

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20666

DEC 1 5 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Roger J. Mattson, Director, Division of Systems Integration

FROM: R. Wayne Houston, Assistant Director for Reactor Safety, DSI

SUBJECT: COMMENT ON DIFFERING PROFESSIONAL OPINION BY R. B. A. LICCIARDO

ON MCGUIRE UNIT 2 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Reference: Memorandum dated as of December 7, 1983, from R. B. A. Licciardo

to G. Norman Lauben

The following comments are made pursuant to the provision of NRC Appendix $4125~\mathrm{G.2.6}$ and should be appended to the transmittal of the referenced DPD to the Office Director.

Although not explicitly stated by the originator, the management decision with which he disagrees appears to me to be that which resulted in the issuance of the operating license for McGuire Unit 2 in May 1983 with its accompanying Technical Specifications. To the best of my knowledge, his concerns for this decision were not clearly articulated until recent weeks when he made it known to his management that he was considering the submittal of a DPO. In the months prior to the issuance of the McGuire Unit 2 license. Mr. Licciardo had been given an assignment to review the proposed Technical Specifications (based upon the W Standard Tech. Specs.) for matters relevant to the Reactor Systems Branch scope of review responsibility. I have known for a number of months that his evaluation was reviewed by but not concurred in by his supervisor. In a lengthy discussion with Mr. Licciardo on December 8, 1983, regarding his concern, I was advised that he had discussed his problems with the proposed Tech. Specs. for McGuire with the Standard Tech. Spec. Section of the Division of Licensing and that he was advised that they would not consider his views without concurrence of his management. He has taken no initiative to bring his views to my attention except through the DPO mechanism.

With respect to the procedures set forth in NRC Appendix 4125, specifically in Part C, "Content of a Written Statement of Differing Professional Opinion," I note that the originator's statement is exceedingly brief, couched in only very general terms, and does not adequately describe any specifics as to how his views differ from those that have been taken by the staff. Clearly, the merits of his views cannot be weighed unless and until such specifics are provided. To the best of my knowledge, Mr. Licciardo's only request for assistance or use of agency resources pursuant to NRC Appendix 4125, B. has been for the typing of his memorandum.

