SAI-186-029-16

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

IMPROVEMENTS IN TRAINING AND REQUALIFICATION PROGRAMS AS REQUIRED BY TMI ACTION ITEMS I.A.2.1 AND II.B.4

for the

Trojan Nuclear Plant

(Docket 50-344)

B.C.M.

2 .

; .

۹.

June 30, 1982

Prepared By:

Science Applications, Inc. 1710 Goodridge Drive McLean. Virginia 22102

Prepared for:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Contract NRC-03-82-096

820702029

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.1

Section	Pag	e
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	
II.	SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE EVALUATION	
	A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of RO and SRO Training and Qualifications 1	
	B. II.B.4: Training for Mitigating Core Damage 6	
III.	LICENSEE SUBMITTALS	
IV	EVALUATION	1
	A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of RO and SRO Training and Qualifications 8	1
	B. II.B.4: Training for Mitigating Core Damage 10	1
Vero-	CONCLUSIONS	
VI.	REFERENCES	

I. INTRODUCTION

Science Applications, Inc. (SAI), as technical assistance contractor to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, has evaluated the response by Portland General Electric Company (PGE) for the Trojan Nuclear Plant (Docket 50-344) to certain requirements contained in post-TMI Action Items I.A.2.1, Immediate Upgrading of Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator Training and Qualifications, and II.B.4, Training for Mitigating Core Damage. These requirements were set forth in NUREG-0660 (Reference 1) and were subsequently clarified in NUREG-0737 (Reference 2).*

The purpose of the evaluation was to determine whether the licensee's operator training and requalification programs satisfy the requirements. The evaluation pertains to Technical Assignment Control (TAC) System numbers 44203 (NUREG-0737, I.A.2.1.4) and 44553 (NUREG-0737, II.B.4.1). As delineated below, the evaluation covers only some aspects of item I.A.2.1.4.

The detailed evaluation of the licensee's submittals is presented in Section IV; the conclusions are in Section V.

II. SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE EVALUATION

A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of RO and SRO Training and Qualifications

The clarification of TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 in NUREG-0737 incorporates a letter and four enclosures, dated March 28, 1980, from Harold R. Denton, Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, USNRC, to all power reactor applicants and licensees, concerning qualifications of reactor operators (hereafter referred to as Denton's letter). This letter and enclosures imposes a number of training requirements on power reactor licensees. This evaluation specifically addressed a subset of the requirements stated in Enclosure 1 of Denton's letter, namely: Item A.2.c, which relates to operator training requirements; item A.2.e, which concerns instructor requalification; and Section C, which addresses operator requalification. Some of these requirements are elaborated in Enclosures 2, 3, and 4 of Denton's letter. The training requirements under evaluation are summarized in Figure 1. The elaborations of these requirements in Enclosures 2, 3, and 4 of Denton's letter are shown respectively in Figures 2, 3, and 4.

As noted in Figure 1, Enclosures 2 and 3 indicate minimum requirements concerning course content in their respective areas. In addition, the Operator Licensing Branch in NRC has taken the position (Reference 3) that

^{*}Enclosure 1 of NUREG-0737 and NRC's Technical Assistance Control System distinguish four sub-actions within I.A.2.1 and two sub-actions within II.B.4. These subdivisions are not carried forward to the actual presentation of the requirements in Enclosure 3 of NUREG-0737. If they had been, the items of concern here would be contained in I.A.2.1.4 and II.B.4.1.

