

February 16, 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of)
)
METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY) Docket No. 50-289
) (Restart)
(Three Mile Island Nuclear)
Station, Unit No. 1))

LICENSEE'S TESTIMONY OF

ROBERT C. JONES, JR. AND LOUIS C. LANESE

IN RESPONSE TO ALAB-708 ISSUE NO. 2

(USE OF HOT LEG VENTS IN PROMOTING NATURAL CIRCULATION)

SUMMARY

This testimony responds to the Appeal Board's request for information concerning the usefulness of the hot leg high point vents in promoting or restoring natural circulation in the event of a small-break loss of coolant accident.

Based upon a review of the size of the hot leg vents to be installed at TMI-1 (and therefore their capability to relieve steam) and of the reactor coolant system response during various small-break scenarios, it is concluded that opening of these vents provides very little benefit during the early stages of a small-break LOCA. The vents would provide some assistance in recovering natural circulation during the refill stage, when the HPI flow has matched the leak flow. While the vents may provide some incremental assistance in recovering natural circulation at this latter phase, Licensee has determined that this limited benefit does not outweigh the complexities associated with determining the conditions under which the vents may be opened. Licensee will instruct the TMI-1 operators to utilize the vents under inadequate core cooling conditions.

INTRODUCTION

1 This testimony, by Robert C. Jones, Jr., Supervisory
2 Engineer, Operational Analysis Unit, Babcock & Wilcox Company,
3 and Louis C. Lanese, Senior Safety Analysis and Plant Control
4 Engineer, GPU Nuclear Corporation, is in response to Issue No.
5 2 of the Appeal Board's Memorandum and Order of December 29,
6 1982 (ALAB-708), which states:

- 7 2. When and under what circumstances such
8 vents would or would not be useful to
9 promote natural circulation, including
 reasons for the conclusions reached (from
 the staff).

10 This testimony will also address the concerns expressed by the
11 Appeal Board at pp. 22-23 and n. 40 of ALAB-708, regarding the
12 procedural guidelines for use of the hot leg high point vents.
13

14 BY WITNESS JONES:

15 High point vents in the hot legs were designed and are
16 being installed in the reactor coolant system (RCS) as a means
17 for control of non-condensable gases. To assure that a failure
18 of this vent system does not result in a LOCA, the vents have
19 been sized such that the leak flow rate could be compensated by
20 the makeup system. The size of the vents limits their useful-
21 ness for recovery of natural circulation for a small break
22 LOCA.

23 Before examining the potential usefulness of the vents for
24 recovery of natural circulation for a small break, I would like
25 to review briefly the several different RCS responses as a
26

1 function of break size. For larger-sized small breaks, greater
2 than approximately 0.02 ft², energy removal via the break alone
3 is sufficient to remove all the core decay heat. For very
4 small breaks, less than approximately 0.005 ft², a high
5 pressure injection (HPI) or make-up (MU) pump provides suffi-
6 cient flow to assure that the RCS remains full of liquid.
7 Therefore, natural circulation will be continually maintained.
8 What remains is the break size range between 0.005 and 0.02
9 ft². For this range of small breaks, energy removal from the
10 system is accomplished by a combination of the break flow and
11 steam generator (SG) heat removal; natural circulation is not
12 continuously maintained. Opening of the vents could possibly
13 aid in the restoration of natural circulation for these
14 transients, but for the reasons provided below, usefulness of
15 the vents is severely limited.

16 A brief discussion of the RCS response for this break size
17 range is necessary to understand the potential usefulness of
18 high point vents. Within this break size range, the HPI flow
19 is not able to match the inventory being lost through the
20 break, and the RCS will depressurize and evolve to saturated
21 fluid conditions. Energy removal via the SG will first be by
22 all-liquid phase natural circulation and then by two-phase
23 natural circulation. Continued energy additions from the core
24 decay heat will result in boiling within the vessel and
25 subsequent formation of pure steam regions within the primary
26 system. These pure steam regions will interrupt the two-phase

1 natural circulation. System pressurization will then occur due
2 to the loss of SG heat removal. Once sufficient primary system
3 inventory has been lost to establish a condensing surface
4 within the steam generator, boiler-condenser cooling will be
5 established. This will terminate the system pressure increase
6 and a depressurization of the RCS will commence. Ultimately,
7 the primary system pressure will settle at a condition where
8 mass and energy flow added to the system is balanced by mass
9 and energy flow through the break.

