BCC: JDLAFLEUR
RDHAUBER
FILE-YUGO
WJDIRCKS- EDO

UNCLASSIFIED

USHRC:JDLAFLEUR L/7/62:472-7131 0EZ/HEC:

IMMEDIATE

BELGRADE

N/A

THUC, ENRG. YO

VISIT OF YUGOSLAV DELEGATION TO NRC MAY 25, 1952

1. THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF THE NINUTES OF THE SUBJECT THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF THE HINUTES OF THE SUBJECT HEETING AND OF THE CURRENT STATUS OF ACTIVITIES DISCUSSED IN THE FORM OF A LETTER OF CONFIRMATION TO THE PURECTOR OF JOZEF STEFAN INSTITUTE, WHICH IS THE YUGOSLAV INSTITUTE IN COOPERATION WITH NRC ON NUCLEAR SAFETY MATTERS. IF YOU HAVE NO OBJECTION, PLEASE PASS THIS LETTER TO DR. ECRIS FRIEC AND MAIL COPIES TO THE OTHER YUSEGOSLAVS MENTIONED, AS WELL AS TO OTHERS AT YOUR DISCRETION.

2. CDEGIN LETTER)
DR. DORIS FRLEC, DIRECTOR
DR. DORIS FRLEC, DIRECTOR
DISTITUTE JOZEF STEFAN
LUBLIANA
JANOA 39, YUGOSLAVIA

DEAR DE. FRLEC:

THIS IS TO CONFIRM MRC'S DISCUSSIONS ON MAY 25, 1982, ABOUT MUCLEAR SAFETY WITH THE YUGOSLAV DELEGATION MEAPED BY C. KOVACEVIC, VICE PRESIDENT OF THE FEDERAL COMMITTEE

| OFFICE)                     |                 | UNCLASSIFIED |                    |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| sv 8209220423 820819        |                 |              |                    |
| PDR FOIA<br>BUNCH82-295 PDR |                 |              |                    |
|                             | OFFICIAL RECORD | COPY         | USGPO 1951-335-900 |

FOR ENERGY AND INDUSTRY, AND TO INFORM-YOU OF THE CURRENT STATUS OF EFFORTS GROWING OUT OF THAT MEETING. THE DELEGATION INCLUDED, AMONG OTHERS, ENG. M. PAVICEVIC OF THE COMMISSION FOR NUCLEAR ENERGY FOR THE FEDERAL EXECUTIVE COUNCIL, AMBASSADOR M. LALOVIC, IN CHARGE OF NUCLEAR ENERGY AFFAIRS, I. MEDVEDEC OF KRSKO, AND YOU, ON THE YUGOSLAV SIDE, AND ON THE U.S. SIDE, CHAIRMAN N. PALLADINO, COMMISSIONER T. ROBERTS, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR V. STELLO, AND ME, FROM MRC, AND C. BOND, COMMERCIAL COUNSELLOR OF THE U.S. EMBASSY BELGRADE, AND U. GAINES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

BOTH SIDES EXPRESSED SATISFACTION WITH THE ON-GOING SAFETY COOPERATION BETWEEN HRC AND THE JOZEF STEFAN INSTITUTE {IJS}.

THE NRC SIDE NOTED THAT CONTACTS HAD BEEN MADE DURING THE RECENT BLED SEMINAR WITH THE AUTHORITIES OF THE PROPOSED PREVLAKA REACTOR, AND THAT NRC IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS WOULD START TO SEND REPORTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION, THROUGH J. MLADEN OF THE CROATIAN REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND INDUSTRY, AND, IF A U.S. REACTOR SHOULD BE CHOSEN FOR PREVLAKA, TO RESPOND TO QUESTIOSENS ON SAFETY ASPECTS OF THAT REACTOR.