Figure 1. Training Requirements from TMI Action Item I.A.2.1*

Part of the second

Program Element	NRC Requirements**
	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(1)
	Training programs shall be modified, as necessary, to provide training in heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics. (Enclosure 2 provides guidelines for the minimum content of such training.)
OPERATIONS	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(2)
PERSONNEL TRAINING	Training programs shall be modified, as necessary to provide training in the use of installed plant systems to control or mitigate an accident in which the core is severely damaged. (Enclosure 3 provides guidelines for the minimum content of such training.)
	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c.(3)
	Training programs shall be modified, as necessary to provide increased emphasis on reactor and plant transients.
	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.e
INSTRUCTOR REQUALIFICATION	Instructors shall be enrolled in appropriate requalification programs to assure they are cognizant of current operating history, problems, and changes to pro- cedures and administrative limitations.
	Enclosure 1, Item C.1
	Content of the licensed operator requalification programs shall be modified to include instruction in heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics, and mitigation of accidents involving a degraded core. (Enclosures 2 and 3 provide guide lines for the minimum content of such training.)
PERSONNEL	Enclosure 1, Item C.2
REQUALIFICATION	The criteria for requiring a licensed individual to participate in accelerated requalification shall be modified to be consistent with the new passing grade for issuance of a license: 80% overall and 70% each category.
	Enclosure 1, Item C.3
	Programs should be modified to require the control manipulations listed in Enclosure 4. Normal control manipulations, such as plant or reactor startups, must be performed. Control manipulations during abnormal or emergency opera- tions must be walked through with, and evaluated by, a member of the training staff at a minimum. An appropriate simulator may be used to satisfy the requirements for control manipulations.

*The requirements shown are a subset of those contained in Item I.A.2.1. **References to Enclosures are to Denton's letter of March 28, 1980, which is contained in the clarifi-cation of Item I.A.2.1 in NUREG-0737.

Figure 2. Enclosure 2 from Denton's Letter

TRAINING IN HEAT TRANSFER, FLUID FLOW AND THERMODYNAMICS

1. Basic Properties of Fluids and Matter.

This section should cover a basic introduction to matter and its properties. This section should include such concepts as temperature measurements and effect., density and its effects, specific weight, buoyancy, viscosity and other properties of fluids. A working knowledge of steam tables should also be included. Energy movement should be discussed including such fundamentals as heat exchange, specific heat, latent heat of vaporization and sensible heat.

2. Fluid Statics.

: · · · ·

This section should cover the pressure, temperature and volume effects on fluids. Example of these parametric changes should be illustrated by the instructor and related calculations should be performed by the students and discussed in the training sessions. Causes and effects of pressure and temperature changes in the various components and systems should be discussed in the training sessions. Causes and effects of pressure and temperature changes in the various components and systems should be discussed in the training sessions. Causes and effects of pressure and temperature changes in the various components and systems should be discussed as applicable to the facility with particular emphasis on safety significant features. The characteristics of force and pressure, pressure in liquids at rest, principles of hydraulics, saturation pressure and temperature and subcooling should also be included.

3. Fluid Dynamics.

This section should cover the flow of fluids and such concepts as Bernoulli's principle, energy in moving fluids, flow measure theory and devices and pressure losses due to friction and orificing. Other concepts and terms to be discussed in this section are NPSH, carry over, carry under, kinetic energy, head-loss relationships and two phase flow fundamentals. Practical applications relating to the reactor coolant system and steam generators should also be included.

4. Heat Transfer by Conduction, Convection and Radiation.

This section should cover the fundamentals of heat transfer by conductions. This section should include discussions on such concepts and terms as specific heat, heat flux and atomic action. Heat transfer characteristics of fuel rods and heat exchangers should be included in this section.

This section should cover the fundamentals of heat transfer by convection. Natural and forced circulation should be discussed as applicable to the various systems at the facility. The convection current patterns created by expanding fluids in a confined area should be included in this section. Heat transport and fluid flow reductions or stoppage should be discussed due to steam and/or noncondensible gas formation during normal and accident conditions.

This section should cover the fundamentals of heat transfer by thermal radiation in the form of radiant energy. The electromagnetic energy emitted by a body as a result of its temperature should be discussed and illustrated by the use of equations and sample calculations. Comparisons should be made of a black body absorber and a white body emitter.

5. Change of Phase - Boiling.

This section should include descriptions of the state of matter, their inherent characteristics and thermodynamic properties such as enthalpy and entropy. Calculations should be performed involving steam quality and void fraction properties. The types of boiling should be discussed as applicable to the facility during normal evolutions and accident conditions.

6. Burnout and Flow Instability.

This section should cover descriptions and mechanisms for calculating such terms as critical flux, critical power, DNB ratio and hot channel factors. This section should also include instructions for preventing and monitoring for clad or fuel damage and flow instabilities. Sample calculations should be illustrated by the instructor and calculations should be performed by the students and discussed in the training sessions. Methods and procedures for using the plant computer to determine quantitative values of various factors during plant operation and plant heat balance determinations should also be covered in this section.

