### The Dallas Morning News

ION

APPEAL FROM AN INITIAL FOIA DECISION

Communications Conter Dallas, Texas, 75165

May 31, 1982

Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Folks,

APPEAL OF INITIAL FOIA DECISION
82-A-7E+C(82-193
Rec'd 6-8-82

I respectfully appeal the NRC staff's initial decision to withhold information requested in my letter of April 8, 1982, now labeled as FOIA-82-193.

It is my position that the information withheld in J.M. Felton's letters of May 6 and May 14 is a matter of public record.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

FAX

o Carol Ann Reed

4210 HNBB

28133

(Page)

8208260361 820531 PDR FOIA LODGE82-A-7 PDR

from: R. Parrich 43224



# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 6, 1982

Mr. Bill Lodge The Dallas Morning News Communications Center Dallas, TX 75265

IN RESPONSE REFER TO FOIA-82-193

Dear Mr. Lodge:

This is in partial response to your letter dated April 8, 1982, in which you requested, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, copies of the draft inspection and investigation reports with their transmittal letters, correspondence, complaints submitted to NRC, and the identity of all persons present at a February 12, 1982 meeting regarding the Hayward Tyler Pump Company; copies of all documents referring to Marcus Rowden since June 1977; and copies of I&E Reports 81-12 and 81-20 for Comanche Peak.

The documents listed on the Appendix to this letter are enclosed with the exceptions noted below.

A home telephone number has been deleted from document 35 pursuant to exemptions (6) and (7)(C) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and 10 CFR 9.5(a)(6) and (7)(iii) of the Commission's regulations because disclosure of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The remaining portions of this document are enclosed. Document 37 consists of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes, and is being withheld from public disclosure pursuant to exemptions (7)(A) and (D) of the FOIA and 10 CFR 9.5(a)(7)(i) and (iv) because disclosure of the information would interfere with an enforcement proceeding and disclose confidential information furnished by a confidential source.

The review of documents 12, 14, 15, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 36 has not been completed. As soon as our review is completed, we will advise you of our disclosure determination.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.9 of the Commission's regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial of document 35 is the undersigned. The persons responsible for the denial of document 37 are the undersigned and Mr. Richard C. DeYoung, Director, Office of Inspection and Enforcement.

8246019725

This denial may be appealed to the Commission's Executive Director for Operations within 30 days from the receipt of this letter. As provided in 10 CFR 9.11, any such appeal must be in writing, addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an "Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decision."

At this time the I&E investigation is still continuing, and I expect it will be at least another month or two before it is completed and a final report issued.

With respect to that portion of your request for documents referring to Mr. Rowden, as you were informed in a telephone conversation on April 15, 1982 with Carol Ann Reed, of my staff, it is reasonable to assume that the files located throughout the various Offices and Divisions of the NRC contain documents relating to this subject. We cannot, however, short of examining every file possessed by NRC, state with any degree of confidence that all documents relating to it have been located. In view of the scope and nature of this portion of your request, we conclude that it does not meet the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(3). Accordingly, no further action will be taken with respect to this portion of your request.

If you consider this to be a denial, you may appeal this determination within 30 days to the Executive Director for Operations.

Sincerely.

M. Felton, Director

Division of Rules and Records Office of Administration

Enclosures: As stated

#### Appendix

- December 11, 1981 Letter from Rep. Markey to Chairman Palladino with 5 affidavits.
- December 15, 1981 Letter from Cong. Jeffords to Chairman Palladino regarding progress of investigation.
- December 16, 1981 Memo from Com. Ahearne to EDO requesting update on Markey request.
- 4. December 22, 1981 Memo to Rehm from Collins regarding 12/11/81 Markey letter.
- 5. December 22, 1981 Memo to Rehm from Collins regarding 12/16/81 Ahearne memo.
- 6. December 23, 1981 Memo from Com. Bradford to EDO requesting investigation.
- 7. January 18, 1982 Letter to Rep. Markey from OCA responding to December 11 request.
- 8. January 18, 1982 Memo to Bradford from EDO preliminary response to December 23 memo.
- 9. January 21, 1982 Letter to Cong. Jeffords from EDO responding to December 15 letter.
- 10. January 25, 1982 Memo to EDO from Bradford forwarding newspaper article.
- 11. January 26, 1982 Memo to Ahearne from EDO in response to December 16 Ahearne memo.
- 12. February 18, 1982 briefing outline from John Collins.
- 13. February 12, 1982 Memo to Bradford from EDO final response to December 23 Bradford memo.
- 14. Undated draft investigator's and inspector's reports and NOV provided in IE:HQ review on February 18, 1982.
- 15. February 19, 1982 Memo from Karl Seyfrit to Roger Fortuna transmitting a February 19, 1982 letter to HTPC from Karl Seyfrit regarding "Final" Report of Investigation No. 99900345/82-01 (Statements and administrative page have been deleted from Report) (See Item 37).
- 16. February 23, 1982 Memo to Cummings from Collins requesting OIA review of reports.
- 17. March 5, 1982 Memo to Commission from Cummings reporting release of report to vendor.
- 18. March 5, 1982 Memo to Chairman from EDO announcing OIA investigation.
- 19. March 5, 1982 Memo to EDO from Collins chronology.
- 20. March 5, 1982 Memo to Cummings from EDO requesting OIA audit.

