



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

July 27, 1982

T. S. Ellis, III, Esquire  
Hunton & Williams  
P.O. Box 1535  
Richmond, VA 23212

IN RESPONSE REFER  
TO FOIA-82-202

Dear Mr. Ellis:

This is in reply to your letter dated April 16, 1982, in which you requested, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), copies of the same documents provided to or made available in response to Christopher M. McMurray's FOIA request designated as FOIA-82-176.

You were provided with documents by my letters dated April 26, April 30, May 12, May 28, June 5, June 25 and July 15, 1982.

The charge for reproducing records is five cents (\$0.05) per page. Accordingly, the cost of reproducing the 4,484 pages provided to you is \$224.20. You will be billed for this amount by our Division of Accounting.

This completes action on your request.

Sincerely,

J. M. Felton, Director  
Division of Rules and Records  
Office of Administration

KIRKPATRICK, LOCKHART, HILL, CHRISTOPHER & PHILLIPS

A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1900 M STREET, N. W.

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

TELEPHONE (ROR) 402-7000  
CABLE: KIPPHI  
TELEX 440909 KIPPHI DI  
WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER  
202/452-8391

IN PITTSBURGH  
KIRKPATRICK, LOCKHART, JOHNSON & HUTCHISON  
1800 OLIVER BUILDING  
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15222  
(412) 385-8000

April 5, 1982

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION  
ACT REQUEST

FOIA-82-176  
Rec'd 4-5-82

(BY HAND)

J. M. Felton, Director  
Division of Rules and Records  
Office of Administration  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
7735 Old Georgetown Road  
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Mr. Felton:

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 522), and the rules of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") issued thereunder (10 C.F.R. § 9.3 et seq.), we request copies of the following written materials:

1. All documents, reports, records, studies, memoranda, data, correspondence, analyses and any other written material utilized in developing the standard definitions for safety classification terms. These terms are set forth in a memorandum from Mr. Harold Denton, Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, dated November 20, 1981. Such written materials should include, but not be limited to, a memorandum from Mr. Thomas Murley to Mr. Denton dated October 13, 1981.

2. All documents, reports, correspondence, studies, memoranda, analyses and any other written materials prepared by or for the NRC which comment on the adequacy and consistency of the standard definitions for safety classification terms set forth in the above request. Without limiting the scope of this request, but merely to assist the NRC in its search, the written material that we are requesting may include the following items set forth in Mr. Denton's memorandum of November 20, 1981:

- a. All written materials developed as a result of a review of Regulatory Guides, Standard Review Plans and applicable portions of the regulations upon which they are based, conducted for the purpose of determining what consistency exists in the applications of safety classification terminology.

PDR  
82-05040703

J. M. Felton

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

April 5, 1982

Page Two

- b. All written materials developed as a result of discussions among cognizant NRR, RES (Standards Development), and ELD representatives regarding proper interpretation and application of safety classification terms, including any written materials considering or describing alternative standard definitions of such terms.
  - c. All written materials developed as a result of consultation with the cognizant ACRS subcommittee regarding standard definitions for safety classification terms as well as all written materials resulting from consideration of this matter by the full ACRS.
3. All documents, reports, studies, memoranda, data, correspondence, analyses and any other written material utilized in developing a proposed rule regarding the "Applicability of Appendix B to Appendix A (Part 50)." Without limiting the scope of this request, such written materials should include:
- a) All written materials relating to the proposed rule prepared or received by Messrs. Francis Nolan, William Belke, Steve Richardson and Walter Haass;
  - b) A document or documents prepared by or for the NRC related to TMI Action Plan Item I.F.1 entitled "Quality Assurance - Expand QA List."
  - c) All expanded QA lists developed by the NRC for special plants such as TMI-1 restart, Zion 1 and 2, Indian Point 2 and 3.
4. All documents, reports, studies, memoranda, correspondence, analyses or other written materials regarding Unresolved Safety Issue A-47. Without limiting the scope of this request, such written material should include:
- a) All written materials relating to Unresolved Safety Issue A-47 prepared or received by A. Szukiewicz, D. Basdekas, C. Rossi, J. Conran, F. Orr, J. Beard, and M. Chirmal;