7. Reactor Heat Transfer Limits.

This section should include a discussion of heat transfer limits by examining fuel rod and reactor design and limitations. The basis for the limits should be covered in this section along with recommended methods to ensure that limits are not approached or exceeded. This section should cover discussions of peaking factors, radial and axial power distributions and changes of these factors due to the influence of other variables such as moderator temperature, xenon and control rod position.

Figure 3. Enclosure 3 from Denton's Letter

	TRAINING	CRITERIA FOR MITIGATING CORE DAMAGE		
	Incore Instrumentation			
	1. Use of fixed or movable incore	detectors to determine extent of core damage and geometry changes.		
	 Use of thermocouples in deter methods for direct readings at 	mining peak temperatures; methods for extended range readings terminal junctions.		
	3. Methods for calling up (printin	ng) incore data from the plant computer.		
	. Excore Nuclear Instrumentation (NIS)			
	 Use of NIS for determination o of core temperatures and densit 	f void formation; void location basis for NIS response as a functio ty changes.		
	Vital Instrumentation	Vital Instrumentation		
-	 Instrumentation response in an failure; indication reliabili 	accident environment; failure sequence (time to failure, method o ty (actual vs indicated level).		
	2. Alternative methods for measur	ing flows, pressures, levels, and temperatures.		
	a. Determination of pressuri	zer level if all level transmitters fail.		
	b. Determination of letdown	flow with a clogged filter (low flow).		
	 Determination of other Rei has failed. 	actor Coolant System parameters if the primary method of measuremen		
0.	Primary Chemistry			
	 Expected chemistry results with of liquid outside containment; 	th severe core damage; consequences of transferring small quantitie importance of using leak tight systems.		
	2. Expected isotopic breakdown fo	r core damage; for clad damage.		
	3. Corrosion effects of extended	immersion in primary water; time to failure.		
ε.	Radiation Monitoring			
	moteod for detection radiati	Monitors to severe damages; behavior of detectors when saturate on readings by direct measurement at detector output (overrange of detectors at different locations; use of detectors to determine		
	2 Methods of determining dose ra	te inside containment from measurements taken outside containment.		
F.	Gas Generation			
	 Methods of H₂ generation durin or disposal of non-condensible 	ng an accident; other sources of gas (Xe, Ke); techniques for ventions.		
	2. Ho flammability and explosive	limit; sources of O2 in containment or Reactor Coolant System.		

Figure 4. Control Manipulations Listed in Enclosure 4.

.

	CONTROL MANIPULATIONS	
*1.	Plant or reactor st rtups to include a range that reactivity feedback from nuclear heat addition is noticeable and heatup rate is established.	
2.	Plant shutdown.	
*3.	Manual control of steam generators and/or feedwater during startup and shutdown.	
4.	Boration and or dilution during power operation.	
*5.	Any significant (greater than 10%) power changes in manual rod control or recirculation flow.	
6.	Any reactor power change of 10% or greater where load change is performed with load limit control or where flux, temperature, or speed control is on manual (for HTGR).	
*7.	Loss of coolant including:	
	1. significant PWR steam generator leaks	
	2. inside and outside primary containment	
	3. large and small, including leak-rate determination	
	 saturated Reactor Coolant response (PWR). 	
8.	Loss of instrument air (if simulated plant specific).	
9.	Loss of electrical power (and/or degraded power sources).	
×10.	Loss of core coolant flow/natural circulation.	
11.	Loss of condenser vacuum.	
12.	Loss of service water if required for safety.	
13.	Loss of shutdown cooling.	
. 14.	Loss of component cooling system or cooling to an individual component.	
15.	Loss of normal feedwater or normal feedwater system failure.	
*16.	Loss of all feedwater (normal and emergency).	
17.	Loss of protective system channel.	
18.	Mispositioned control rod or rods (or rod drops).	
19.	Inability to drive control rods.	
20.	Conditions requiring use of emergency boration or standby liquid control system.	
21.	Fuel cladding failure or high activity in reactor coolant or offgas.	
22.	Turbine or generator trip.	
23.	Malfunction of automatic control system(s) which affect reactivity.	
24.	Malfunction of reactor coolant pressure/volume control system.	
25.	Reactor trip.	
26.	Main steam line break (inside or outside containment).	
27.	Nuclear instrumentation failure(s).	

the training in mitigating core damage and related subjects should consist of at least 80 contact hours* in both the initial training and the equalification programs. The NRC considers thermodynamics, fluid flow and heat transfer to be related subjects, so the 80-hour requirement applies to the combined subject areas of Enclosures 2 and 3. The 80 contact hour criterion is not intended to be applied rigidly; rather, its purpose is to provide greater assurance of adequate course content when the licensee's training courses are not described in detail.

