

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: [][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][] ①

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | A | L | B | R | F | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | [] | [] | 5
7 8 9 | LICENSEE CODE 14 15 | LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 | LICENSE TYPE 30 57 58

CON'T
0 1 | R | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 9
7 8 | REPORT SOURCE 60 61 | DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 | EVENT DATE 74 75 | REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES ⑩

0 2 | On 8/2/82 (unit 1) and 8/9/82 (unit 3), it was discovered that SI 4.1.A-8 (RPS-High)
0 3 | Water Level in Scram Discharge Tank-LS-85-45A-F) was not performed within required)
0 4 | interval (T.S. Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B). There was no effect on public health and)
0 5 | safety. Tests were satisfactorily performed on 8/2/82 (unit 1) and 8/9/82 (unit 3).)
0 6 | SI 4.1.A-8 had been rescheduled, changing performance date from a rigid schedule)
0 7 | to a due date based upon last performance. This changed listing position of the SI)
0 8 | within the schedules issued.)
7 8 9 80

0 9 | Z | Z | 11 | A | 12 | X | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16
7 8 | SYSTEM CODE 9 10 | CAUSE CODE 11 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE 12 13 | COMPONENT CODE 18 | COMP. SUBCODE 19 | VALVE SUBCODE 20
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR 21 22 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 24 26 | OCCURRENCE CODE 28 29 | REPORT TYPE 30 31 | REVISION NO. 32
ACTION TAKEN 33 | FUTURE ACTION 34 | EFFECT ON PLANT 35 | SHUTDOWN METHOD 36 | HOURS 37 40 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED 41 42 | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. 42 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER 43 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS ⑰

1 0 | Personnel involved in scheduling & tracking did not adequately communicate the change)
1 1 | to the performing section. SI was overlooked by the performing section personnel.)
1 2 | Tracking procedure did not catch in a timely manner the nonperformance of the unit 1)
1 3 | SI. Personnel involved have been reinstructed and procedure revisions will be)
1 4 | completed by 9/1/82.)
7 8 9 80

1 5 | E | 28 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 29 | NA | A | 31 | Schedule review 32
7 8 9 | FACILITY STATUS 10 11 | % POWER 12 13 | OTHER STATUS 30 44 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY 45 46 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 80

1 6 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | NA | 36
7 8 9 | ACTIVITY CONTENT 10 11 | RELEASED OF RELEASE 12 13 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 44 | LOCATION OF RELEASE 45 80

1 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39
7 8 9 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER 10 11 | TYPE 12 13 | DESCRIPTION 39 80

1 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41
7 8 9 | PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER 10 11 | DESCRIPTION 41 80

1 7 | Z | 42 | NA | 43
7 8 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE 10 11 | DESCRIPTION 43 80

2 0 | N | 44 | NA | 45
7 8 9 | PUBLICITY ISSUED 10 11 | DESCRIPTION 45 80

8209080442 820830
PDR ADOCK 05000259
S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER Rex W. Armstrong

PHONE (205) 729-0815

LER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

BFRO-50- 259/82051 Technical Specification Involved Tables 4.1.A & 4.1.B

Reported Under Technical Specification 6.7.2.b.(3) Date Due NRC 09/01/82

Event Narrative:

During normal operation of unit 1 at 84-percent power, unit 2 in shutdown for refueling and unit 3 in shutdown for containment testing, on August 2, 1982, it was discovered that unit 1 Surveillance Instruction (SI) 4.1.A-8, (RPS High Water Level in Scram Discharge Tank-LS-85-45A-F) had not been performed within the required interval. On August 9, 1982, with unit 1 in shutdown for secondary containment testing, it was discovered that unit 3 SI 4.1.A-8 had also not been performed. This SI is required to be performed 30 days from last performance per T.S. Table 4.1.A & 4.1.B. There was no effect on public health and safety. The SIs were satisfactorily performed on August 2, 1982 on unit 1 and August 9, 1982 on unit 3 and the equipment demonstrated operable from the last dates of performance of June 24, 1982 and July 1, 1982 respectively.

SI 4.1.A-B had been rescheduled, changing the performance date from a rigid schedule to a due date based upon last performance. This changed the listing position of the SI in the schedule issued and was subsequently overlooked by the performing section. Personnel involved in scheduling did not adequately communicate the change to the performing section. The tracking procedure did not catch non-performance of the first (unit 1) SI in a timely manner.

(see attached page)

* Previous Similar Events:

BFRO-50-259/82049, 82042

Retention: Period - Lifetime; Responsibility - Document Control Supervisor

*Revision: J.R.P.

LER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
BFRO-50-259/82051

To prevent recurrence, the controlling procedures will be revised to clarify scheduling criteria. Procedure of collating the SI schedules will be revised so that SIs due to be performed which do not fit into the normal structural schedule will be placed first, not last. Personnel involved have been reinstructed as to schedule format. Procedure revisions will be completed by 9/1/82.

The overall SI scheduling and tracking program is in an ongoing process of being reevaluated and refined to improve administrative controls. Specific controls to be improved are decreasing SI routing times, improved scheduling methods, improving warning notification of possible nonperformances and clarification of schedules and scheduling criteria.