USNRC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOS RD SEP -7 A10:39

Administrative Judges:

OFFICE OF SECRETARY DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman Dr. John H. Buck Stephen F. Eilperin

SERVED SEP 8 1982

502

In the Matter of

PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. (Susquehanna Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-387 OL 50-388 OL

ORDER

September 3, 1982

In conference calls held yesterday evening and this morning, counsel for the applicants and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania indicated that they anticipated reaching a settlement of the dosimetry supply issues raised by the Commonwealth's appeal. Counsel therefore requested a postponement of oral argument and possible supplemental evidentiary hearing set for September 8 in order to pursue these negotiations.

In light of these developments, the oral argument scheduled for September 8, 1982 is cancelled. Our orders of August 24 and 25, 1982 are accordingly modified to provide the following:

8209130033 820903 PDR ADDCK 05000387 G PDR I. Should a settlement of the dosimetry supply issues be reached, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is to provide this Board <u>no later than 12:00 p.m.</u>, Thursday, September 9, with a copy of the agreement. The Commonwealth and the NRC staff are also to submit at that time affidavits in support of the settlement and in answer to all questions set out in our August 20, 1982 order. The answers are specifically to include the following:

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

At p. 2 of the August 20 order, we ask whether the Commonwealth plans to provide all emergency workers within the EPZ with CD V-730 dosimeters, and if not, what workers or categories of workers will receive CD V-730 dosimeters.

- What is the rationale for the planned use and allocation of CD V-730 dosimeters?

At p. 3 of the August 20 order, we ask whether the Commonwealth plans to provide all emergency workers within the EPZ with TLDs, and if not, what workers or categories of emergency workers will receive TLDs.

- What is the rationale for the planned use and allocation of TLDs?

NRC Staff

At p. 3 of the August 20 order, we ask the staff how many and what type of self-reading dosimeters each emergency worker within the Susquehanna plume exposure pathway EPZ must wear in order to provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures to control radiological exposure are being taken. Further, we ask whether, in conjunction with "necessary" self-reading dosimeters, emergency workers in the EPZ also require TLDs.

- What is the rationale for the staff's recommendations in response to these questions?

II. The applicants will provide counsel for the Commonwealth and the staff <u>no later than 12:00 p.m.</u>, Thursday, September 9, with affidavits supporting applicants' litigation position with regard to dosimetry for emergency workers at Susquehanna. Applicants need not provide this Board with such affidavits in light of the pending settlement negotiations.

III. This Board will immediately review the parties' subrissions and will notify counsel <u>no later than 3:00 p.m.</u> <u>Thursday, September 9</u> as to whether we find it necessary to hold either an oral argument or an evidentiary hearing on the <u>*</u>/ Should either be necessary, the proceeding

- 3 -

^{*/} The parties were informed by telephone on August 31, 1982 that such hearing, should one be held, will concern the need for and supply of not only self-reading dosimeters for emergency workers at Susquehanna, but also TLDs.

will commence at 8:00 a.m. on Friday, September 10, in Bethesda.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE APPEAL BOARD

anosta (

C. Vean Shoemaker Secretary to the Appeal Doard