Since the licensees generally have their own unique course outlines, adequacy of response to these requirements necessarily depends only on whether it is at a level of detail comparable to that specified in the enclosures (and consistent with the 80 contact hour requirement) and whether it can reasonably be concluded from the licensee's description of his training material that the items in the enclosures are covered.

The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) has developed its own guidelines for training in the subject areas of Enclosures 2 and 3. These guidelines, given in References 4 and 5, were developed in response to the same requirements and are more than adequate, i.e., training programs based specifically on the complete INPO documents are expected to satisfy all the requirements pertaining to training material which are addressed in this evaluation.

The licensee's response concerning increased emphasis on transients is considered by SAI to be acceptable if it makes explicit reference to increased emphasis on transients and gives some indication of the nature of the increase, or, if it addresses both normal and abnormal transients (without necessarily indicating an increase in emphasis) and the requalification program satisfies the requirements for control manipulations. Enclosure 1, Item C.3. The latter requirement calls for all the manipulations listed in Enclosure 4 (Figure 4 in this report) to be performed, at the frequency indicated, unless they are specifically not applicable to the licensee's type of reactor(s). Some of these manipulations may be performed on a simulator. Personnel with senior licenses may be credited with these activities if they direct or evaluate control manipulations as they are performed by others. Although these manipulations are acceptable for meeting the reactivity control manipulations required by Appendix A paragraph 3.a of 10 CFR 55, the requirements of Enclosure 4 are more demanding. Enclosure 4 requires about 32 specific manipulations over a two-year cycle while 10 CFR 55 Appendix A requires only 10 manipulations over a two-year cycle.

B. II.B.4: Training for Mitigating Core Damage

Item II.B.4 in NUREG-0737 requires that "shift technical advisors and operating personnel from the plant manager through the operations chain to the licensed operators" receive training on the use of installed systems to control or mitigate accidents in which the core is severely damaged.

^{*}A contact hour is a one-hour period in which the course instructor is present or available for instructing or assisting students; lectures, seminars, discussions, problem-solving sessions, and examinations are considered contact periods. This definition is taken from Reference 4.

Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter provides guidance on the content of this training. "Plant Manager" is here taken to mean the highest ranking manager at the plant site.

For licensed personnel, this training would be redundant in that it is also required, by I.A.2.1, in the operator requalification program. However, II.B.4 applies also to operations personnel who are not licensed and are not candidates for licenses. This may include one or more of the highest levels of management at the plant. These non-licensed personnel are not explicitly required to have training in heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics and are therefore not obligated for the full 80 contact hours of training in mitigating core damage and related subjects.

Some non-operating personnel, notably managers and technicians in instrumentation and control, health physics and chemistry departments, are supposed to receive those portions of the training which are commensurate with their responsibilities. Since this imposes no additional demands on the program itself, we do not address it in this evaluation. It would be appropriate for resident inspectors to verify that non-operating personnel receive the proper training.

* * * * *

The required implementation dates for all items have passed. Hence, this evaluation did not address the dates of implementation. Moreover, the evaluation does not cover training program modifications that might have been made for other reasons subsequent to the response to Denter's letter.

III. LICENSEE SUBMITTALS

The licensee (PGE) has submitted to NRC a number of items (letters and various attachments) which explain their training and requalification - programs. These submittals, made in response to Denton's letter, form the information base for this evaluation. For the Trojan plant, there were 2 submittals with attachments, for a total of 5 items, which are listed below.

- Letter from C.P. Yundt, General Manager, Trojan Nuclear Plant, to P.F. Collins, Chief of Operator Licensing Branch, NRC. July 29, 1980. (1 pg, with enclosures: items 2 & 3). NRC Acc No: 8008060258. (re: Transmittal, response to NRC letter dated March, 1980).
- "Training Procedure TP-2-1, Operator Licensing", Portland General Electric Co., Trojan Nuclear Plant, Revision 6. July 29, 1980. (6 pp, attached to item 1). NRC Acc No: 8008060260.
- "Training Procedure TP-2-2, Licensee Retraining Program", Portland General Electric Co., Trojan Nuclear Plant, Revision 8. Approved by C.P. Yundt, July 30, 1980. (9 pp, attached to item 1). NRC Acc No: 8008060262.

