

NOTATION VOTE

RESPONSE SHEET

RELEASED TO THE PDR
3/23/94
date initial

TO: SAMUEL J. CHILK, SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION
FROM: THE CHAIRMAN
SUBJECT: SECY-94-011 - MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE ON USE OF
COMMON PERFORMANCE INDICATORS IN REVIEW OF
THE AGREEMENT STATE AND REGIONAL MATERIALS
PROGRAMS

APPROVED In Part DISAPPROVED In Part ABSTAIN _____

W/Comments

W/Comments

NOT PARTICIPATING _____

REQUEST DISCUSSION _____

COMMENTS:

9403290232 940228
PDR COMMS NRCC
CORRESPONDENCE PDR

[Signature]
SIGNATURE

RELEASE VOTE

February 28, 1994
DATE

WITHHOLD VOTE

ENTERED ON "AS" YES ___ No ___

857911
250053

[Signature]
FOI

Chairman's Comments on SECY-94-011

I approve in part and disapprove in part the staff's recommendations in SECY-94-011. In general I would observe that SECY-94-011 is not clear on the purpose of the common performance indicators. The staff should be clear that the common performance indicators are to be applied to develop a data base which (1) will be subject to both quantitative and qualitative (judgement) factors in evaluating the Agreement States' and regional regulatory programs, and (2) will give an idea of nationwide trends in materials areas.

I agree with Commissioner de Planque's and Commissioner Remick's comments to a large extent. Consistent with Commissioner de Planque's comments, the pilot program with respect to Agreement States should consist of gathering information in addition to the normal review, to help evaluate and/or reformulate the draft common performance indicators. This refining process should take place before the new indicators are actually applied to an Agreement State for an adequacy finding.

I agree with Commissioner de Planque that the use of the draft common performance indicators to evaluate two NRC Regions would be appropriate for the pilot program. Also, her suggestion to experiment with application of some of the present Agreement State indicators to the NRC Regions may lead to the development or refinement of additional common performance indicators.

In both the Agreement States and the NRC Region pilot program reviews, the information described as "operational indicators" should be collected. Since the intention is to collect this information for analysis, on both a State and a nationwide basis rather than to use it for evaluation of performance of individual State programs, I have no objection to Commissioner de Planque's and Commissioner Remick's suggestions on renaming these indicators "operational data" at this time.