	CONTROL BLOCK: []]]
0 1	N C B R P 1 2 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
CON'T	REPORT 1 6 0 5 0 - 0 3 2 5 7 1 1 0 1 7 8 8 1 1 1 1 4 7 8 9
0 2	ONER in Washington informed Plant Management on November 1, 1978, of an apparent
	nonconformance with 10CFR50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria 54 & 56. This matter
0 3	
0 4	concerns the two reactor building-to-torus vacuum breaker lines and their ability to
0 5	[provide primary containment isolation. ONRR stated that they had never properly
0 6	reviewed the vacuum breaker line design and; therefore, the two lines were
0 7	considered by them to be a condition not specifically considered in the safety
0 8	Lanalysis report and therefore constitute a prompt notification with a written (cont.)
0 9	SYSTEM CAUSE CODE SUBCODE SUBC
	TO REPORT NUMBER 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
	ACTION FUTURE COMPONENT SUBMITTED FORMSUB. SUPPLIER COMPONENT MANUFACTURER SUBMITTED FORMSUB. SUPPLIER
1 0	A technical specification change, effective November 8, 1978, was approved as an
11	interim corrective action. A system modification is being developed to alleviate this
112	apparent nonconformance. Completion of the modification, assuming timely equipment
لىق	availability, should be in January, 1979.
1 4	
7 8	FACILITY STATUS NOWER OTHER STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32
1 5	E 28 1 0 0 29 NA D 31 Notification from the NRC.
	ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA
1 7	NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39
7 8	9 11 12 13 80 80
1 8	NUMBER OESCRIPTION(41)
	LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 43
7 8	2 4 NA 78111702/3 C NRC USE ONLY
20	ISSUED DESCRIPTION 45
7 8	9 10 68 69 80.5 NAME OF PREPARER PHONE 919-457-6701
	NAME OF FREE MADE

LER CONTINUATION--1-78-82

Facility: BSEP Unit #1

Event Date: 11/1/78

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (cont.)

followup. (Technical Specification 6.9.1.8)

LER SUPPLEMENT

INFORMATION

LER NUMBER 1-78-82

EVENT DATE 11/1/78

The present reactor building-to-torus vacuum breaker arrangement consists of a 20-inch singer check valve in series with a normally open manual butterfly valve. Apparently, this does not completely satisfy the general desgin criteria.

A technical specification change was approved November 8, 1978. It requires the manual butterfly valves be closed unless a drywell pressure of -0.5 PSIG exists, the manual valves will be opened before containment or torus spray systems are operated, and an increased surveillance program will be performed during periods of low drywell pressure.

A modification is being developed to alter the existing system. A valve which will be normally closed and then open automatically on a drywell vacuum signal is the proposed system modification. (The description and corrective actions above pertain to both Unit No. 1 and Unit No. 2).