- Letter from B.D. Withers, Vice President, Nuclear, Portland General Electric Co., Trojan Nuclear Plant, to R.A. Clark, Chiei of Operating Reactors #3, Division of Licensing, NRC. May 10, 1982. (1 pg, with enclosure: item 5). NRC Acc No: 8205170327. (re: Response to NRC's RAI dated March 18, 1982).
- "Additional Information on NUREG-0737 Action Items I.A.2.1 (Upgraded SRO/RO Training) and II.B.4 (Training for Mitigating Core Damage)", Trojan Nuclear Plant. May 10, 1982. (5 pp, attached to item 4).

IV. EVALUATION

SAI's evaluation of the training programs at Portland General Electric's Trojan Nuclear Plant is presented below. Section A addresses TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 and presents the assessment organized in the manner of Figure 1. Section B addresses TMI Action Item II.B.4.

A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator Training and Qualification.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(1)

640

The basic requirements are that the training programs given to reactor operator and senior reactor operator candidates cover the subjects of heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics at the level of detail specified in Enclosure 2 of Denton's letter.

In submittal item 2, the licensee provided a training procedure which described the training program for RO and SRO candidates. The procedure identified specific lectures on the subject of heat transfer, thermodynamics and fluid flow. In response to NRC questions about the level of detail in these lectures, the licensee stated in submittal item 5 that the training program lectures cover the subject as outlined in Enclosure 2 of Denton's letter. This program meets the NRC requirements. Since no course outline was provided by the licensee, an inspector wishing to audit implementation of the program would audit against the guidelines of Enclosure 2.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(2)

The requirements are that the training programs for reactor and senior reactor operator candidates cover the subject of accident mitigation at the level of detail specified in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter (see Figure 3 of this report).

In submittal item 2, the licensee described the training program for license candidates. As part of this program the candidates are given a lecture dealing with the mitigation of accidents involving a degraded core. In submittal item 5, the licensee stated that the training program, of which these lectures are an integral part, covers the subject of accident mitigation as outlined in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter. An examination of the course outline provided with submittal item 5 confirms this assessment. This does meet the requirements of NUREG-0737.

Also in submittal item 5, the licensee stated that their training in the areas of accident mitigation, heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics did not involve 80 contact hours. The NRC project manager contacted the licensee and established that 21.5 contact hours of instruction were involved for these training areas (Reference 6). This part of the training program for the Trojan Nuclear Plant does not meet the applicable NRC criterion (80 contact hours).

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(3)

The requirement is that there be an increased emphasis in the training program on dealing with reactor transients.

The training program described in submittal item 2 identifies lectures on the subject of plant transients and accident analysis. In submittal item 5, PGE stated that this reflected an increased emphasis and also that both normal and accidental transients were included in the training program. This part of the Trojan training program meets NRC requirements.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.e

10.23

The requirement is that instructors for reactor operator training programs be enrolled in appropriate requalification programs to assure they are cognizant of current operating history, problems and changes to procedures and administrative limitations.

In submittal item 5 the licensee stated that instructors are on . the routing for all Operational Assessment Reviews and for all safety related procedure changes. Also a staff meeting is held every morning at Trojan to keep instructors appraised of current, past and potential operating problems. This appears to meet all the NRC requirements for keeping the instructors cognizant of facility history and status.

Enclosure 1, Item C.1

The primary requirement is that the requalification programs have instruction in the areas of heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation. The level of detail required in the requalification program is that of Enclosures 2 and 3 of Denton's letter. In addition, these instructions must involve an adequate number of contact hours.

In submittal item 3, the licensee's operator requalification program is described. This program involves a mandatory (Category I) of lectures in the area of accident mitigation which consists of at least 20 contact hours. In addition to the mandatory lectures, Category II lectures which are required only of those not attaining a grade of 80% in a particular area are also presented. Taking the requalification program to involve both Category I and II lectures, the Trojan requalification program covers the necessary areas of accident mitigation, heat tranfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics. In submittal item 5, the licensee provided an accident mitigation course outline which corresponds to the subjects covered in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter. The licensee also stated that the program presents these subjects at a level compatible with the NRC guidance of Enclosures 2 and 3.