10 Opening of the high point vents, as a means of recovering
11 natural circulation, has been examined at various points in the
12 sequence of RCS response for the break sizes between 0.005 and
13 0.02 ft² where the conditions described above will occur.

14 Obviously, opening of the vents would serve no use so long as
15 liquid single-phase natural circulation is maintained.

16 Therefore, the earliest situation of interest is during the
17 two-phase natural circulation period of the transient.

18 Opening of the vents during the two-phase natural circula-
19 tion period of the transient could be useful if by doing so the
20 depressurization rate of the primary system was materially
21 increased, thereby aiding HPI injection flow. Opening of the
22 vents when the system is in two-phase natural circulation would
23 provide an additional energy removal path from the RCS and lead
24 to some increase in the depressurization rate. Since the RCS
25 is saturated during this phase of the transient however, liquid
26 in the RCS would flash, retarding the depressurization rate.

1 Additionally, because of the small size of the vent, which is
2 the equivalent of only a .00085 ft² break in the RCS, the
3 addition to the depressurization rate would be small in any
4 event. Thus, while some additional HPI flow could be obtained
5 as a result of the depressurization, the incremental effect
6 would be minimal and not sufficient to cover the large range of
7 leak flows expected over the break size range of 0.005 to 0.02
8 ft².

9 Opening of the vents after natural circulation is lost
10 would also not result in a recovery of natural circulation.
11 The steam flow through the vents (approximately 3 lb/sec total)
12 is only 4 percent of the steam production rate from the core at
13 one-half hour, for example. Thus, unless the combination of
14 the break flow and the HPI were nearly sufficient alone to
15 provide the necessary energy relief (a situation which only
16 occurs for the larger small-break sizes), opening of the vents
17 would not provide sufficient additional energy relief to
18 prevent pressurization of the system. However, for these
19 breaks, the HPI flow is small relative to the break flow.
20 Thus, recovery of the system inventory, and thereby natural
21 circulation, would not occur.

22 Opening of the vents would provide a means of recovering
23 natural circulation only when two conditions are met. First,
24 the HPI flow has matched the leak flow; and second, the energy
25 flow through the leak is sufficient to remove essentially all
26 of the energy being added to the system. The vent path would

1 result in additional energy removal with a subsequent decrease
2 in RCS pressure and increased HPI flow. Since the HPI flow
3 rate would then be greater than the leak flow, RCS refill would
4 commence. Refill times for this mode of recovery could be
5 expected to be on the order of one to two hours, assuming core
6 boiling is suppressed by the incoming HPI.

7 In summary, opening of hot leg high point vents would
8 provide virtually no benefit for recovering natural circulation
9 during the early phases of a small break LOCA. Thus, the vents
10 are not capable of replacing the role of the steam generators
11 for small-break LOCAs. In the long term, however, the vents
12 could provide a means of recovering the system inventory and
13 thereby reestablish natural circulation.

14 BY WITNESS LANESE:

15 The hot leg high point vents will be used during situ-
16 ations of inadequate core cooling. Guidelines have been
17 developed and included in the abnormal transient operating
18 guidelines (ATOG) program and are undergoing review by the NRC
19 Staff.

20 Proposed guidelines for utilizing the hot leg high point
21 were first submitted by the B&W Owners Group for NRC Staff
22 review in mid-1981. These guidelines addressed two conditions
23 for opening the hot leg vents: (1) during inadequate core
24 cooling conditions, and (2) during the refill phase of a
25 small-break LOCA. However, the vent guidelines for use during
26

1 the refill phase a small break LOCA have been withdrawn by the
2 B&W owners from NRC Staff consideration. This was done after
3 the initial submission of the guidelines because the owners and
4 NRC Staff agreed that certain questions raised about the
5 guidelines could not be resolved without an extensive testing
6 and analytical effort to demonstrate to the NRC Staff that use
7 of the vents under certain conditions would not be detrimental
8 to plant safety. Since the use of the vents during the refill
9 phase was considered to be of marginal benefit, the owners
10 decided to withdraw the refill guidelines in April of 1982.
11 GPU Nuclear made a plant-specific notification of this decision
12 by letter to the Staff dated August 23, 1982.

