

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **REGION II**

101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

Report No.: 50-389/83-04

Licensee: Florida Power and Light Company

9250 West Flagler Street

Miami, FL 33101

Docket No.: 50-389

License No.: CPPR-144

Facility Name: St. Lucie 2

Inspection at St. Lucie site near Ft. Pierce, Florida

Inspector: A. G. Debbage

Approved by: C. M. Upright, Section Chief

Engineering Program Branch

Division of Engineering and Operational Programs

SUMMARY

Inspection on January 3-7, 1983

Areas Inspected

This routine, unannounced inspection involved 34 inspector-hours on site in the areas of onsite design activity relating to nonconforming mechanical support/ restraints, examination of QA inspection and nonconformance records, review of a design control audit report, and inspection of installed supports/restraints.

Results

Of the four areas inspected, no violations or deviations were identified.

REPORT DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

Licensee Employees

*B. J. Escue, Site Manager, Plant St. Lucie Unit 2

C. Carlo, QC Mechanical Supervisor D. R. Cooper, QA Audit Supervisor

R. W. Jule, Lead Hanger/Restraints Engineer

J. R. Luke, QA Engineer

*E. Preast, Senior Resident Engineer

*N. T. Weems, Superintendent of St. Lucie Projects - QA

Ebasco Services, Incorporated

*G. H. Krauss, Site Project Engineer

*G. E. Grace, Licensing Engineer

P. E. Grossman, Mechanical Engineer

Combustion Engineering

*J. C. Orlowski, Licensing Engineer

U. S. Testing

J. M. Nolan, QC Supervisor

J. J. Mello, QC Senior Inspector

Other licensee employees contacted included construction craftsmen, QA/QC personnel, field engineers, and office personnel.

NRC Resident Inspector

*S. A. Elrod, Senior Resident Inspector

*Attended exit interview

2. Exit Interview

The inspection scope and findings were summarized on January 10, 1983, with those persons indicated in paragraph 1 above.

3. Licensee Action on Previous Enforcement Matters

Not inspected.

4. Unresolved Items

Unresolved items were not identified during this inspection.

5. List of Acronyms

PSL-2	Plant St. Lucie Unit 2
ESSE	Ebasco Site Support Engineering Group
FCR	Field Change Requests
NCR	Nonconformance Report
DFCR	Discrepant Field Condition Report
DCN	Drawing Change Notice
S/R	Supports/Restraints

6. Onsite Design Activities (37055B)

The design activities being performed on site were examined during the inspection October 4-8, 1982 and reported in 50-389/82-45. The object of this recent inspection was to examine the processing of FCRs and resolution of nonconforming mechanical supports/restraints. Specific attention was given to those FCRs which had not been approved initially by ESSE. This inspection also included a review of the licensee initial S/R inspections and the final acceptance inspections and also the field examination of installed supports/restraints.

(a) Functional Responsibilities for Onsite Design

Onsite design activities are performed by ESSE which has a current manpower level of 99. The group is supervised by the site project engineer who reports to the PSL-2 project engineer in the Ebasco home (New York) office. The responsibilities of ESSE include the review and approval of FCRs which result in only minor project design changes, issue DFCRs for identified nonconforming design conditions, disposition NCRs, prepare DCNs which are minor design changes, consult with the home office for approval of FCRs which result in major design changes, and coordinate design activities between the home office and onsite construction groups. During December 1982, ESSE handled 1248 FCRs, 91 DCNs, and 578 NCRs.

(b) Procedure Raview

Program requirements and procedures governing design control and corrective action activities were reviewed for completeness and effectiveness. The procedures reviewed included the following:

QI 10.18, R2	Piping System Configuration Inspection
QI 10.67, RO	Equipment Seismic Support Inspection
SQP-16, R10	Pipe Support Erection
SQP-17, R3	Design Control
SQP-21, R8	Corrective Actions

(c) Examination of QA Records

Records were examined and discussions held with appropriate design, construction, and inspection personnel to determine that nonconforming conditions had received adequate design review, that the corrective action was adequate, and that it was in accordance with established site procedures. The records reviewed included the following:

S/R IDENTIFICATION	INITIAL INSPECTION REPORT	QC DEFICIENCY REPORT	FINAL INSPECTION REPORT	DATE COMPLETED
RC-56-R5 CC-2074-19 SI-93-R1 MS-3023-26A	MH82-16186 MH82-15914 MH82-16112 MH82-16968	DR-2683MH DR-2607MH DR-2647MH DR-2761MH	MH82-16802 MH82-19533 MH82-19560 MH82-17076	10/22/82 12/18/82 12/17/82 10/24/82
S/R IDENTIFICATION	INITIAL INSPECTION REPORT	NONCONFORMANCE REPORT	FINAL INSPECTION REPORT	DATE COMPLETED
MS-4101-314 SI-61-R2	MH82-15383 FCR2-5654E	4775MH 4747MH	MH82-15438 MH82-15573	09/29/82 09/30/82
BF-4004-2355 CH-35 (FCR2-10563E)	(Not Approved) MH82-15564 MH82-16016	4799MH 4885MH	MH82-17354 MH82-17187	10/20/82 10/26/82
S/R IDENTIFICATION	FIELD CHANGE REQUEST	APPROVED BY ESSE	DISPOSITION	DATE OF ACTION
FLO-2998.099 B-25-H5 FS-25-6B 2998-3734,R2 MS-4101-314 RC-56-R5	10053E 10460E 9391E 10371 10555E 10599E	No No Yes No Yes	DFC 2358 DFC 2387 DCN 513.2168 FCR Cancelled MH82-15438 MP92-16802	12/21/82 11/17/82 09/09/82 09/26/82 09/28/82 10/19/82

(d) Audit Report Review

An FP&L construction design control audit QAC-PSL2-82-14 had been conducted from May 12 through June 30, 1982. The audit scope was to verify the translation of QA program requirements for design control into site procedure/instructions and the site's implementation of the procedures/instructions so generated. The auditor examined the use of QC design change log forms which in addition to DFCs, DCNs, etc., included tracking FCRs both approved and disapproved by ESSE. The QA

program was determined to be satisfactory with the exception of one finding. This concerned the variations and forms used by construction QC but were not described in the site procedures. This item was corrected on July 16, 1982, by the revision of procedure QI 9.7 to reflect the methods used. Discussions with the auditor showed that he was thoroughly familiar with the FCRs, NCRs, DFCRs and S/Rs reviewed by the inspector.

(e) Installed Supports/Restraints

Hangers installed or being modified in the diesel generator building and auxiliary building were inspected to verify that the installation was in accordance with the approved drawings, or that the status was reflected in the QA records. S/Rs inspected included the following:

CC - 85 - I6 CC - 85 - R5 RE - CH - 67 (Still under modification) RE - CH - 7 CH - 80 - R9 SI - H - 311 (replaced with SI - 2401 - 2444)

Within the areas examined, no violations or deviations were identified.