

WM-8  
PDR  
(Return to WM, 623-SS)

Distribution:

WM s/f ~~446~~  
WMLL r/f  
NMSS r/f  
KSDragonette  
RAScarano  
REBrowning  
**PDR**

WMLL:KSD  
WM-8

DEC 23 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Donald A. Nussbaumer, Assistant Director  
for State Agreements Programs, SP

FROM: Robert E. Browning, Deputy Director  
Division of Waste Management, NMSS

SUBJECT: NEVADA PROGRAM REVIEW

Because of the shortage of travel funds, WMLL will not be able to provide technical assistance to State Programs on the Nevada Program Review scheduled for January 10-14, 1983. However, it is important that the topics we identified for review be covered. A copy of the list of topics is enclosed. Please check with Kitty Dragonette (x74160) or Dave Siefken (x74663) if you have questions about the topics. We would appreciate receiving a copy of your report or other documentation addressing the resolution of these topics. We regret any inconvenience this change in plans may cause.

Original Signed by  
Robert E. Browning

Robert E. Browning, Deputy Director:  
Division of Waste Management  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety  
and Safeguards

Enclosure:  
As stated

414.6/mab/82/12/22/0

OFFC	: WMLL:mb	WMLL	: WM	:	:	:	:
NAME	: KSDragonette	RAScarano	: REBrowning	:	:	:	:
DATE	: 12/20/82	: 12/21/82	: 12/22/82	:	:	:	:

8301180427 821223  
PDR WASTE  
WM-8 PDR

INDIVIDUAL REVIEWS FOR BEATTY, NEVADA SITE REQUIRING  
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE FROM WMLL

1. Discuss status of waste disposal operations at the site.
2. Discuss legal status of the site.
3. Review of site monitoring programs and documented evaluations of monitoring data.
4. Review of inspection reports, incident reports and letters, and list of permitted shippers.
5. Review of U.S. Ecology's site closure plan and expansion of the buffer zone.
6. Review status of RFP for site closure planning.
7. Review status of financial arrangements for site closure and long-term care.
8. Review of procedures for segregation of chemical and radioactive wastes and for deeper burial of higher activity low-level radioactive waste shipments.
9. Discuss the interactions established between state staffs for the chemical and low-level radioactive waste disposal sites.
10. Review availability of documentation of geotechnical studies on the site and site performance. Discuss the status of efforts to retain a geotechnical consultant to review these reports.