Dr. Walter F. Wegst
University of California
at Los Angeles
Director of Research and
Occupational Safety
Office of Environmental
Health and Safety
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dear Dr. Wegst:

Following a site visit and review of your Physical Security Plan by NRC, we have determined that the UCLA reactor operating and SNM storage sites are contiguous. As such the facility must implement interim Category I physical security requirements. These requirements are currently contained in 10 CFR Parts 73.67(a)(b)(c)(d) and 73.60.

In order to be exempt from the above requirements, the fuel in storage would have to be shipped to another location or the reactor would have to be operated to maintain the fuel irradiation level at a dose rate of 100 rem/hr at 3 feet from any accessible surface. (See 10 CFR 73.6(b) and 73.67(b)(1)(1)).

By January 31, 1981, please indicate your confirmation of the above and your plan for compliance with this temporary adjustment.

Sincerely,

THE STATE OF THE S

JANES E MILLER

James R. Miller, Chief Standardization & Special Projects Branch Division of Licensing

DISTRIBUTION:
Central Files
SSPB Reading
TERA
H. Bernard
L. Tremper
J. Miller
R. Tedesco
D. Carlson
D. Kers
P. Carlson

810120032 XX

6- charge for

				()			1 1
OFFICE	DL:SSPB	DLASSAB	DL:SSPB	AD/L RTedesco	DS: SGPYNE		
URNAME	LTrempers	HBernayd/cc	JMiller 5	ETedesco	D CARLSON	***************************************	***************************************
DATE	12/24/80	12/29/80	12/29/80	12/12/80	1/7/81.		

( 1 1 2 × 142

## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

UCLA

SANTA BARBARA . SANTA CRUZ

BERKELET . DAVIS . INVINE . LOS ANGELES . RIVERSIDE . SAN DIEGO . SAN FRANCISCO

COMMUNITY SAFETY DEPARTMENT OFFICE OF RESEARCH & OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

January 29, 1981

James R. Miller, Chief
Standardization and Special Projects Branch
Division of Licensing
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Miller:

In reference to your letter of January 12, 1981: We are scheduling reactor operations to conform with the self-protection criteria for the in-core fuel. As this represents a temporary arrangement, we are proceeding to identify viable options for the reduction of our unirradiated SNM inventory.

Two options have been identified; (1) transfer to the DOE Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), and (2) return to DOE, Idaho Falls. The DOE and LLNL have tentatively indicated the acceptability of either destination, subject to approval of final plans.

Very truly yours,

Walter F. Wegst, Director Research & Occupational Safety

WFW/NCO/1c

4



1000

