

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern California Edison Company
P. O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770

As a result of the inspection conducted during the period July 1-30, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained that meet or exceed the requirements and recommendations of Sections 5.1 and 5.3 of ANSI N 18.7-1976, "Administrative Controls for Nuclear Power Plants."

Paragraph 5.3.8 of ANSI N 18.7-1976, "Chemical-Radiochemical Control Procedures," requires, in part, that procedures shall be provided for chemical and radiochemical control activities. They should include the nature and frequency of sampling and analyses.

Station Procedure S01-III-1.15, "Normal Operation of the Radioactive Sample System," describes the approved method of obtaining reactor coolant samples.

Contrary to these requirements, during the period from February 28, 1982, to July 30, 1982 with the reactor coolant system partially drained and depressurized, daily reactor coolant samples were obtained using methods and a location which were not described by Procedure S01-III-1.15. On July 13, 1982, a method was used which resulted in the unplanned draining of 500 gallons of reactor coolant from the system following a sample.

This is a Severity Level 5 violation (Supplement I).

Weakness in your procedural control of chemical and radiochemical activities had been previously identified and had been the subject of a previously issued Notice of Violation: Inspection Report 50-206/81-24, failure to have an approved station procedure for secondary chemistry control during startup and power escalation.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit to this office within 30 days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

AUG 12 1982

Dated

(2)

D. F. Kirsch, Chief
Reactor Projects Section 3