THE YUGOSLAV SIDE ASKED ABOUT EARLIER REQUESTS FOR NRC ADVICE ON SAFETY ASPECTS OF THE CHANGES BEING PROPOSED FOR THE KRSKO STEAM GENERATORS. NRC RESPONDED THAT AN EXPERT WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE ONE-BEEK IAEA SAFETY MISSION BEING SCHEDULED FOR JUNE 1982 AND THAT THE EXPERT HOULD BE NAMED AS SOON AS THE EXACT DATES OF THE MISSION WERE ESTABLISHED. CHRC HAS SINCE NAMED R. TEDESCO. ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF LICENSING, OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION, TO THIS IAEA MISSION, WHICH IS NOW SCHEDULED FOR JUNE 7-12.)

NRC ALSO INDICATED THAT STEAM GENERATOR EXPERTS MOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO REVIEW ANY DOCUMENTATION THAT THE YUGOSLAV SIDE SENT TO NRC IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS AFTER THE MEETINGS. ON THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE KRSKO STEAM GENERATORS. THE MODIFICATIONS ARE ALREADY UNDERWAY AT KRSKO. AND ARE SCHEDULED TO BE COMPLETED BY JUNE 30.3 NRC EXPLAINED THAT THE REVIEW RESULTS WOULD NOT REPRESENT AND NRC GUARANTEE OF SAFETY OR AN OFFICIAL NRC FINDING OF SAFETY. BUT THAT THE RESULTS WOULD BE COMMENTS. OPINIORS, AND GUESTIONS BY THE EXPERT REVIEWERS UNDER VIEW SUCH PROBLEMS IN U.S. DOMESTIC REACTOR LICENSING. AND WHOSE SKILLS RESERVED THE BEST AVAILABLE IN NRC.

| OFFICE     |                   | <br>*************** | ***************** | URCLASZI | EIED |   |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|------|---|
| SURNAME )  |                   | <br>                |                   |          |      | *************************************** |
| DATE       |                   | <br>                |                   |          |      | *************************************** |
| C FORM 318 | 110-83) NBCM 0240 | DEFICIAL            | RECORD C          | OPY      |      | USSPO. 1981-35-194                      |

THE REVIEW BY THE EXPERTS IS BEING COORDINATED BY W. KANE OF THE NRC OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION.

COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN YUGOSLAVIA AND THE NRC ON THIS REVIEW ARE CHANNELLED THROUGH MAVKO AT IJS AND HE AT NRC. II WILL BE PLEASED TO ARRANGE DIRECT CONTACTS WITH THE EXPERT REVIEWERS IF THESE BECOME NECESSARY.) THE SE SCHEDULE CALLS FOR INITIAL COMMENTS, ON ADEQUACY OF THE DESCRIPTIVE MATERIAL, TO BE SENT TO IJS ABOUT JUNE 9, AND FIMAL COMMENTS TO BE SENT BY TELEGRAM ON OR BEFORE JUNE 25.

DURING THIS MAY 25 MEETING. THE YGYUGOSLAV DELEGATION GAVE TO NRC FOUR DOCUMENTS DESCRIBING THE PROPOSED KRSKO STEAM GENERATOR CHANGES: {1} WESTINGHOUSE BRIEFING PAPER "PREHEAT STEAM GENERATOR, CUSTOMER WORKING GROUP MEETING, MAY 1982," 115 PAGES; {2} KRSKO MAIN FEEDWATER SYSTEM DESIGN DESCRIPTION, REVISION DATED APRIL 15, 1982, 35 PAGES; {3} GILBERT ASSOCIATES DRAWING DATED APRIL 15, 1982, SHIZEET 1, REVISION 5; AND {4} GILBERT ASSOCIATES DRAWING, SHEET 2, REVISION 7. THE REVIEW BEGAN ON JUNE 1, USING THE FOUR DOCUMENTS. ON MAY 26, HE RECEIVED A TELEGRAM FROM B. MAYKO OF IJS, SAYING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS WERE BEING SENT FROM YUGOSLAVIA; THESE DOCUMENTS HAVE ARRIVED AND ARE DEING INCORPORATED INTO THE REVIEW.

