

PDR

AE80-1

From: Patricia A. Santiago (PAS2)
To: KSD, AKR
Date: Thursday, September 2, 1993 5:19 pm
Subject: Amendment to Part 19 and 20

To answer Alan's request for concurrence, I discussed the changes you faxed to me with Joe Gray. The only change we would recommend would be to the title of 19.12. We recommend it be "Health Protection Instructions". This is needed because of the definition of worker which is "an individual engaged in licensed activities... Thus, we concur with that change to ensure the issue raised in our Aug 12 memo is fully addressed. thanks.

CC: jrg,gdc,rjd



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 28, 1993

W. H. H. H.
late
7/30
PDR
AE 30-1

TO: ALL AGREEMENT STATES

SUBJECT: FAST TRACK RULEMAKINGS FOR 10 CFR 20 AND 35

Hugh Thompson, Jr., Deputy Executive Director for Nuclear Materials Safety, Safeguards & Operations Support, has identified two rulemaking actions that are very important to NRC's implementation of the revised 10 CFR Part 20. Both of these rulemakings were discussed with you at the CRCPD meeting in May of this year. We have determined that it is essential that we try to complete both of these rulemakings by the mandatory implementation date of January 1, 1994. Your cooperation and patience will be important if we are to achieve this goal.

The first rulemaking concerns the definition of "occupational exposure," deletion of "controlled area," and revision of criteria on when radiation protection training is required. A copy of the draft notice for this rulemaking is enclosed. The second rulemaking concerns patient release criteria in 10 CFR 35.75 and the application of the new limits for members of the public in 10 CFR 20 to exposures from patients released from licensee control. This second rulemaking is in response to two rulemaking petitions. A copy of the proposed notice was sent to you earlier this month. We are making significant revisions to the supplementary information portions but not to the rule itself.

Federal register

We need ~~any~~ ^{your} input, as soon as possible and no later than August 10, 1993. Unless you identify ^{on this subject} major issues or problems, we plan to proceed to publication of these proposed ^{rules} without detailed review by the States. You are encouraged, as always, to comment during the public comment period.

The Agreement States cannot be expected to modify their regulations before the January 1, 1994 date. We recognize that many of you will need at least two years to conform to the changes. As is the custom, you may wish to consider alternative methods to address the issues being addressed in these rulemakings in the interim.

Sincerely,

John Surmeier
Acting Assistant Director for
State Agreement Programs

Enclosure: As stated



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555-0001

PDR
AE 80-1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Thomas E. Murley, Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Robert M. Bernero, Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety & Safeguards
Edward L. Jordan, Director, Office of Analysis & Evaluation of Operational Data
James Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement
Carlton C. Kammerer, Director, Office of State Programs
Martin G. Malsch, Deputy General Counsel for Licensing and Regulations, Office of the General Counsel
Patricia G. Norry, Director, Office of Administration
Gerald F. Cranford, Director, Office of Information Resources Management

FROM: Eric S. Beckjord, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

SUBJECT: REVISION OF 10 CFR PART 20

An EDO Staff Requirement Memorandum dated July 22, 1993, Enclosure 1, directed the staff to publish a final rule modifying certain provisions of the new 10 CFR Part 20 by December 31, 1993. These changes are described in the enclosed proposed rulemaking package.

This rulemaking package is provided for your concurrence. In order to meet this aggressive schedule, your concurrence is required no later than August 13, 1993. It is requested that you provide your concurrence via E-Mail or telephone with follow-up memo. A meeting is scheduled in room 2F17 at OWFN, on August 13, 1993, from 9:00 a.m to 11:00 a.m., to resolve any comment and to expedite consensus. Please attend the meeting to discuss any changes to the rule package that are necessary for your concurrence. If you cannot attend personally, please have someone attend who is designated to concur for you.

Eric S. Beckjord, Director
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

Enclosures:

1. Memo, Thompson to Beckjord, dtd 7/22/93
2. Memo, Beckjord to Taylor, w/enclosures

PDR
AE 80-1

Proposed 10 CFR Part 20 Rulemaking Schedule

Express Mail PR to Agreement States. *FR Notice mailed 7/29 4/7/93 date* July 28, 1993
(Concurrent review by states
completed by August 10, 1993)

Proposed rule out of RES for office review. *Buckland signed 7/30* July 30, 1993
At RES signed 7/30

Office concurrence¹ on proposed rule. Aug. 13, 1993

Proposed rule to EDO. Aug. 15, 1993

EDO Review and Commission negative consent. Aug. 25, 1993

EDO Approval for publication. Aug. 27, 1993

Proposed rule published. Sept. 10, 1993

30 day public comment period ends. Oct. 15, 1993

3 weeks² to receive all comments. Nov. 5, 1993
and resolution of comments

Final rule package to office concurrence. Nov. 12, 1993

Office concurrence¹ on final rule. Nov. 19, 1993

State review³

CRGR⁴

ACRS⁵

Final rule to EDO. Nov. 26, 1993

EDO review and Commission. Dec. 10, 1993
negative consent

EDO approves publication. Dec. 13, 1993

Final rule published. Dec. 27, 1993

¹ Assume office concurrence in 1 week: EDO coordinated concurrence meeting - no formal Division reviews.

² Docketing of comments takes up to 4 weeks. Assume we can expedite.

³ Assume no changes, therefore no State review.

⁴ Assume EDO waives CRGR review.

⁵ Assume ACRS does not require briefing.

PDR
AESO-1

Proposed 10 CFR Part 20 Rulemaking Schedule

Express Mail PR to Agreement States. July 28, 1993
(Concurrent review by states
completed by August 10, 1993)

Proposed rule out of RES for office review. July 30, 1993

Office concurrence¹ on proposed rule. Aug. 13, 1993 =

Proposed rule to EDO. Aug. 15, 1993

EDO Review and Commission negative consent. Aug. 25, 1993

EDO Approval for publication. Aug. 27, 1993

Proposed rule published. Sept. 10, 1993

30 day public comment period ends. Oct. 15, 1993

3 weeks² to receive all comments. Nov. 5, 1993 *8 → 2 weeks*
and resolution of comments

Final rule package to office concurrence. Nov. 12, 1993

Office concurrence¹ on final rule. Nov. 19, 1993

State review³

CRGR⁴

ACRS⁵

Final rule to EDO. Nov. 26, 1993

EDO review and Commission. Dec. 10, 1993
negative consent

EDO approves publication. Dec. 13, 1993

Final rule published. Dec. 27, 1993

¹ Assume office concurrence in 1 week: EDO coordinated concurrence meeting - no formal Division reviews.

² Docketing of comments takes up to 4 weeks. Assume we can expedite.

³ Assume no changes, therefore no State review.

⁴ Assume EDO waives CRGR review.

⁵ Assume ACRS does not require briefing.