J. M. Felton

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

April 5, 1982

Page Three

- b) All written materials relating to meetings held on July 20, 1981 with EG&G, Batelle Northwest and Lawrence Livermore Laboratory regarding A-47 issues;
- c) All written materials relating to meetings held on July 9 and November 4 and 5, 1981 with ORNL and Sandia regarding A-47 and related research activities.

5. All documents, reports, records, studies, memoranda, data, correspondence, analyses and any other written materials prepared by or for the NRC since January 1, 1980 regarding Generic Unresolved Safety Issue A-17 and TMI Action Plan Item II. C. 3 ("Systems Interaction"). Without limiting the scope of this request, such written materials should include:

- a) All written materials relating to Unresolved Safety Issue A-17, or relating to the issue of systems interaction, that were prepared or received by F. Coffman, P. Norian, J. Conran, and F. Rowsome;
- b) All written materials related to a San Onofre Systems Interaction Study;
- c) All written materials related to a meeting conducted on April 1, 1981 with the Atomic Industrial Forum involving systems interactions issues;
- d) All written material revising or updating a letter report dated June 25, 1981 summarizing the PRAB/DST approach to systems interaction. Such revisions or updates may include but should not be limited to, comments from the Atomic Industrial Forum and the NRC Staff;
- e) All written materials related to technical assistance provided by Sandia on the issue of "Importance Ranking of Systems Interaction," such assistance having been authorized on June 24, 1981;

J. M. Felton

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

April 5, 1982

Page Four

- f) All written material related to technical assistance provided by Brookhaven National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory and Pacific Northwest Laboratory on the issue of "Systems Interaction Evaluation of Selected LWRs," such assistance having been authorized on July 20, 1981.

6. All documents, reports, records, studies, memoranda, data, correspondence, analyses and any other written materials prepared by or for the NRC since January 1, 1980 related to TMI Action Plan Item II. F. 5 ("Classification of Instrumentation, Control, and Electrical Equipment"). Without limiting the scope of this request, the written material should include:

- a) All written material regarding TMI Action Plan Item II. F. 5 prepared or received by E. Weiss, C. Rossi or M. Madeiros;
- b) A draft of a standard designated IEEE P-827 and all staff comments thereto.

7. All documents, reports, records, studies, memoranda, data, correspondence, analyses and any other written materials prepared by or for the NRC since January 1, 1980 related to the adequacy of the single failure criterion in the analysis of transients, accidents, and normal operation.

8. All documents, reports, records, studies, memoranda, data, correspondence, analyses and any other written materials prepared by or for the NRC since January 1, 1980 related to the conditions and circumstances under which a single failure of a passive component in a fluid system should be considered in designing a safety system against a single failure.

We expect to receive your response to this request within ten (10) working days.

We will pay search and copying fees as set out in the NRC's regulations. If the search and copying fees to be incurred are expected to exceed \$200.00, please notify the undersigned before this sum is exceeded.

KIRKPATRICK, LOCKHART, BILL, CHRISTOPHER & PHILLIPS

J. M. Felton


U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

April 5, 1982

Page Five

In the event that access is denied to any part of the requested materials, please identify and describe the withheld or deleted material in detail and specify the statutory basis for the denial, as well as your reasons for believing that an exemption applies. We also request that your description of the deleted or withheld material include the title of the material, a description of its essence, the identity of its author, and the identities of any parties that have received copies or have had access to such materials. Please separately state your reasons for not invoking your discretionary powers to release the allegedly exempt materials.

Sincerely yours,



Christopher M. McMurray

Christopher M. McMurray