ROBERT C. JONES, JR.

Business Address: Babcock & Wilcox Company
Nuclear Power Generation Division
Post Office Box 1260
Lynchburg, Virginia 24505

Education: B.S., Nuclear Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, 1971. Post Graduate Courses in Physics, Lynchburg College.

Experience: July 1982 to present: Supervisory Engineer, Operational Analysis Unit, B&W. Responsible for the performance of plant transient analyses and analyses used in the development of operator guidelines. During this period, has continued as Project Engineer for B&W analyses performed in response to NUREG-0737 Item II.K.3.30.

June 1975 to July 1982: Acting Supervisory Engineer and Supervisory Engineer, ECCS Analysis Unit, B&W. Responsible for calculation of large and small break ECCS evaluations, evaluations of mass and energy releases to the containment during a LOCA, and performance of best estimate pretest predictions of LOCA experiments as part of the NRC Standard Problem Program. Involved in the preparation of operator guidelines for small-break LOCA's and inadequate core cooling mitigation.

June 1971 to June 1975: Engineer, ECCS Analysis Unit, B&W. Performed both large and small break ECCS analyses under both the Interim Acceptance Criteria and the present Acceptance Criteria of 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K.

LOUIS C. LANESE

Business Address:

GPU Nuclear Corporation
100 Interpace Parkway
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Education:

B.S., Engineering Science, Newark College of Engineering, 1970, M.E., Nuclear Engineering, New York University, 1972. Nuclear Engineering courses, Polytechnic Institute of New York, 1975 to 1980. Completed course work for Degree of Engineer.

Experience:

Senior Safety Analysis and Plant Control Engineer, GPU Nuclear Corporation, 1979 to present. Responsibilities include the performance of the TMI-1 Restart Safety Analysis; TMI-1 Emergency Feedwater design, design review of TMI-1 restart and long-term modifications. Member of TMI-2 Generation Review Committee (GRC), 1979 through June 1982. Member of TMI-1 GRC, 1979 to present.

Chairman of the Babcock & Wilcox Owners Group Analysis Subcommittee from May 1981 to July 1982. Currently a member of the Analysis Subcommittee. Member of the GPUNC inhouse committee responsible for implementing the Abnormal Transient Operating Guidelines (ATOG) at TMI-1. Currently working on improvement of steam generator tube rupture emergency procedures, including analyses of tube rupture events using the RETRAN computer code. Working with EPRI in benchmarking RETRAN with RELAP 5 for tube rupture events. Independent safety reviewer for emergency procedures from August 1982 to present.

Control and Safety Analysis Engineer, GPU Service Corporation, 1978 to 1979. Responsibilities included the performance of containment analyses in support of plant operation; developing analyses in support of the TMI-2 feedwater system modification; preparation of the TMI-1 restart safety analysis.

Lead Nuclear Licensing Engineer, GPU Service Corporation, 1977 to 1978. Primary responsibility for TMI-2 licensing activities and for licensing matters involving generic safety issues affecting all GPU system plants.

Safety and Licensing Engineer, GPU Service Corporation, 1974 to 1977. Responsibilities included technical resolution of TMI-2 licensing open items; conformance of Forked River systems design to licensing criteria; and, safety review of Oyster Creek radwaste facility.

Assistant Safety and Licensing Engineer, Ebasco Services, Inc., Performed licensing and safety review of St. Lucie Units 1 and 2 Safety Analysis Report pertaining to instrumentation and power systems; cooling water and HVAC systems, radwaste systems; and, accident analysis. Performed dose analyses and developed secondary system source terms.