/ /85	4/ /85	

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

AUG 3 0 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Darrell G. Eisenhut, Director Division of Licensing

FROM: Robert M. Bernero, Director Division of Systems Integration

SUBJECT: CONCERNS ON MCGUIRE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Reference: Memorandum, Sheron to Denton, "Review Status of Technical Issues on McGuire Tech Specs" dated June 25, 1984

In the reference memorandum, Mr. Denton was advised that the RSB management would review the concerns of R. Licciardo on the McGuire technical specifications as he clarified them in his June 11, 1984, memorandum and forward the results to DL. RSB has completed its review and categorization of the concerns, and this memorandum forwards the results to your office for disposition.

In summary, we identified no concerns of safety significance that required immediate action, and all concerns could be addressed as part of the process described later on in this memo.

Our categorization process eliminated those concerns that RSB management felt were either not appropriate for technical specifications or still did not clearly specify the issue. The remaining concerns were categorized as either category A, those concerns that were plant specific within the scope of the standard Technical Specifications and were appropriate to ask an applicant, and category B, concerns that were felt to be philosophic in nature, questioning the scope and content of the technical specifications.

The category A concerns are provided in enclosure (1) and the category B concerns are provided in enclosure (2).

With regard to the category A items, these are questions which the RSB management felt were appropriate to be asked of an applicant, but not necessarily considered to be final "positions." Based on the response, the staff would have to decide whether it was acceptable or if changes to the McGuire and standard technical specifications were warranted. If it were the latter, we would follow the Office Letter 38 guidance.

We also note that the categorization process was done by 5 managers. Different judgments could result in some differences in categorization. You should therefore feel free to recategorize those items you believe are miscategorized.

We have worked with Cecil Thomas of your staff and have agreed on the following approach to final resolution:

8409110067

AUG 3 0 1984

1. DL will review the category A and B items and identify those for which they believe acceptable answers already exist for the Technical Specifications. These concerns and the answers will be documented by DL.

2. Of the remaining concerns, DL will review the categorization and revise them as necessary into items which are plant specific to McGuire, items which are generic, and items which are applicable to both.

3. For those items that are generic, they will be returned to DSI by DL for consideration by DSI for incorporation in the next periodic update of the standard technical specifications in accordance with the provisions of Office Letter 38.

4. For those items that are plant specific, DL will determine how to address them with the McGuire licensee.

DSI (RSB) will assist DL as necessary in carrying out these final steps of the resolution plan.

Robert M. Bernero, Director
Division of Systems Integration

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: H. Denton
E. Case
D. Crutchfield
C. Thomas
F. Miraglia
D. Brinkman
R. Birkel
T. Novak
E. Adensam
RSB S/L's