- 21. March 5, 1982 Memo to Commission from EDO reporting release of draft reports to HTPC and including copies of documents released.
- 22. March 5, 1982 Memo to File by W. J. Ward documenting transmittal of draft reports to Commission and EDO.
- 23. March 9, 1982 Memo from Cummings to Chairman advising of invitation of investigation.
- 24. March 10, 1982 Letter from HTPC to DeYoung advising of Field inspection program.
- March 20, 1980 Letter from Uldis Potapovas to HTPC regarding QA Program Inspection conducted on February 25-27, 1980.
- 26. January 21, 1982 Memo from John Collins to Ed Jordan regarding listing of pumps manufactured by Hayward Tyler Pump Company for the period 1977-1981.
- 27. January 28, 1982 Informal note from David Reiff to G. Bagchi regarding investigation of defective Hayward Tyler pumps.
- 28. February 25, 1982 Memo from EDO to Commissioner Ahearne regarding Region IV Report delay.
- 29. Undated/Unsigned draft Investigation Report No. 99900345/82-01.
- 30. Undated/Unsigned draft Investigation Report No. 99900345/82-01.
- 31. Undated/Unsigned draft letter to HTPC from John Collins regarding Inspection Report No.99900345/82-02.
- 32. Undated/Unsigned draft letter to HTPC from John Collins regarding Inspection Report No. 99900345/82-02.
- 33. February 19, 1982 Letter to HTPC from John Collins regarding Inspection Report No. 99900345/82-02.
- 34. Chronology of Contact Between NRC and HTPC.
- 35. October 30, 1981 Note to W. Reinmuth from J. Sniezek regarding 10 CFR Part 21 Reports/Allegation.
- 36. March 22, 1982 Memo from John Collins to R. DeYoung transmitting final draft investigation and inspection reports.
- 37. Written statements and Reports of Interview (with names included) and a key which reveals the identities of those not mentioned by name in the report.
- 38. March 24, 1982 Note to Jim Cummings from Jim Sniezek transmitting above Document #36 (enclosure not repeated here).

- March 19, 1982 Memo from James Sniezek to Roger Fortuna regarding continued investigation and enforcement activities regarding HTPC.
- 40. March 10, 1982 Letter from Rep. Markey to Chairman Palladino regarding hearing on circumstances surrounding release of draft reports.



## UNITED STATES . NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 14, 1982.

Mr. Bill Lodge The Dallas Morning News Communications Center Dallas, TX 75265

IN RESPONSE REFER TO FOIA-82-193

Dear Mr. Lodge:

This is in further response to your letter dated April 8, 1982, in which you requested, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, copies of documents concerning the Hayward Tyler Pump Company.

In my letter to you dated May 6, 1982, I stated that ten documents were still being reviewed. That review has now been completed and enclosed are the first nine documents listed on the appendix to this letter. The numbers listed on this appendix correspond to the numbers on the appendix to my May 6, 1982 letter.

The tenth document contains investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld from public disclosure pursuant to exemption (7)(A) of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7)(A)) and 10 CFR 9.5(a)(7)(i) of the Commission's regulations, because disclosure of the information would interfere with an enforcement proceeding.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.15 of the Commission's regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for this denial is Mr. Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary of the Commission.

This denial may be appealed to the Commission within 30 days from the receipt of this letter. Any such appeal must be in writing, addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an "Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decision."

Sincerely,

J. M. Felton, Director

Division of Rules and Records

allor

Office of Administration

Enclosures: As stated

POR 8207120315

#### Appendix

- 12. February 18, 1982, briefing outline from John Collins
- 14. Undated draft investigator's and inspector's reports and NOV provided in IE:HQ review on February 18, 1982
- 15. Februray 19, 1982 Memo from Karl Seyfrit to Roger Fortuna transmitting a February 19, 1982 letter to HTPC from Karl Seyfrit regarding "Final" Report of Investigation No. 99900345/82-01. (Statements and administrative page have been deleted from Report) (See Item 37).
- 21. March 5, 1982 Memo to Commission from EDO reporting release of draft reports to HTPC and including copies of documents released.
- 29. Undated/Unsigned draft Investigation Report No. 99900345/82-01.
- 30. Undated/Unsigned draft Investigation Report No. 99900345/82-01.
- 31. Undated/Unsigned draft letter to HTPC from John Collins regarding Inspection Report No. 99900345/82-02.
- 32. Undated/Unsigned draft letter to HTPC from John Collins regarding Inspection Report No. 99900345/82-02.
- 33. February 19, 1982 Letter to HTPC from John Collins regarding Inspection Report No. 99900345/82-02.
- 36. March 22, 1982 Memo from John Collins to R. DeYoung transmitting final draft investigation and inspection reports.