LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0 1 1 L Q A D 1 2 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 5 6 7 CAT 58
REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 0 2 5 4 7 0 1 0 4 8 3 8 0 2 0 1 8 3 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10 At 0300 hours, while performing the Monthly Diesel Generator Operability Test, the
0 3 1/2 Diesel Generator tripped on a high crankcase pressure signal. All safety
o 4 systems and the other two Diesel Generators were tested and found operable as
required by Technical Specification 4.9.E. The high crankcase pressure generator
trip is bypassed upon AUTO initiation; therefore, the 1/2 Diesel Generator would have operated upon loss of power. On February 2, 1983, the 1/2 Diesel Generator
tripped agair on a high crankcase pressure signal. A second attempt was made to start the Diesel and it started satisfactorily. There was no affect on safety
old as a result of this occurrence.
SYSTEM CODE CODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCOD
SEQUENTIAL OCCURRENCE REPORT NO. CODE TYPE NO. 17) REPORT 8 3 0 0 1 0 3 0
ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN 28 29 30 31 32 ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN 32 ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT
TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS (22) SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [
CALLES DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on 1
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily.
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on Method of the like and the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) B (31) Monthly Operability Test
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on The high crankcase the lubricating oil splashing on The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the The pressure sensor upon startup operated to reproduce the pressure sensor upon startup operated to repr
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the successfully. The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the successfully. The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the successfully. The pressure sensor upon startup, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup and the successfully and the sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup and the sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was rep
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the successfully and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operable of the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the Conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the considered and it was considered an isolated and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the considered and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the considered and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1983, the pressure sensor was replac
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1933, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The like and operability of the Diese
The high crankcase pressure signal was attributed to lubricating oil splashing on the pressure sensor upon startup. Several attempts were made to reproduce the conditions necessary for this trip, but each time the 1/2 Diesel Generator operated successfully. This was the first incident of this type and it was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1933, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase of February 2 in the pressure sensor was considered an isolated event. On February 2, 1933, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase of February 2, 1933, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase of February 2, 1933, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The high crankcase of February 2, 1933, the pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor upon time to pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was replaced like-for-like and operability of the Diesel was proven satisfactorily. The pressure sensor was considered and operability of the

1. LER NUMBER: LER/RO 83-1/03L-0

11. LICENSEE NAME: Commonwealth Edison Company

Quad-Cities Nuclear Power Station

III. FACILITY NAME: Unit One

IV. DOCKET NUMBER: 050-254

V. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

On January 4, 1983, Unit One was in the RUN mode at 815 MWe and Unit Two was in the RUN mode at 773 MWe. As part of the Monthly Diesel Generator Operability Surveillance, QOS 6600-1, the 1/2 Diesel Generator was started. After running for less than one minute, it tripped and the High Crankcase Pressure alarm annunciated. The 1/2 Diesel Generator was declared inoperable and surveillances were immediately performed on the other two Diesel Generators and their associated safety systems, as required by Technical Specification 4.9.E. All of the systems were demonstrated to be operable. On February 2, 1983, while performing operability testing in preparation for monthly preventative maintenance, the 1/2 Diesel Generator again tripped on a high crankcase pressure signal. An immediate attempt to restart the 1/2 Diesel Generator was successful and the operability surveillance was completed without further incident. A Work Request was written to investigate the cause of the trip.

VI. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE:

Each of the three Diesel Generators is sized to carry the necessary unit loads during a loss of off-site power. Unavailability of one Diesel Generator would have little effect on the plant. The other two Diesel Generators and all four of the off-site lines were available during this occurrence.

In addition, the High Crankcase Pressure trip is one of several trips defeated when a Diesel Generator automatically starts. Therefore, Diesel Generator 1/2 would have been available on a loss of off-site power.

VII. CAUSE:

The cause of the initial 1/2 Diesel Generator trip was attributed to the oil viscosity being high enough to cause the relief valve inside the engine to open. It was concluded that the oil discharged from the valve sprayed sufficiently on the disc of the crankcase pressure sensor to cause the Diesel Generator to trip from a pseudo-high crankcase pressure signal. This was the first occurrence of this type at this Station and was considered to be an isolated occurrence. Extensive investigations were conducted at this time to determine the root cause of failure but were unsuccessful.

VII. CAUSE: (Continued)

After the trip, on February 2, 1983, further investigations led to the replacement of the pressure sensor. The Diesel Generator was test run and crankcase pressure was measured with a manometer. This test showed a high crankcase pressure condition did not exist; therefore, a failed sensor was determined to be the cause of the trip. The root cause of the faulty sensor could not be determined.

VIII. CORRECTIVE ACTION:

At 1540 hours, on January 4, 1983, the Diesel Generator was restored and the Diesel Generator Operability Test, QOS 6600-1, was successfully completed. Two other starts on January 5, 1983, were performed without incident.

This was considered to be an isolated incident. However, on February 2, 1983, when the Diesel Generator tripped again on a High Crankcase Pressure signal, investigations led to the replacement of the pressure sensor. This was a like-for-like replacement with parts from a spare Diesel Generator. The new sensor was tested and performed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended limits. Operability of the Diesel was successfully performed.