

PROJECT NUMBER - 20  
PROJECT TITLE  
(59FR4868)

3

From : PETER BAILEY  
To : NRC STAFF  
Subject : Comment 02/24/94 10:00am  
Read : NO  
Conf : 000 - Comments on Decomm. Rule

Number : 112 of 116  
Date : 02/24/94 10:13am  
Reference : NONE  
Private : YES FEB 25 P3:45

Is this the place to leave comments in response to items in the Federal Register ???

I hope it is, this comment is about an item in 59FR, Feb 2 94 :

I believe a GOAL is of value in any endeavour, BUT  
In a RULE that is striving for a GO - NO GO release of land etc. ONLY  
the limit should exit in the RULE.

Why : I'm concerned that excessive resources could be expended in the  
litigation over whether or not a licensee could reduce exposures...

example : my decommissioning plan says I can meet 13 mrem per year, but now  
the termination of license is stuck in court because it is felt that 9  
mrem could be achieved. Lots of time and money spent by both sides of  
argument without any benefit to any one ( other than maybe the legal  
staff)

PETER BAILEY  
1567 Baltrusol Pl  
West Palm Beach FL  
33414-5904  
407-694-4179

DS10