U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

		Regio	on I	NOTICE 1 NO	1978	
Report No.	<u>50-334/78-2</u> 8		AS OF REGION I CLEARANC	HAS NOT OBTA	NOT OBTAINED PROPRIETARY CCORDANCE WITH 10 CFR 2790	
License No.		Priority		Category _	с	
Licensee: _	Duquesne Light	Company				
	435 Sixth Aven	ue				
2.	Pittsburgh, Pe				τ.	
Facility Nar	me: Beaver V	alley Power St	ation, Unit 1		-	
Inspection a	at: Shippingpo	rt, Pennsylvan	ia			
Inspection (conducted: Octo	ber, 13, 1978	_	,	,	
Inspectors:	J. J. Kottan,	Man Radiation Spe	cialist	/da	30/78 te signed	
				da	te signed	
Approved by	J. P./Stohr,	Stol Chief, Environ ion, FF&MS Bra		10/	te signed 30/28 te signed	

Inspection Summary:

Inspection on October 13, 1978 (Report No. 50-334/78-29) Areas Inspected: This report contains the results of effluent samples split between the licensee and NRC:I during a previous inspection on June 5-7, 1978. The comparison of these results involved no onsite time. Results: Within the area inspected, no items of noncompliance were observed.

7812110235

Region I Form 12 (Rev. April 77)

DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

V. J. Linnenbom, Radiochemist

2. Confirmatory Measurements

In a previous inspection conducted on June 5-7, 1978, Inspection Report 50-334/78-15, liquid and gaseous effluent samples were split with the licensee and NRC:I. Analyses were performed by the licensee using his normal methods and procedures, and the NRC:I analyses were performed by the Department of Energy's Radiological and Environmental Services Laboratory (RESL). The comparison of the analytical results indicated that all of the measurements were in agreement or possible agreement under the criteria used for comparing results (see Attachment I). The results of the comparisons are presented in Table I. No items of noncompliance were identified.

3. Exit Interview

The inspector discussed the results of this inspection in a telephone conversation on October 13, 1978 with the licensee representative denoted in paragraph 1.

TABLE 1

BEAVER VALLEY VERIFICATION - TEST RESULTS

SAMPLE	ISOTOPE	NRC VALUE	LICENSEE VALUE	COMPARISON		
	RESULTS IN MICROCURIES PER MILLILITER					
Liquid Rad Waste	H-3	(1.51 <u>+</u> 0.02)E-2	(1.52 <u>+</u> 0.14)E-2	Agreement		
0930 6/6/78	Co-58	(2.19 <u>+</u> 0.14)E-6	(2.81 <u>+</u> 0.17)E-6	Agreement		
Gas Decay Tank	Xe-133	(9.7+0.4)E-4	(1.5610.01)5.2			
1000	xe-133	(9.7+0.4)E-4	(1.56+0.01)E-3	Possible Agreement		
6/6/78	Kr-85	(4.7 <u>+</u> 0.7)E-4	(4.72+0.42)E-4	Agreement		

Attachment 1

Criteria for Comparing Analytical Measurements

This attachment provides criteria for comparing results of capability tests and verification measurements. The criteria are based on an empirical relationship which combines prior experience and the accuracy needs of this program.

In these criteria, the judgement limits are variable in relation to the comparison of the NRC Reference Laboratory's value to its associated uncertainty. As that ratio, referred to in this program as "Resolution", increases the acceptability of a licensee's measurement should be more selective. Conversely, poorer agreement must be considered acceptable as the resolution decreases.

RATIO= NRC REFERENCE VALUE

Resolution	Agreement	Possible Agreement A	Possible Agreement B
<3	0.4 - 2.5	0.3 - 3.0	No Comparison
4 - 7	0.5 - 2.0	0.4 - 2.5	0.3 - 3.0
8 - 15	0.6 - 1.66	0.5 - 2.0	0.4 - 2.5
16 - 50	0.75 - 1.33	0.6 - 1.66	0.5 - 2.0
51 - 200	0.80 - 1.25	0.75 - 1.33	0.6 - 1.66
>200	0.85 - 1.18	0.80 - 1.25	0.75 - 1.33

"A" criteria are applied to the following analyses:

Gamma Spectrometry where principal gamma energy used for identification is greater than 250 Kev.

Tritium analyses of liquid samples.

"B" criteria are applied to the following analyses:

Gamma Spectrometry where principal gamma energy used for identification is less than 250 Kev.

89Sr and 90Sr Determinations.

Gross Beta where samples are counted on the same date using the same reference nuclide.