The number of contact hours associated with the training of these subjects is 21.5 based on information received by the NRC project manager (Reference 6). This aspect of the program does not meet the NRC criterion of 80 contact hours.

Enclosure 1, Item C.2

The requirement for licensed operators to participate in the accelerated requalification program must be based on passing scores of 80% overall, 70% in each category.

In submittal item 3, the licensee stated in that licensed operators which received a grade of less than 80% overall or less than 70% in a section shall participate in the accelerated training program. This meets the NRC requirements.

Enclosure 1, Item C.3

-0.00-

TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 calls for the licensed operator requalification program to include performance of control manipulations involving both normal and abnormal situations. The specific manipulations required and their performance frequency are identified in Enclosure 4 of the Denton letter (see Figure 4 of this report).

In submittal item 3, PGE listed control manipulations which are part of the licensed operator requalification program. These control manipulations are the same as those identified in Enclosure 4 of Denton's letter. The performance frequency for these manipulations are also in accordance with Enclosure 4. This aspect of the PGE requalification program meets the NRC requirements.

B. II.B.4 Training for Mitigating Core Damage

Item I.B.4 requires that training for mitigating core damage, as indicated in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter, be given to shift technical advisors and operating personnel from the plant manager to the licensed operators. This includes both licensed and non-licensed personnel.

The licensed personnel received their training as a part of meeting the training and requalification requirements of TMI Action Item I.A.2.1. This training covered the topics of Denton's Enclosure 3 as required but involves only 21.5 contact hours for accident mitigation and related topics. This does not meet the NRC criterion of 80 contact hours for these subject areas. In response to an NRC question about the training of operating personnel and shift technical advisors, PGE provided in submittal item 5 a list of titles of the personnel who had received accident mitigation training. Using these titles and the organization chart in the Trojan Plant technical specifications it can be seen that the non-licensed personnel in the operating chain and shift technical advisors receive the accident mitigation training. However, a total of 21.5 contact hours of instruction for both mitigating core damage and related subjects is, at best, marginal for meaningful coverage of the subject material. Nevertheless, because their training outline is reasonably thorough and since there is not a specific numerical requirement on instruction hours for non-licensed personnel, SAI has concluded that, technically speaking, PGE meets the NRC requirements for training of non-licensed personnel.

V. CONCLUSIONS

SAI has evaluated the training and requalification programs at Portland General Electric's Trojan Nuclear Plant relative to the requirements of TMI Action Items I.A.2.1 and II.B.4. The evaluation was concerned with the establishment and content of the training and requalification programs.

For TMI Action Item I.A.2.1, the training and requalification programs met all of the requirements except for the NRC criterion that 80 contact hours be involved in the teaching of accident mitigation, fluid flow, heat transfer and thermodynamics. Trojan has only 21.5 contact hours in each of the training and requalification programs.

For TMI Action Item II.B.4, the requirements are met with the exception that licensed operating personnel did not receive 80 contact hours of instruction in the areas of accident mitigation, heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics. Again 21.5 contact hours are provided in the areas of accident mitigation, heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics. This is marginal for non-licensed personnel but technically it meets the requirement.

V. REFERENCES

- "NRC Action Plan Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident." NUREG-0660, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1980.
- "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," NUREG-0737, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 1980.
- 3. The NRC requirement for 80 contact hours is an Operator Licensing Branch technical position. It was included with the acceptance criteria provided by NRC to SAI for use in the present evaluation. See letter, Harley Silver, Technical Assistance Program Management Group, Division of Licensing, USNRC to Bryce Johnson, Program Manager, Science Applications, Inc., Subject: Contract No. NRC-03-82-096, Final Work Assignment 2, December 23, 1981.
- "Guidelines for Heat Transfer, Fluid Flow and Thermodynamics Instruction," STG-02, The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. December 12, 1980.
- "Guidelines for Training to Recognize and Mitigate the Consequences of Core Damage," STG-01, The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. January 15, 1981.
- Phone conversation between Charles Trammell (NRC) and James Hammelman (SAI), June 11, 1982.