UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of	
CINCINNATI GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.	Docket No. 50-358
(Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station,) Unit No. 1)	

NRC STAFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Pursuant 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.711 and 2.730, the NRC Staff (Staff) moves the Commission to grant the Staff an extension of time of 15 days, until September 22, 1982, in which to respond to "Miami Valley Power Project's Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Order of July 30, 1982" (Petition for Reconsideration). In support of its motion, the Staff states as follows:

1. On August 24, 1982, The Staff received Miami Valley Power Project's (MVPP) Petition for Reconsideration and "Miami Valley Power Project's Petition to Suspend Construction of the Zimmer Station."

The two petitions incorporating each other by reference, total some 172 pages and are accompanied by very voluminous attachments. 1/ The two petitions both relate to construction of Zimmer, the inspection of which is performed by Staff members located Region III.

LESIGNATED ORIGINAL

certified By A5

8209080077 820902 PDR ADDCK 05000358 PDR

As noted in the certificate of service attached to MVPP's Petition for Reconsideration, the attachments were provided only to the Docketing and Service Branch, Chairman Frye and the Applicant. The Staff obtained copies of the attachments from the Docketing and Service Branch on August 26, 1982 and transmitted a copy to members of the Staff in Region III on the same day for their review.

- Both petitions filed by MVPP are dated August 20, 1982.
 Accordingly, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.710 the Staff's response is due to be filed on or before September 7, 1982.
- 3. Members of the Staff located both in Bethesda, Maryland and at the Region III offices in Glen Ellyn, Illinois are currently reviewing and analyzing the two petitions and the voluminous attachments. The petitions raise serious health and safety issues and it is important for the Staff to have sufficient time to review carefully the filings and to coordinate the review between the two geographically dispersed offices in order to prepare a meaningful reply. In view of the extensive nature of the filings and the short period of time during which the Staff has had a complete copy of the filings (today is the seventh day since receipt of the attachments on August 26, 1982), an extension of time is required.
- 4. Counsel for the Staff has advised Counsel for Applicant and Counsel for MVPP of this motion. Counsel for the Applicant stated that it oppose the motion as lacking good cause. Counsel for MVPP stated that she would agree to an extension of time of 10 days for the Staff to respond.
- 5. WHEREFORE, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.711 and 2.730, the Staff moves for an extension of time of 15 days until September 22, 1982, in which to respond to MVPP's Petition for Reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Barth

Counsel for NRC Staff

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

CINCINNATI GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

(Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1) Docket No. 50-358

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 2nd day of September, 1982:

Mr. Samuel J. Chilk*
Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Leonard Bickwit, Esq.*
General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Alan S. Rosenthal, Chairman*
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Stephen F. Eilperin, Esq.*
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Mr. Howard A. Wilber Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 John H. Frye, III, Chairman* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Frank F. Hooper Administrative Judge School of Natural Resources University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. M. Stanley Livingston Administrative Judge 1005 Calle Largo Sante Fe, NM 87501

John D. Woliver, Esq. Legal Aid Society P.O. Box #47 550 Kilgore Street Batavia, OH 45103

Timothy S. Hogan, Jr., Chairman Board of Commissioners 50 Market Street Clermont County Batavia, OH 45103 William J. Moran, Esq. General Counsel Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co. P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, OH 45201

Andrew B. Dennison, Esq. 200 Main Street Batavia, OH 45103

Mr. Samuel H. Porter Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 37 West Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Deborah Webb, Esq. 7967 Alexandria Pike Alexandria, KY 41001

Lawrence R. Fisse, Esq. Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 462 Main Street Batavia, OH 45103

Lynne Bernabei, Esq.
Government Accountability
Project/IPS
1901 Q Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20009

Brian Cassidy, Esq. Regional Counsel FEMA Region I J.W. McCormack POCH Boston, MA 02109

Mr. Robert F. Warnick U.S.N.R.C., Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 61037 David Martin, Esq. Capital Building Room 18 Frankfort, KY 40601

Vernon Adler FEMA 500 C Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20472

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board*
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Docketing and Service Section*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Troy B. Conner, Esq. Conner & Wetterhahn 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

> Charles A. Barth Counsel for NRC Staff