CONNECTICUT VANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY



\* .

\* \*

HADDAM NECK PLANT 362 INJUN HOLLOW ROAD • EAST HAMPTON, CT 06424-3099

March 15, 1994 Re: Technical Specification 6.9.1.8 Docket No. 50-213

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

In accordance with reporting requirements of Technical Specification 6.9.1.8, the Connecticut Yankee Haddam Neck Plant Monthly Operating Report 94-02 covering operations for the period February 1, 1994 to February 28, 1994 is hereby forwarded.

Very truly yours,

John P. Stetz Vice President Haddam Neck Station

JE24

JPS/va

- cc: (1) Regional Administrator, Region 1 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406
  - (2) William J. Raymond Sr. Resident Inspector Connecticut Yankee

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company

Haddam Neck Plant

Haddam, Connecticut

Monthly Operating Report No. 94-02

For The Month of

February 1994

## Plant Operations Summary - February 1994

The following is a Summary of Plant Operations for February 1994.

On February 1st, at 0000 hours, the plant was in Mode 1, Power Operation at 100% load.

On February 12th at 0950 hours, both Service Water System Headers were declared inoperable requiring a shut down to Cold Shutdown. At 1346 hours, the generator was separated from the grid and the plant entered Mode 2, Startup. At 1521 hours the plant entered Mode 3, Hot Standby. At 2112 hours, the plant entered into Mode 4, Hot Shutdown.

On February 13th at 1810 hours, the plant entered Mode 5, Cold Shutdown and repairs commenced on the Service Water System.

The plant remained in Mode 5 for the remainder of the month of February.

# AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

Docket No: 50-213

Unit: Connecticut Yankee Haddam Neck

Date: March 15, 1994

Completed By: K. Emmons/M. Bigalbal

Telephone: (203) 267-3654

DAY	AVERAGE POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
1	<u>584</u>	17	<u>0</u>
2	<u>586</u>	18	<u>0</u>
3	<u>586</u>	19	<u>0</u>
4	585	2 0	<u>0</u>
5	585	2 1	Q
6	585	2 2	<u>0</u>
7	<u>585</u>	2 3	<u>0</u>
8	<u>585</u>	2 4	<u>0</u>
9	<u>585</u>	2 5	<u>0</u>
1 0	<u>585</u>	2 6	<u>0</u>
1 1	584	2 7	<u>0</u>
1 2	282	2 8	<u>0</u>
13	<u>0</u>		
14	<u>0</u>		
15	<u>0</u>		
1.6	0		

Month: February 1994

#### NRC OPERATING STATUS REPORT

Haddam Neck

1. Docket: 50-213

2. Reporting Period: 02/94 Outage + On-line Hours: 394.2 + 277.8 = 672.0

3. Utility Contact: W.M. Herwig (203) 267-3198

4. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 1825

5. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe):  $667 \times 0.9 = 600.3$ 

6. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 582

7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 586.9

8. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 560.1

9. If changes occur above since last report, reasons are: NONE

10. Power level to which restricted, if any (Net MWe): N/A

11. Reasons for restriction, if any: N/A

	MONTH	YEAR-TO-DATE	CUMULATIVE
12. Report period hours:	672.0	1,416.0	229,344.0
13. Hours reactor critical:	279.4	1,023.4	182,975.7
14. Reactor reserve shutdown hours:	0.0	0.0	1,285.0
15. Hours generator on-line:	277.8	1,021.8	176,224.6
16. Unit reserve shutdown hours:	0.0	0.0	398.0
17. Gross thermal energy generated (MWtH):	503,071.0	1,853,422.0	304,673,690.0 *
18. Gross electrical energy generated (MWeH):	168,890.0	622,326.0	99,885,245.0 *
19. Net electrical energy generated (MWeR):	159,425.9	592,486.5	94,893,760.5 *
20. Unit service factor:	41.3	72.2	76.8
21. Unit availability factor:	41.3	72.2	77.0
22. Unit capacity factor using MDC net:	42.4	74.7	75.1
23. Unit capacity factor using DER net:	40.8	71.9	71.1
24. Unit forced outage rate:	50.0	21.4	5.6
25. Forced outage hours:	277.8	277.8	10,513.0

26. Shutdowns scheduled over next 6 months (type,date, duration): NONE

27. If currently shutdown, estimated startup date: 4/4/94

\* Cumulative values from the first criticality (07/24/67). (The remaining cumulative values are from the first date of commercial operation, 01/01/68).

## UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTION

Docket No: 50-213 Unit Name: Connecticut Yankee Date: March 15, 1994 Completed By: Kathy Emmons Telephone: (203) 267-3654

Report Month: Le Jary 1994

N0.	Date	Туре	Duration (Hours)	Reason	Method of Shutting down Reactor	LER Report #	System Code	Component Code	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
94-02	2/12/94	F	394.2	A	1	94-00	BI	N/A	Pin hole leak on service water system (SWS) supply piping due to microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC). Replace affected sections of SWS piping.

#### TYPE

#### REASON

- F Forced S Scheduled
- A Equipment Failure
- B Maintenance or Test C Refueling
- D Regulatory Restriction
- E Operator Training
- F Administrative
- G Operator Error
- H Other (Explain)

#### METHOD

- 1 Manual
- 2 Manual Scram
- 3 Automatic Scram
- 4 Continued
- + Continued
- 5 Reduced Load
- 9 Other

#### SYSTEM

IEEE Standard 805-1984 and/or NUREG-0161 Exhibit F

#### COMPONENT

IEEE Standard 803A-1983 and/or NUREG-0161 Exhibit H

### Refueling Information Request

1. Name of facility

Haddam Neck

2. Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown.

January 14, 1995

3. Scheduled date for restart following refueling.

March 6, 1995

4.

11 " 1 2 A

(a) Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

Yes

(b) If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be?

changes to linear heat generation rate uncertainties
necessary changes to the Design Features, Section 5 to support new fuel design
changes to support storage of new and spent fuel with higher enrichments

(c) If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload?

n/a

(d) If no such review has taken place, when is it scheduled?

n/a

5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.

April 1994

 Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.

Change in fuel vendor from B&W Fuel Co. to Westinghouse Electric Corp., and change in fuel assembly design.

7. The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool.

(a) 157 (b) 809

8. The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies.

1168

 The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.

1998