WE HAVE ALSO RECEIVED THROUGH THE U.S. EMBASSY IN BELGRADE AN INQUIRY ABOUT A POSSIBLE KRSKO SITE VISIT OR "INSPECTION" FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF THE MRC EXPERT REVIEW. I HOPE THAT THE PARTICIPATION OF R. TEDESCO OF MRC ON THE IAEA SAFETY MISSION WILL SATISFY ALL MEEDS FOR A SITE VISIT, OR, ALTERNATIVELY, THAT ANY REQUIRED LATER SITE VISIT CAN BE ORGANIZED AS A FOLLOWUP IAEA SAFETY MISSION.

I HOPE THE ABOVE IS CONSISTENT WITH YOUR UNDERSTANDING AND IS SATISFACTORY TO YOU.

SINCERELY.

JOSEPH D. LAFLEUR. JR.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

(END LETTER) YY

|         | 1.6.15      |            |   |              |   |  |
|---------|-------------|------------|---|--------------|---|--|
| OFFICE  | 14/19/      | EDO        |   | U::CLASSIFIE | D |  |
| SURNAME | JEAPLEUR: E | B WJDIRCKS |   | <br>         |   |  |
|         | 6/7/82      |            | *************************************** | <br>         |   |  |
| -       |             |            |   |              |   |  |

THE REVIEW BY THE EXPERTS IS BEING COORDINATED BY W. KANEOF THE NRC OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION.

COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN YUGOSLAVIA AND THE NRC ON THIS
REVIEW ARE CHANNELLED THROUGH MAVKO AT IJS AND ME AT NRC.

{I WILL BE PLEASED TO ARRANGE DIRECT CONTACTS WITH THE
EXPERT REVIEWERS IF THESE BECOME NECESSARY.} THE SCHEDULE
CALLS FOR INITIAL COMMENTS, ON ADEQUACY OF THE DESCRIPTIVE
MATERIAL, TO BE SENT TO IJS ABOUT JUNE 9, AND FINAL
COMMENTS TO BE SENT BY TELEGRAM ON OR BEFORE JUNE 25.
I REEMPHASIZE THAT THE REVIEW WILL BE THAT OF INDIVIDUAL
EXPERTS EMPLOYED BY NRC, RATHER THAN AN NRC JUDGMENT.

DURING THIS MAY 25 MEETING, THE YUGOSLAV DELEGATION GAVE
TO NRC FOUR DOCUMENTS DESCRIBING THE PROPOSED KRSKO
STEAM GENERATOR CHANGES: {1} WESTINGHOUSE BRIEFING PAPER
"PREHEAT STEAM GENERATOR, CUSTOMER WORKING GROUP MEETING,
MAY 1982," 115 PAGES; {2} KRSKO MAIN FEEDWATER SYSTEM
DESIGN DESCRIPTION, REVISION DATED APRIL 15, 1982, 35 PAGES;
{3} GILBERT ASSOCIATES DRAWING D44687 D3D2-D81, SHEET 1,
REVISION 8; AND {4} GILBERT ASSOCIATES DRAWING, SHEET 2,
REVISION 7. THE REVIEW BEGAN ON JUNE 1, USING THE FOUR
DOCUMENTS. ON MAY 28, WE RECEIVED A TELEGRAM FROM
B. MAVKO OF IJS, SAYING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS WERE BEING
SENT FROM YUGOSLAVIA; THESE DOCUMENTS HAVE ARRIVED AND
ARE BEING INCORPORATED INTO THE REVIEW.

WE HAVE ALSO RECEIVED THROUGH THE U.S. EMBASSY IN BELGRADE AN INQUIRY ABOUT A POSSIBLE KRSKO SITE VISIT OR "INSPECTION" FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF THE NRC EXPERT REVIEW. I HOPE THAT THE PARTICIPATION OF R. TEDESCO OF NRC ON THE IAEA SAFETY MISSION WILL SATISFY ALL NEEDS FOR A SITE VISIT, OR, ALTERNATIVELY, THAT ANY REQUIRED LATER SITE VISIT CAN BE ORGANIZED AS A FOLLOWUP IAEA SAFETY MISSION.

I HOPE THE ABOVE IS CONSISTENT WITH YOUR UNDERSTANDING AND IS SATISFACTORY TO YOU.

SINCERELY

JOSEPH D. LAFLEUR, JR.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

(END LETTER) YY